

Update: Manuscript Review - no x Google Terjemahan x WhatsApp x

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxKkbkVNMGVNzJQmsjRpnDMHpDt?compose=GTvVlcSMVxpDgphxpqDNTXgbxKJSzCChkgGQJcHTPrfjKHX...

Gmail

Telusuri email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 130

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 3

Selengkapnya

Meet

Rapat baru

Rapat saya

Hangout

Noor

Tidak ada chat terbaru

Mulai yang baru

Update: Manuscript Review

Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia <jpi@mail.unnes.ac.id> kepada saya

21 Jan 2021 10:50

Inggris Indonesia Terjemahkan pesan

Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris x

Dear Authors,

We are pleased to inform you that the reviewer has uploaded the review results of your article. Please check your OJS account for the newest review results. We are looking forward to your revision **not later** than January 24, 2021. Thank you.

Best regards,
JPPI Team

Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia

Nationally Accredited based on the Decree of the Minister of Research, Technology and Higher Education, Number 2/E-KPT/2015

Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia (Indonesian Journal of Science Education) [p-ISSN 2339-1286 | e-ISSN 2089-4392] published a scientific paper on the results of the study and review of the literature in the sphere of natural science education in primary education, secondary education and higher education. The journal collaborates with *Perkumpulan Pendidik IPA Indonesia (PPII)* / Indonesian Society for Science Educators

Pesan Baru

27148-74677-2-RV.docx

11:44 AM 3/18/2021

Update: Manuscript Review - no x Google Terjemahan x WhatsApp x

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxLShbWjWxBRlRhRFKnpTfJvRQ?compose=GTvVlcSMVxpDgphxpqDNTXgbxKJSzCChkgGQJcHTPrfjKHXcT...

Gmail

Telusuri email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 130

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 3

Selengkapnya

Meet

Rapat baru

Rapat saya

Hangout

Noor

Tidak ada chat terbaru

Mulai yang baru

Update: Manuscript Review Kotak Masuk x

Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia <jpi@mail.unnes.ac.id> kepada saya

Sel, 16 Feb 10:45

Inggris Indonesia Terjemahkan pesan

Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris x

Dear Authors,

We are pleased to inform you that the reviewer has uploaded the review results of your article. Please check your OJS account for the newest review results. We are looking forward to your revision **not later** than February 19, 2021. Thank you.

Best regards,
JPPI Team

Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia

Nationally Accredited based on the Decree of the Minister of Research, Technology and Higher Education, Number 2/E-KPT/2015

Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia (Indonesian Journal of Science Education) [p-ISSN 2339-1286 | e-ISSN 2089-4392] published a scientific paper on the results of the study and review of the literature in the sphere of natural science education in primary education, secondary education and higher education. The journal collaborates with *Perkumpulan Pendidik IPA Indonesia (PPII)* / Indonesian Society for Science Educators

Pesan Baru

27148-74677-2-RV.docx

11:50 AM 3/18/2021



The Effect of Blended Project-based Learning Integrated with 21st-Century Skills on Higher-order Thinking Skills of Pre-Service Biology Teachers

Noor Hujjatusnaini^{1,2)}, A Duran Corebima³⁾, Sumarno Reto Prawiro⁴⁾, Abdul Gofur²⁾

¹⁾Department of Biology Education Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, State Islamic Institute of Religion, Jalan Obos G., Palangkaraya, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia.

²⁾Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, State University of Malang, Jalan Semarang, Malang, East Java, Indonesia.

³⁾Kajuruhan University, Malang, East Java, Indonesia

⁴⁾Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Brawijaya University, East Java, Indonesia

DOI:

Accepted:Approved: Published: ...

ABSTRACT

Educational institutions are required to prepare competent and competitive pre-service teacher graduates who have skills according to the needs of the 21st century. This study aims to find the effect of blended Project-Based Learning (PjBL) integrated with 21st-century skills on higher-order thinking skills of students as pre-service biology teachers on immunology material. This research is an experimental study with a mixed method, in which the qualitative observation data uses a questionnaire with self-assessment and peer assessment methods, supported by experimental data using the HOTS instrument analyzed quantitatively. The application of blended PjBL integrated with 21st-century skills used a quasi-experimental research method with a quantitative descriptive approach. The research sample was students who were taking the immunology course in the Biology Education Study Program, namely 57 students from IAIN Palangka Raya, 60 students from Universitas Palangka Raya, and 83 students from Universitas Negeri Malang. The total sample of 200 people was then grouped into three groups based on their basic abilities, namely low-level class, medium-level class, and high-level class. The results of the study proved that the blended PjBL integrated with 21st-century skills had a significant effect on students' high-level thinking skills based on the paired sample test (Sig. 2-tailed 0.000<0.05). The value of mean in the experimental group (75.53) and the control group (56.35) strengthens the data significance of students' higher-order thinking skills based on the N-Gain value and the independent sample T-test. The findings of this study are that blended PjBL integrated with 21st-century skills can increase HOTS on indicators of evaluating (78.15) and creating (79.21). This blended learning integration can be used as an innovative learning model solution to increase the Higher Order Thinking Skill of pre-service biology teachers according to the demands of 21st-century skills.

Keywords: Blended Learning, Project-Based Learning, 21st-Century Skills, Immunology

© 2020 Science Education Study Program FMIPA UNNES Semarang

*Correspondence Address
abdul.gofur.fmipa@um.ac.id

INTRODUCTION

21st-century skills are the primary targets in the curriculum of educational institutions around the world (Alismail & McGuire, 2015; Mohammed, 2017; Wang et al., 2018; Haviz et al., 2018), because a good skill in the 21st Century becomes one of the solutions to answer the challenges of the industrial revolution 4.0 era. The 21st Century skills equalize the ability to think, which is needed in life for 21st century. One of the main ability in 21st century skills is creative, critical and problem solving, or known as higher order thinking skill (Laar et al., 2017; Rahman, 2019). Critical thinking really needs to be developed for students, because it is a cognitive thinking process (Sardone & Devlin-Scherer, 2010). The capability of thinking creatively is to produce products through new ideas (Hasanah, 2017), to produce ideas, changing thinking flexibly, and able to develop ideas to find problem solutions (Mayes et al., 2015). Problem-solving ability is a thought process that stimulates students to treat a problem and analyze it which aims to solve the problem, training individuals to collaborate procedurally and systematically, developing creativity, expanding thinking processes, increasing intellectual abilities, increasing individual motivation and increasing individual activity in the learning process. The problem-solving ability needs to be developed for each individual (López et al., 2011; Basilotta et al., 2017). The development of HOTS can be done in the learning process including biology learning.

Educators have prepared various strategies in preparing students and pre-service teachers with the demands of the 21st-century (Teo, 2019). Quality education is one of the factors that determine the progress of a nation. Therefore, educational institutions are required to prepare the nation's generation with special skills, otherwise known as 21st-century skills (Geisinger, 2016). 21st-century skills, or known as 4C, includes Critical Thinking and Problem Solving, Creativity and Innovation, Communication, Collaboration. Overall, these competencies are very much needed to survive in facing global problems (Jia et al., 2016; Greiff & Kyllonen, 2016). Thus, it is vital to research all fields, including 21st-century skills that involve students and pre-service biology teachers.

Haviz et al. (2018) explained that global competition and technological developments in the 21st-century are a fast and dynamic development of the century, and require individuals who have 4C skills or soft skills that are implemented in everyday life. Larson and

Miller (2011) also argued that soft skills that can be implemented directly in real life are more important than hard skills. Education is an academic forum that is expected to produce graduates who can follow scientific developments in the fields of science and technology. The teacher, as a human resource, has a vital role in the education system. Preparing qualified teachers is one of the responsibilities of educational institutions to produce competent and competitive teacher candidates. This competence is an absolute requirement for pre-service teachers according to the needs of the 21st century, so it becomes an important point in this research.

21st-century skills are needed by aspiring teachers to compete in the 21st century. Research by Haviz et al. (2020a) reported the importance of 21st-century integrative skills mastered by pre-service teachers and education administrators. Those skills can increase the ability to sell power (marketability), ability to work (employability), and readiness for citizenship (Sang et al., 2018; Zainuddin & Perera, 2019). Critical thinking and higher-order thinking skills are needed to perform a variety of analysis, assessments, evaluations, reconstruction, decision-making that leads to rational and logical action (Hudha & Batlolona, 2017). Higher-order thinking skills in 21st-century skills are one aspect that can be achieved through the Project-Based Learning (PjBL) model.

Analysis of several previous studies reported that blended learning and PjBL were quite influential in improving students' creative thinking skills (Yustina et al., 2020), students' metacognitive behaviour (Listiana et al., 2016), problem-solving abilities (Nawani et al., 2019), and generic science skills (Haviz et al., 2018). It also encourages creativity (Lucas, 2016), and is positively correlated with teacher analysis skills (Aslan & Zhu, 2017). Maryuningsih et al. (2019) emphasized the advantages of PjBL integration in science learning, which aims to determine the level of thinking skills and assess the perspective of Biology teachers. The results of a quasi-experimental study of 37 Biology teachers as respondents to the study reported that there was a significant increase in the thinking skills and perspectives of biology teachers in learning chromosome inheritance material through online discussion forums. Result of this research illustrates the importance of integrating science learning with 21st-century skills. Integrated learning is more focused on competency content so that exploration of 21st-century skills and

thinking skills in learning more broadly is essential (Zainuddin & Attaran, 2016).

Strengthening higher-order thinking skills (HOTS) is not only student-centred but also influenced by strategies and innovative models of learning (Haviz et al., 2020a). Therefore, the exploration of learning models is essential to improve thinking skills (Maryuningsih et al., 2019; Fitriani et al., 2019). The learning model must be designed appropriately to accustom students to think at higher levels (Listiana et al., 2016). Strengthening HOTS can be achieved when students actively understand and integrate knowledge with their experiences (Anderson & Krathwohl, 2015). To develop HOTS, students must understand factual, conceptual, and procedural knowledge to apply the knowledge they have practised and then analyze the process to find solutions. Lecturers guide students through observing activities, forming concepts, giving responses, analyzing, comparing, and giving the necessary considerations (Yerdelen et al., 2015). In line with this, Wang et al. (2018) stated that project-based learning is the ideal model for meeting 21st-century educational goals because it involves the 4C principles.

The PjBL, as a learning model, uses projects as learning media. Students carry out exploration, assessment, interpretation, synthesis, and information to achieve learning goals. The learning model is problem-oriented as a first step in collecting and integrating new knowledge based on experience and is designed to be used to analyze solutions to complex problems in investigating. The blended PjBL, which is integrated with 21st-century skills, is expected to have a better influence on the HOTS of pre-service biology teachers (Haviz et al., 2020b). Through this research, it is hoped that it can explore innovative learning models that are appropriate in increasing the HOTS of pre-service biology teachers, one of which is in the immunology material.

The material of the mucosal immune system in immunology courses is abstract (Sumarno et al., 2012; Wibowo et al., 2014; Sumarno et al., 2015), so it requires understanding and the ability to analyze higher basic concepts. A study of the students' learning outcomes at IAIN Palangka Raya indicated that 86.67% of the immunology material was inappropriate, 63.33% of students were less able to construct their understanding, and 60% of them were not able to develop sensitive attitudes towards technological developments related to infection and immunity. These characteristics of the material require higher-order thinking skills and better critical analysis skills. The target of learning outcomes in the immunology course is so that students can understand the basic concepts of immunology,

which include mechanisms at the cellular, tissue, organ, and organ system levels. Students can apply various immunology concepts in everyday life, analyze various problems that develop in the environment as an implementation of the concept in the field of immunology and communicate the results of applying the basic concepts of immunology based on scientific written observations. Immunoglobulin A (IgA) as a protein secreted by plasma cells that binds to antigens and functions as an effector of the humoral immune system, is essential to understand more explicitly concerning its function for the immune system against infection (Petersen et al., 2012).

Infections due to microorganisms and how to deal with them are basic knowledge that everyone must have in facing the current pandemic (Yustina et al., 2020). Transfer of knowledge concepts in learning requires a high level of understanding and critical analysis of students so that it can be understood optimally. The blended PjBL integrated 21st-century skills are recommended as an innovative learning model that has characteristics that are in line with the basic competencies of immunology material, which aims to improve students' HOTS and critical thinking skills. The integration of 21st-century skills in the blended learning model is reported by Haviz et al., (2020b) to give a significant contribution to the improvement of thinking skills of prospective biology teachers. The results of Haviz's study becomes the basis for the analysis of the importance of exploring the learning model for pre-service biology teachers in learning biology material in this study so that it is more innovative and able to stimulate higher-order thinking skills..

This study aims to explore innovative learning models to improve students' HOTS as pre-service biology teachers, through the blended PjBL integrated with 21st-century skills in immunology material. The innovative learning targeted in this study is the renewal of the learning model, namely by integrating one of the 21st-century skill components into the PjBL model which is also a novelty of the previous learning model. The 21st-century skill component referred is Critical Thinking and Problem Solving, which was inserted in the 5th stage (testing process and learning outcomes) and the 6th (project evaluation) in PjBL (Choi et al., 2019). Student HOTS targeted through the blended PjBL model is the students' ability to analyze, evaluate, and create in connection with learning projects of the importance of probiotic supplementation in increasing the body's immune system.

According to several studies, the blended learning used in the PjBL model can overcome the problem of time constraints (Sumarni & Kadarwati, 2020), because it involves students in organized and meaningful activities in designed projects (Ummah et al., 2019). Therefore, the blended model in this study uses modified practicum-based learning tools, both in terms of material and assessment aspects. Blended learning is expected to show significant results as one of the results of the exploration of an innovative learning model for immunology subjects that is appropriate in increasing students' HOTS. Implementing the four skills of the 21st-century requires multiple evaluations to apply to different environments. Therefore, the focus of this study is HOTS on several indicators of higher-order thinking criteria, as one of the exploratory findings of this study.

METHODS

This research used mixed-method, in which experimental research is integrated into educational research through a project-based blended learning model (Creswell, 2016). The mix method in blended PjBL integrated with 21st-Century Skills” in this research is combination or mixture of online and offline learning, which is a learning strategy that combines face-to-face learning and learning that uses online learning resources. The online learning resources supported by various sources of literature, which can be accessed via the internet (online). The information collected is discussed through an offline face to face meeting, and become a discussion material for the experimental project. The implementation stages of blended learning in this study refers to the PjBL integrated with 21st-century skills stages. The experimental stage in this research is designed to be part of a project implemented in the PjBL model, and be integrated into educational research through the activities of the mucosal immune system practicum. There were three research locations, namely The Laboratory of Microbiology of Institut Agama Islam Negeri Palangka Raya, the Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry of Universitas Palangka Raya, and the Laboratory of Biomolecular of Universitas Negeri Malang from August to September 2020.

21st-century skills to improve students' HOTS were analyzed from difference tests and score analysis of each indicator. The research subjects used as research samples were Biology Education undergraduate students taking Immunology courses, namely 57 students from Institut Agama Islam Negeri (IAIN) Palangka Raya, 60 students from Universitas Palangka Raya (UPR), and 83 students from Universitas Negeri Malang (UM). The whole research subjects were grouped into

three groups based on their basic skills, namely low-level class, medium level class, and high-level class. The grouping is based on the results of the preliminary test analysis of students' HOTS initial abilities, where students whose score less than 56 are categorized in low-level group, a score between 56 and 71 is considered a medium level group, and a score greater than 71 is categorized as a high-level group (Table 1).The grouping aims to determine the effectiveness of the implementation of the used blended learning model, whether it is more effective for groups of students with initial abilities of the low-level class, medium level class, or high-level class.

Table 1. The Classification of HOTS Score Groups

Range of Score	Number	Category
72 - 100	3.5 – 4.0	High
56 - 71	2.5 - 3.0	Medium
≤ 39 - 55	0 – 2.0	Low

The implementation stage of the blended PjBl integrated 21st-century skills of the research is summarized and presented in Figure 1.




Figure 1 Steps for Blended Project-Based Learning Integrated with 21st-Century Skills (Modification from Yustina et al., 2020).


The research stages in Figure 1 begin with; 1) the preparatory stage, consisting of the process of constructing instruments and designing a blended research design for the PjBL learning model that integrates 21st-century skills; 2) the stage of preparing questions or project assignments that come from local issues, contextual in real life, and are adjusted to the basic competencies of the material. The presentation of the issue begins with a critical analysis of articles relevant to the research topic; 3) designing a collaborative project plan. The project was designed with only one

design problem in a working project group, namely the problem of the mucosal immune system—probiotic supplementation in producing s-IgA in serum Balb/c mice as an immune system. The work project is designed with laboratory experimentation; 4) arranging the schedule for project completion, which includes the timeline, final target, project deadlines, planning for problem-solving methods, as well as scientific reasons for choosing the particular method; 5) project monitoring and project evaluation assignments for students independently; 6) testing the results through project presentations to determine the achievement of student competencies and to evaluate the achievement of the project; 7) evaluation and reflection of activities, analysis of project result individually and in groups at the end of the project. The integration of 21st-century skills into PjBL at this stage is through skills in analyzing, evaluating, and creating as these four skills are HOTS indicators. HOTS indicators that raised in the experimental project include the ability to analyze the concept of immunology and the body's integrity system, the ability to evaluate the immunomodulatory mechanisms and immunoregulators, and the ability to create basic concepts for protein-based supplementation products.

The instrument used to measure HOTS is an assessment of multiple-choice questions that are compiled based on the three indicators of 21st-century skill achievement, namely skills to analyze, evaluate, and create. The observation process can be carried out by lecturers and students using methods of self-assessment and peer assessment (Bahri et al., 2019). Students did self-assessment or individual assessment, while group members and lecturers carried out peer assessment. The test scores and observation sheets were reviewed descriptively and then presented.

The instrument in this study are :

- 1. Look at the results of the 65kDa protein adhesion test sub unit *Yersinia enterocolitica* in the following diagram ;




- 1. The higher the dose, the greater the adhesion index
- 2. The dose of protein adhesin 65kDa pili sub unit *Yersinia enterocolitica* is directly proportional to the adhesion index
- 3. There is no effect on the percentage of the adhesion index with the treatment of the dose of adhesin protein 65kDa pili sub unit *Yersinia enterocolitica*

- 4. Treatment of the dose of protein adhesin 65kDa pili sub unit *Yersinia enterocolitica* has an effect on the adhesion index

From the four statements above, which is the correct statement based on the observed data:

- a. 1 dan 3
- b. 2 dan 3
- c. 1 dan 4
- d. 3 only

- 2. Look at the following picture:



What conclusions can be concluded to reinforce the above regulatory facts ...

- a. The interaction between non-specific and specific immune responses is linked by receptors in recognizing pathogens
- b. There is activation of T cells and NK cells which then migrate to the infection site. Cytokines produced during the non-specific immune response process as indicators of specific immune responses to the infection site
- c. The non-specific immune system and the specific immune system interact and work together to produce a more effective combined immune response to destroy the antigen
- d. The non-specific immune system acts as a specific immune system stimulant

The Blended Project-Based Learning Integrated with 21st-Century Skills

This study used a quasi-experimental design with a non-equivalent control model (Campbell & Stanley, 2015). The design is presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Study Design

Pretest	Implementation	Posttest
O ₁ (experimental)	X ₁	O ₂ (experimental)
O ₃ (Control)	X ₂	O ₄ (Control)

Note:

X₁: Project-Based Learning integrated with 21st-century skills

X₂: Learning Model based on practicum

O₁: Pretest experimental group

O₂: Posttest experimental group

O₃: Pretest control group

O₄: Posttest control group

Data analysis

This research used a quantitative descriptive analysis method. Previously, the data were tested with assumptions using the normality test and the homogeneity of variance, then continued with data analysis and hypothesis testing. Hypothesis testing used the N-gain test, paired test, and Independent T-test assisted by the SPSS-22

program (Sugiyono, 2010). The N-gain test is to determine the effectiveness of implementing innovative models in the experimental group and the control group (low-level class, medium level class, and high-level class). The paired test is to determine the effect of the blended PjBL integrated with 21st-century skills on students' HOTS. Independent T-test aims to determine how much influence the implementation of the blended PjBL integrated with 21st-century skills on students' HOTS, both in the experimental group and the control group.

Learning outcomes increase if the students' posttest results (X_2) are higher than the pretest results (X_1) or ($X_2 > X_1$). The N-gain value is the difference between the pretest and posttest data, where the score is categorized based on the range of acquisition. The interpretation of HOTS N-gain value (g) refers to the classification of Hake, 1999. The score category index is presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Normalized Gain Index Score and its Classification/Effectiveness

Quality	N _{gain}	Category
Greatly increased	$g \geq 0.7$	High
Increased	$0.3 < g < 0.7$	Medium
Quite increased	$g \leq 0.3$	Low

The N-gain value obtained is then interpreted in the form of a percentage, to know the effectiveness category of the N-gain acquisition. The Interpretation Category of the N-gain Effectiveness in percentage (%) is presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Interpretation Category of the N-gain Effectiveness

Percentage (%)	Interpretation
<40	Ineffective
40-55	Less Effective
56-75	Quite Effective
> 76	Effective

The research data were analyzed descriptively by determining the average value, then the result category was determined based on Table 5.

Table 5. The Category of HOTS Score

Range of Score	Number	Category
80-100	4.0	Very Good
72-79	3.5	Good
64- 31	3.0	More than Enough
56-63	2.5	Enough
48-55	2.0	Poor
40-47	1.0	Very Poor
≤ 39	0	Failed

The descriptively-analyzed HOTS assessment data will be interpreted according to the standards in the HOTS category (Table 4) based on each strengthening indicator of HOTS as measured in the study.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

First, students were given a pretest to determine their basic ability in understanding the immune system and its potential for body integrity against infection. At the end of the project-based learning, a posttest is carried out to find out the achievement of student understanding of the material. The results of the pretest and posttest of students' HOTS are presented in Table 6.

Table 6. The results of posttest and pretest

	Cluster	Students' skill level	Pre test	Post test	Gain	NGain Score	NGain Score (%)	Min	Max
Experimental	A	Low	24.33	67.67	43.33	0.57	57.16	47.37	69.57
		Medium	33.59	72.31	38.72	0.58	57.60	42.19	69.57
		High	34.07	81.33	47.26	0.71	71.37	57.89	80.01
					43.10		62.04		
	B	Low	26.19	68.52	42.33	0.57	57.04	47.37	69.57
		Medium	35.88	73.14	37.26	0.59	58.57	42.10	73.91
		High	29.44	81.67	52.22	0.80	79.42	53.68	76.55
					43.94		65.01		
	C	Low	28.89	68.89	40.00	0.56	56.13	42.10	65.01
		Medium	38.03	75.61	37.58	0.61	60.54	42.10	70.00
		High	35.78	86.13	50.36	0.78	78.29	57.61	82.61
					42.65		64.99		
Control	A	Low	22.67	41.67	19.00	0.25	24.47	6.67	33.34
		Medium	32.22	54.20	21.98	0.32	32.23	19.7	47.37
		High	31.00	61.53	30.53	0.44	44.22	35.97	52.39
					23.84		33.64		
	B	Low	23.75	49.79	38.33	0.34	34.11	18.18	47.83
		Medium	32.90	55.11	22.21	0.33	32.92	22.72	47.37
		High	31.33	59.72	28.38	0.41	41.28	25.00	50.01
					29.64		36.27		
	C	Low	29.17	52.21	23.04	0.33	32.62	10.50	47.37
		Medium	35.84	61.18	25.34	0.39	38.88	19.27	48.18
		High	32.75	61.42	28.67	0.42	42.17	19.27	68.18
					25.68		37.89		

Table 5 showed an increase in the average value of pretest and posttest in the experimental group and the control group. The Gain value evidenced the increase in students' HOTS in all clusters, where the experimental group (A=43.10, B=43.94, C=42.65) was higher than the control group (A=23.84, B=29.64, C=37.89). Supported by an average minimum and maximum value in each cluster. The mean minimum value of the experimental gorup (A = 49.15, B = 47.72, C = 47.27) was higher than the control group (A = 20.78, B = 21.97, C = 16.35), while the mean maximum value for the experimental gorup (A = 73.05, B = 73.34, C = 72.54) is higher than the

control gorup (A = 44.37, B = 48.40, C = 54.58).The average increase in students' HOTS at all ability levels for all clusters in the experimental group (43.23) was more significant than the control group (26.39), which illustrated that the implementation of the innovative PjBL model integrated with 21st-century skills is effective in increasing students' HOTS. The effectiveness of using the learning model can be seen through the N-Gain score obtained (Mayub et al., 2020). The effectiveness of the implementation of the learning model is supported by the N-Gain score (%) in Figure 2.




Figure 2. The Analysis Result of Average of the HOTS Increase based on the N-Gain Score (%)

Based on the N-gain score (%) in Figure 2, it appears that the implementation of the innovative PjBL model integrated with 21st-century skills is quite effective in learning in all clusters for students in the low-level class (mean=56.78), students in the medium level class (mean=58.90), and students in the high-level class (mean=76.36) that are interpreted in the effective category. This interpretation showed that the implementation of the learning model is more effective in increasing HOTS at high-level classes compared to low-level classes and medium level classes.

Thinking skills are the application of thinking processes in complex situations, where higher-order thinking processes need encouragement and enthusiasm (Zulfiani et al., 2020). HOTS at high-level class has better thinking skill than low-level class and medium level class. HOTS at the high-level class has a higher ability in solving the problems presented (Safarudin et al., 2020), is

more active in processing and analyzing new information that is considered more relevant (Retnowati, 2020), then arranging it into interrelated units into new information (Darling et al., 2020). Activities in analyzing ideas and information to be more specific, differentiating, selecting, identifying, assessing, and developing them in a perfect direction require more critical thinking skills (Usmaldi et al., 2017). The project-based learning emphasizes the critical analysis of students so that protein-based probiotic supplementation can be used as new information in stimulating the body's immune system against infection with microorganisms.

The increase in students' HOTS was measured by referring to the HOTS indicator arranged on the instrument, including the ability to analyze, evaluate, and create. It is presented in Table 7 below.

Table 7. The N-Gain Index for Each Indicator of HOTS and its Classification

Group	Cluster	HOTS	Analyzes to	Evaluate	Creating
			Immunology Concepts and Body Integrity System	Mechanism of Immunomodulator, Immunostimulator, and Immunoregulator	Protein-based Supplementation
Experiment	A	N-Gain Index	0.65	0.78	0.80
		Classification	Medium	High	High
		N-Gain (%)	65.01	77.78	80.01
		Interpretation	Quite Effective	Effective	Effective
	B	N-Gain Index	0.54	0.77	0.75
		Classification	Medium	High	High
		N-Gain (%)	54.08	76.65	75.02
		Interpretation	Quite Effective	Effective	Effective
	C	N-Gain Index	0.67	0.80	0.83
		Classification	Moderate	High	High
		N-Gain (%)	67.22	80.01	82.61
		The interpretation	Effective	Effective	Effective
Control	A	N-Gain Index	0:33	0:47	0:52
		Classification	Low	Medium	Medium
		N-Gain (%)	33.34	47.37	52.39
		interpretation is	Ineffective	Less Effective	Less Effective
	B	N-Gain Index	0:31	0:47	0:55
		Classification	Low	Medium	Medium
		N-Gain (%)	31.33	47.37	55.39
		interpretation is	Ineffective	Less Effective	Less Effective
	C	N-Gain Index	0:33	0.48	0.50
		Classification	Low	Medium	Medium
		N-Gain (%)	33.34	47.83	50.01
		Interpretation	Ineffective	Less Effective	Less Effective

The HOTS increase based on HOTS indicators in Table 6 showed that the experimental class is higher than the control class for the entire cluster. The average increase of HOTS in the experimental group was in the moderate category and was interpreted as quite effective on the indicator of analyzing (62.10),

high categories and interpreted as effective on the indicator of evaluating (78.15) and creating (79.21). The increase in the student's HOTS N-gain score in Table 6 shows the increase in the students' HOTS N-gain score. It indicates the improvement of students' analysis skills such as the ability to discuss, identify problems, formulate

problems, conduct studies of relevant literature, design project frameworks, present hypotheses, determine research parameters and instruments, use appropriate data collection techniques, and use appropriate data analysis method. All components in the analytical ability indicator provide a strong basis for students as pre-service biology teachers in responding to actual problems, then communicating/implementing the benefits of project achievements obtained in real life. The increase in students' HOTS on the indicators of evaluating and creating shows the development of students' thinking skills. The increase in HOTS N-gain in the aspect of the ability to evaluate becomes a barometer of pre-service biology teachers' ability to utilize technology. Furthermore, the students were also able to conduct mixed-method research and to combine online and offline learning. The project-based laboratory experimental approach in research requires students to be able to evaluate each stage of learning. The most effective HOTS indicator is in the aspect of creating (N-gain = 79.21) which illustrates the success of the blended model in researching the ability of pre-service biology teacher students to create or produce products targeted in research projects.

Mucosal immune system material in the immunology course designed with blended PjBL could improve students' ability to evaluate and understand the function of probiotics against immune stimulation. It is also in line with several other studies regarding the function of probiotics as an immunomodulator (Sumarno et al., 2011; Evrard et al., 2011), immunostimulators (Fang & Polk, 2011; Sumarno et al., 2015), and immunoregulators (Sumarno et al., 2012; Wibowo et al., 2014). Retnowati (2020) stated that thinking skills would be manifested in a more active attitude in processing and evaluating new, more relevant information.

The implementation of blended PjBL integrated with 21st-century skills on HOTS based on the indicator of analyzing the concept of immunology and the body's integrity system is considered quite effective. In contrast, the indicators of evaluating and creating have a higher score and effective in increasing students' HOTS. The increase in students' HOTS is very significant in the aspect of creating, in line with the basic principles of PjBL integrated with 21st-century skills which direct students as a generation capable of producing scientific-based products that they have (Chu et al., 2017; Parno et al., 2020; Akhdinirwanto et al., 2020). The increase in students' HOTS on creating indicators through the blended PjBL learning emphasizes producing protein-based supplementation. Darling et al. (2020) emphasized that the ability to organize information into interrelated units that later becomes new information is a manifestation of the development of thinking skills.

The increase in HOTS was evident in the experimental group compared to the control group for students in the high-level class (Table 5). It showed that the innovative PjBL model integrated with 21st-century skills can have a more significant influence on HOTS in students in high-level class but is also considered quite effective in groups of students who are low-level class and medium-level class. **The significance of this effect can be seen in the results of the paired sample test (Sig. 2-tailed 0.000<0.05), where the value of t (-26.073>1.59)/df=104 in the experimental class and the value of t (-15,402>1.66)/df =94 in the control class. Although the df value which represents the number of samples in the two classes of research is different, Sig. 2-tailed 0.000 <0.05, so it can be concluded that the blended PjBL model integrated with 21st-century skills has a significant effect on students' HOTS (Table 8).**

Table 8. The Results of Paired Analysis of Test Samples

Student HOTS		N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Pair 1 (Experiment)	Pre-Test	105	7,134	1,261	-26,073	104	.000
	Post-Test	105	7,496	1,325			
Pair 2 (Control)	Pre-Test	95	6,487	1,297	-15,402	94	.000
	Post-Test	95	9,385	1,877			

It can be seen from the comparison of the mean in the experimental group and the control group based on the results of the independent sample T-test analysis to find out how much

influence the blended PjBL model integrated with 21st-century skills toward students' HOTS (Figure 3).




Figure 3. The Results of the Analysis based on the Independent T-test

The comparison of the mean score in Figure 3 showed an increase in students' HOTS after the implementation of the blended PjBL model integrated with 21st-century skills which is more significant in the experimental group (75.53) than in the control group (56.35). The mean score proves how much influence the blended PjBL model integrated with 21st-century skills has on students' HOTS at low-level class, medium level class, and high-level class. The significance of the influence of the blended PjBL model integrated with 21st-century skills on students' HOTS is also supported by the results of observations of self-assessment and peer assessment of lecturers (97.5%) and students (92.5%), in the good category. The step of integrating the innovative learning model in this study is appropriately implemented, making it easier to achieve the learning objectives designed before. The implementation of this innovative model can increase active attitudes in developing students' HOTS and can improve the quality of learning.

The N-Gain index in the experimental group was higher than the control group. It proves the effectiveness of the blended PjBL integrated with 21st-century skills in increasing HOTS. Using the blended PjBL integrated with 21st-century skills as an innovative learning model in the experimental group made students more focused on learning, more active in expressing ideas and thoughts, and was jointly involved by lecturers in designing projects. The control group used a practicum-based learning model in this study, which focused more on the lecturer as the information provider. The teacher-centred learning model has the lecturer or teacher as the primary source of information and is considered a person who has broader knowledge (Zainudin, 2017). The successful implementation of blended learning in this study is not a substitute for the conventional learning model but rather enriches the previous learning model. Bender et al. (2012) emphasized that blended learning cannot completely replace conventional learning, but blended learning is an add-on and reinforcement of an innovative learning model.

HOTS indicators in this study used analytical skills because the analyzing ability is the basis for the critical thinking process. When thinking skills develop optimally, they will generate ideas, create, imagine, and encourage problem-solving (Kenedy et al., 2012). Problem-solving in the blended PjBL integrated with 21st-century skills put students as pre-service biology teachers to work collaboratively in teams. The collaborative ability formed is intended so that students can take care of each other independently (Sutarto et al., 2018; Nadarajan et al., 2020). Therefore, critical, creative, and high-order thinking skills are essential factors for developing 21st-century skills. Through this blended learning pre-service biology teachers are directed to follow scientific developments with the ability to critically analyze any problems that arise, because scientific developments require pre-service teachers to think at a higher level. Besides, pre-service teachers are also required to have self-regulated learning (SRL) in overcoming the problems they will face in real life (Alibakhshi & Zare, 2010; Cheng, 2011; Sutarto et al., 2018). SRL theory is used as a framework that combines motivation, metacognitive awareness, cognitive skills, and beliefs about learning (Hartley et al., 2020).

One of the efforts to improve the quality of teaching is by exploring innovative models of learning. The characteristics of project-based learning require students to think critically (Mataniari et al., 2020) and think at higher levels (Moore & Stanley, 2010; Facione, 2011). Therefore, the results of this study confirmed that project-based learning is highly recommended for use in science learning. Project-based learning carried out in this study refers to a driving question (Bender, 2012), which is closely related to the immune system material and its potential for infection. The questions that are arranged are contextual and based on local issues regarding the mechanism of the body's defence system against infection with microorganisms, especially in the current pandemic era.

Local and contextual issues presented in the learning material are in the form of questions that are not specific to one aspect only, but are more straight-forward and broad to encourage students to think critically (Hudha & Batlolona, 2017; Pursitasari et al., 2020), develop the ability to find solutions actively and collaboratively (Ramos et al., 2013; Raiyn, 2016). Students are required to make discoveries and innovations by adding questions to make the project more specific (Bender, 2012). The preliminary information presented in this study used several articles related to microorganism infection as initial references. Furthermore, students are encouraged to identify problems, develop, and design solutions based on the design of the probiotic supplementation practicum. The project is designed to prove the potential of probiotic supplementation in producing the secretion of immunoglobulin A in serum as the body's defence system against infection by microorganisms. The immune system and infection during this pandemic are contextual and factual problems, thus stimulating thinking skills to be more developed (Bustami et al., 2018).

The PjBL model integrated with 21st-century skills requires students to be cooperative and collaborative with each other, so that teamwork is formed in completing planned projects (Raiyn, 2016). Projects undertaken involve the active role of students directly, so that the material is easier to understand. Collaboration in heterogeneous groups requires students to work together positively in solving learning problems faced as a characteristic of 21st-century skills (Bertoncelli et al., 2016; Rahardjanto, 2019). In such situations, students are stimulated to control emotions, have teamwork skills, think creatively (Chu et al., 2017), be confident, have courage in making decisions, and respect the opinions of their group members (Tsybulsky & Muchnik-Rozanov, 2019). The findings of this study are the implementation of the innovative blended PjBL model integrated with 21st-century skills which have a significant effect in increasing students' HOTS as evidenced by the results of the hypothesis paired sample test (Sig. 2-tailed 0.000<0.05), comparison of the mean score, and the N-gain value (%). The increase in HOTS of students in the experimental class implemented by the blended PjBL model integrated with 21st-century skills was higher than that of the control class using practicum-based learning models. The effectiveness of the blended model PjBL integrated 21st-century skills as evidenced by the significant increase in HOTS (the ability to analyze, evaluate, and create) to become a reference for innovative learning models for pre-service biology teachers on immunology material. The practicum-based learning model is a learning method that is still centred on lecturers as the focus of knowledge, so students have a tendency not to be strongly motivated to develop thinking skills that are owned empirically (Carter et al., 2016; Zainudin, 2017).

The findings of this study are in line with previous research that blended learning can improve concept mastery, and emphasizes more on students' procedural attitudes (Fuad et al., 2017). Concept mastery is better in groups of students who are given a blended learning model compared to other direct learning. The PjBL model can improve student cognitive learning outcomes and HOTS (Anazifa & Djukri, 2017). Besides, that blended learning can improve physical reasoning (Heong et al., 2012), increase learning motivation (Chu et al., 2017), make decisions through a systematic framework (Tsybulsky & Muchnik-Rozanov, 2019), find unlimited solutions to the problems given (Maries & Singh, 2017), and be independent in designing activity processes (Rahardjanto, 2019). Those previous studies reinforce the findings of this study that the blended PjBL model integrated with 21st-century skills is influential and effective in increasing students' HOTS. The findings of this study can be a solution to the needs of an innovative learning model with integrative blended learning in preparing pre-service teachers who have higher-order thinking skills following the demands of 21st-century skills.

CONCLUSION

The results proved that the blended PjBL integrated with 21st-century skills have a significant effect on students' HOTS based on the paired sample test (Sig. 2-tailed 0.000<0.05). The mean scores in the experimental group (75.53) and the control group (56.35) strengthen the data significance of students' higher-order thinking skills based on the N-Gain value and the independent sample T-test. The blended PjBL integrated with 21st-century skills to improve student HOTS is more effectively implemented at high-level classes compared to low-level classes and medium level classes. The findings of this study are that the blended PjBL integrated with 21st-century skills can increase students' HOTS, and this integration model can be used as an innovative learning model for pre-service biology teachers to improve HOTS following the demands of 21st-century skills.

REFERENCES

- Akhdinirwanto, R. W., Agustini, R., Jatmiko, B. (2020). Problem-Based Learning with Argumentation as a Hypothetical Model to Increase the Critical Thinking Skills for Junior High School Students. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 9(3), 340-350.
- Alibakhshi, S. Z., & Zare, H. (2010). Effect of Teaching Self-Regulated Learning and Study Skills on the Academic Achievement of University Students. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 4(3), 69-80.

- Alismail, P. H., & McGuire, P. (2015). 21st Century Standards and Curriculum: Current Research and Practice. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 6(6), 150-155.
- Anazifa, & Djukri. (2017). Project-Based Learning and Problem-Based Learning: are They Effective to Improve Student's Thinking Skills? *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 6(2), 346-355.
- Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. W. (2015). *Kerangka Landasan Untuk Pembelajaran, Pengajaran, dan Asesmen Revisi Taksonomi Pendidikan Bloom*. Terjemahan: Agung Prihantoro. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Belajar.
- Aslan, A., & Zhu, C. (2017). Investigating Variables Predicting Turkish Pre-Service Teachers' Integration of ICT into Teaching Practices. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 48(2), 552-570.
- Bahri, A., Nurhayati, B., & Sigarra, D. F. (2019). Blended Learning Method Integrated with Bloom-Rederker-Guerra (B-R-G): Model to Enhance Self-Regulated Learner. In *1st International Conference on Advanced Multidisciplinary Research (ICAMR 2018)*. Atlantis Press.
- Basilotta Gómez-Pablos, V., Martín del Pozo, M., & García-Valcárcel Muñoz-Repiso, A. (2017). Project-based Learning (PBL) Through The Incorporation of Digital Technologies: An Evaluation based on The Experience of Serving Teachers. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 68, 501-512.
- Bender, W. N. (2012). *Project-Based Learning: Differentiating Instruction for The 21st Century*. California: Corwin.
- Bertoncelli, T., Mayer, O., & Lynass, M. (2016). Creativity, Learning Techniques and TRIZ. *Procedia Cirp*, 39, 191-196.
- Bustami Y., D. Syafruddin., & Afriani, R. (2018). The Implementation of Contextual Learning to Enhance Biology Students' Critical Thinking Skills. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 7(4), 451-457.
- Campbell, D. T., & Stanley, J. C. (2015). *Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Designs for Research*. Ravenio Books.
- Carter, A. G., Creedy, D. K., & Sidebotham, M. (2016). Efficacy of Teaching Methods Used to Develop Critical Thinking in Nursing and Mid-wifery Undergraduate Students: A Systematic Review of The Literature. *Nurse Education Today*, 40, 209-218.
- Cheng, C. K. E. (2011). The Role of Self-Regulated Learning in Enhancing Learning Performance. *The International Journal of Research and Review*, 6(1), 28-38.
- Choi, J., Lee, J. H., & Kim, B. (2019). How does Learner-Centered Education Affect Teacher Self-Efficacy? The Case of Project-Based Learning in Korea. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 85, 45-57.
- Chu, S. K. W., Zhang, Y., Chen, K., Chan, C. K., Lee, C. W. Y., Zou, E., & Lau, W. (2017). The Effectiveness of Wikis for Project-Based Learning in Different Disciplines in Higher Education. *The International and Higher Education*, 33, 49-60.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2016). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches*. Sage Publications.
- Darling, H. L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2020). Implications for Educational Practice of the Science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*, 24(2), 97-140.
- Evrard, B., Coudeyras, S., & Dosgilbert, A. (2011). Dose-Dependent Immunomodulation of Human Dendritic Cells by The Probiotic *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* Lcr35. *PLoS One*, 6:e18735.
- Facione, P. A. (2011). Critical Thinking: What It Is and Why It Counts. California: Measured Reasons and The California Academic Press.
- Fang, Y., & Polk. (2011). Probiotics and Immune Health. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol*, 27(6), 496-501.
- Fitriani, H., Asy'ari, M., Zubaidah, S., & Mahanal, S. (2019). Exploring the Prospective Teachers' Critical Thinking and Critical Analysis Skills. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 8(3), 379-390.
- Fuad, N. M., Zubaidah, S., Mahanal. S., & Suarsini, E. (2017). Improving Junior High Schools' Critical Thinking Skills Based on Test Three Different Models of Learning. *International Journal of Instruction*, 10(1), 101-116.

- Geisinger, K. F. (2016). 21st Century Skills: What are They and How do We Assess Them?. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 29(4), 245-249.
- Greiff, S., & Kyllonen, P. (2016). Contemporary Assessment Challenges: The Measurement of 21st Century Skills. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 29(4), 243-244.
- Hartley, K., Bendixen, L.D., Gianoutsos, D., & Shreve, E. (2020). The Smartphone in Self-Regulated Learning and Student Success: Clarifying Relationships and Testing an Intervention. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*. 17(52), 1-14.
- Hasanah, M. A., & Surya, E. (2017). Differences in The Abilities of Creative Thinking and Problem Solving of Students in Mathematics by using Cooperative Learning and Learning of Problem Solving. *International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research (IJSBAR)*, 34(01), 286-299
- Haviz, M., Karomah, H., Delfita, R., Umar, M. I. A., & Maris, I. M. (2018). Revisiting Generic Science Skills as 21st Century Skills on Biology Learning. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 7(3), 355-363.
- Haviz, M., Maris, I. M., Adripen., Lufri., David., & Fudholi, A. (2020a). Assessing Pre-Service Teachers' Perception on 21st-century Skills in Indonesia. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 17(3), 351-363.
- Haviz, M., Lufri, I., & Maris, M. (2020b). Assessing Prospective Biology Teachers (PBTS) Perceptions on Thinking as A 21st Century Skill: A Case Study at Islamic University. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 9(3), 319-329.
- Heong, Y. M., Yunos, J. M., Othman, W., Hassan, R., Kiong, T. T., & Mohamad, M. M. (2012). The Needs Analysis of Learning Higher Order Thinking Skills for Generating Ideas. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 59, 197-203.
- Hudha, M. N., & Batlolona, J. R. (2017). How are the Physics Critical Thinking Skills of the Students Taught by Using Inquiry-Discovery through Empirical and Theoretical Overview?. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 14(2), 691-697.
- Jia, Y., Oh, Y. J., Sibuma, B., LaBanca, F., & Lorentson, M. (2016). Measuring Twenty-First Century Skills: Development and Validation of a Scale for In-Service and Pre-Service Teachers. *Teacher Development*, 20(2), 229-252.
- Kenedy, M. R., Lenhart, T. R., & Akins, D. R. (2012). The Role of *Borrelia burgdorferi* Outer Surface Proteins. *FEMS Immunology & Medical Microbiology*, 66(1), 1-19.
- Laar, E. Van, Deursen, A. J. A. M. Van, Dijk, J. A. G. M. Van, & Haan, J. De. (2017). Computers in Human Behavior The relation between 21st-century skills and digital skills : A systematic literature review. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 72, 577-588.
- Larson, L. C., & Miller, T. N. (2011). 21st Century Skills: Prepare Students for The Future. *Kappa Delta Pi Record*, 47(3), 121-123.
- Listiana, L., Susilo, H., Suwono, H., & Suarsini, E. (2016). Empowering Students' Metacognitive Skills through New Teaching Strategy (Group Investigation Integrated with Think Talk Write) in Biology Classroom. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 15(3), 391.
- López-pérez, M. V., Pérez-lópez, M. C., & Rodríguez-ariza, L. (2011). Computers & Education Blended Learning in Higher education : Students' perceptions and their relation to outcomes. *Computers & Education*, 56(3), 818-826.
- Lucas, B. (2016). A Five-Dimensional Model of Creativity and its Assessment in Schools. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 29(4), 278-290.
- Maries, A., & Singh, C. (2017). Do Students Benefit from Drawing Productive Diagrams Themselves While Solving Introductory Physics Problems? The Case of Two Electrostatics Problems. *European Journal of Physics*, 39(1), 015703-015718.
- Maryuningsih, Y., Hidayat, T., Riandi, R., & Rustaman, N. Y. (2019). Critical Thinking Skills of Prospective Biology Teacher on The Chromosomal Basic of Inheritance Learning Through online Discussion Forums. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1157(2), 022090.

- Mataniari, R., Willison, J., Hasibuan-Effendi, M. H., Sulistiyo, U., & Dewi, F. (2020). Portraying Students' Critical Thinking Skills through Research Skill Development (RSD) Framework: A Case of a Biology Course in an Indonesian University. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 17(2), 302-314.
- Mayes, R., Natividad, G., & Spector, J. M. (2015). Challenges for Educational Technologists in the 21st Century. *Education Sciences*, 5(3), 221-237.
- Mayub, Suryani., & Farid. (2020). Implementation of Discovery Learning Model Based on Calor Characteristic Bricks Mixed by (*Durio zibethinus*) and Coconut (*Cocos nucifera*) Skin to Improve Students' Cognitive Learning Outcomes. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 9(2), 287-293.
- Mohammed. (2017). A Review on 21st Century Learning Models. *International Interdisciplinary Journal of Education*. 6(1), 254-263.
- Moore, B., & Stanley, S. (2010). *Critical Thinking and Formative Assessment: Increasing The Rigor in Your Classroom*. Larchmont: Eye on Education Inc.
- Nadarajan, T., Mohamad Khairi, H. O., & Noor Banu, M. N. (2020). Using Forum Theatre to Develop Various Levels of Thinking Skills Among Moral Education Students in Secondary School. *Malaysian Journal of Learning And Instruction*, 17(2), 167-194.
- Nawani, J., Von Kotzebue, L., Spangler, M., & Neuhaus, B. J. (2019). Engaging Students in Constructing Scientific Explanations in Biology Classrooms: A Lesson-Design Model. *Journal of Biological Education*, 53(4), 378-389.
- Parno, Yuliati, L., Hermanto, F., & Ali, M. (2020). A Case Study on Comparison of High School Students' Scientific Literacy Competencies Domain in Physics with Different Methods: PBL-Stem Education, PBL, and Conventional Learning. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 9(2), 159-168.
- Petersen, E. R., Claesson, M. H., Schmidt, E. G. (2012). Consumption of Probiotics Increases The Effect of Regulatory T Cells in Transfer Colitis. *Inflamm Bowel Dis. Epub ahead of print*, 18(1), 131-142.
- Pursitasari, I. D., Suhardi, E., Putra, A. P., & Rachman, I. (2020). Enhancement of Student's Critical Thinking Skill Through Science Context-Based Inquiry Learning. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*. 9(1), 97-105.
- Rahardjanto, A. (2019). Hybrid-PjBL: Learning Outcomes, Creative Thinking Skills, and Learning Motivation of Preservice Teacher. *International Journal of Instruction*, 12(2), 179-192.
- Rahman, M. (2019). 21st Century Skill "Problem Solving": Defining the Concept. *Asian Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*, 2(1), 64-74.
- Raiyn, J. (2016). The Role of Visual Learning in Improving Students' High-Order Thinking Skills. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 7(24), 115-121.
- Ramos, J. L. S., Dolipas, B. B., & Villamor, B. B. (2013). Higher Order Thinking Skills and Academic Performance in Physics of College Students: A Regression Analysis. *International Journal of Innovative Interdisciplinary Research*, 4(1), 48-60.
- Retnowati, S. (2020). The STEM Approach: The Development of Rectangular Module to Improve Critical Thinking Skill. *International Online Journal of Education and Teaching*, 7(1), 2-15.
- Safaruddin, S., Ibrahim, N., Juhaeni, J., Harmilawati, H., & Qadrianti, L. (2020). The Effect of Project-Based Learning Assisted by Electronic Media on Learning Motivation and Science Process Skills. *Journal of Innovation in Educational and Cultural Research*, 1(1), 22-29.
- Sang, G., Liang, J. C., Chai, C. S., Dong, Y., & Tsai, C. C. (2018). Teachers' Actual and Preferred Perceptions of Twenty-First Century Learning Competencies: A Chinese Perspective. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 19(3), 307-317.
- Sardone, N. B., & Devlin-Scherer, R. (2010). Teacher Candidate Responses to Digital Games. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 42(4), 409-425.
- Sugiyono, P. D. (2017). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan: Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, R&D* (Cetakan Ke). Bandung: CV Alfabeta.

- Sumarni, W., & Kadarwati, S. (2020). Ethno-STEM Project-Based Learning: Its Impact to Critical and Creative Thinking Skills. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 9(1), 11-21.
- Sumarno, Awaluddin, S., Gatoet, I., & Wienarsih. (2011). Combinations of Protein Sub-Unit PILI 37.8 KDA, V. Cholerae with Cholera Toxin Sub-Unit B V. Cholerae, Can Protect Come Out of the Solution in the Intestinal Mice. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Sci*, 1(8), 154-160.
- Sumarno, R. P., Yanuhar, U., Winarsih, S., Islam, S., & Santoso, S. (2012). Detection of Molecule Adhesion Sub-Unit Pili 48 kDa *Salmonella typhi* by Immunochemistry Method Using Sera Patients Suffering from Typhoid Fever. *J. Basic. Appl. Sci. Res*, 2(9), 8527-8532.
- Sumarno, R. P., Winarsih, S., Hidayat, Y. W., & Utami. (2015). *Shigella dysenteriae* Pili Protein as an Adhesive Molecule can Protect Moving Solution by Using Mice Legated Ile Loop Model. *Journal of Tropical Medicine*. 10(1-2), 1-4.
- Sutarto, Indrawati, J., Prihatin, P. A., & Dwi. (2018). Geometrical Optics Process Image-Based Worksheets for Enhancing Students' Higher-Order Thinking Skills and Self-Regulated Learning. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 7(4), 376-382.
- Teo, P. (2019). Teaching for The 21st Century: A Case for Dialogic Pedagogy. *Learning, Culture and Social Interaction*, 21, 170-178.
- Tsybulsky, D., & Muchnik-Rozanov, Y. (2019). The Development of Student-Teachers' Professional Identity While Team-Teaching Science Classes Using A Project-Based Learning Approach: A Multi-Level Analysis. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 79, 48-59.
- Ummah, S. K., In'am, A., & Azmi, R. D. (2019). Creating Manipulatives: Improving Students' Creativity through Project-Based Learning. *Journal on Mathematics Education*, 10(1), 93-102.
- Usmeldi, R., Amini, S., Trisna. (2017). The Development of Research Based Learning Models with Science, Environment, Technology, and Society Approaches to Improve Critical Thinking of Students. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 6(2), 317-325.
- Wang, Y., Lavonen, J., & Tirri, K. (2018). Aims for Learning 21st Century Competencies in National Primary Science Curricula in China and Finland. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 14(6), 2081-2095.
- Wibowo, Noorhamdani, A. S., Sumarno, R. P., Teguh, W. S. (2014). Molecular Weight Identification of *Shygella dysenteriae* Adhesion Molecule Reseptors in Mice Enterocyte. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Science Invention*, 3(6), 58-62.
- Yerdelen, D. S., Özdemir, Ö. F., & Ünal, C. (2015). Pre-service Physics Teachers' Metacognitive Knowledge about Their Instructional Practices. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 11(5), 1009-1026.
- Yustina., W., Syafii, R., Vebrianto. (2020). The Effects of Blended Learning and Project-Based Learning on Pre-Service Biology Teachers' Creative Thinking Through Online Learning in The Covid-19 Pandemic. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 9 (3), 408-420.
- Zainuddin, Z. (2017). First-Year College Students' Experiences in the EFL Flipped Classroom: A Case Study in Indonesia. *International Journal of Instruction*, 10(1), 133-150.
- Zainuddin, Z., & Attaran, M. (2016). Malaysian Students' Perceptions of Flipped Classroom: A Case Study. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 53(6), 660-670.
- Zainuddin, Z., & Perera, C. J. (2019). Exploring Students' Competence, Autonomy and Relatedness in The Flipped Classroom Pedagogical Model. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 43(1), 115-126.
- Zulfiani, Z., Suwarni., I. P., Sumantri, M. F. (2020). Science Adaptive Assessment Tool: Kolb's Learning Style Profile and Student's Higher Order Thinking Skill Level. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*. 9(2), 194-207.

Paper title:
The Effect of Blended Project-based Learning Integrated with 21st-Century Skills on Higher-order Thinking Skills of Pre-Service Biology Teachers

Parts of review	Guidelines	Yes	Partly	No	Reviewer's note for improvement	Author's responds (highlight of revision)
Title	• Does the subject matter fit within the scope of journal?	√				
	• Does the title clearly and sufficiently reflect its contents?		√			
Abstract	• Does the abstract contain informative, including Background, Methods, Results and Conclusion?	√				
Back-ground	• Is the background informative and sufficient (include the background problem and objectives)?	√				
	• Is research question of the study clear and understandable?	√				
	• Does the rationale of the study clearly explained using relevant literature?	√				
	• Is the "aim" of the manuscript clear and understandable?	√				
Methods	• Is the methodology chosen suitable to the nature of the topic studied?		√			
	• Is the methodology of the research described clearly?(including study design, location, subjects, data collection, data analysis)		√			
	• Is there adequate information about the data collection tools used? (only for empirical studies)		√			
	• Are the validity and reliability of data collection tools established? (only for empirical studies)	√				
	• Are the data collection tools suitable for the methodology of the study? (only for empirical studies)	√				
Results & Discussion	• Are the tables, graphs and pictures understandable, well presented and numbered consecutively?	√				
	• Do the data analysis and the interpretation appropriate to the problem and answer the objectives?		√			
	• Does the "discussion" section of the manuscript adequately relate to the current and relevant literature?	√				
	• Are the findings discussed adequately considering the research question(s), sub-question(s) or hypothesis?	√				
Conclusion	• Is the conclusion clear and in the form of a narration instead of pointers?	√				
	• Isn't the conclusion a summary and consistent between problems, objectives and conclusion?	√				
References	• Do the references and citations match?	√				
	• Are the writing of references correct?	√				
Quality Criteria	• Do the title, problem, objectives, methods and conclusion are in line? Is it well organized?	√				
	• The quality of the language is satisfactory	√				
	• The work relevant and novel	√				
	• Are there strong consistencies among the parts of the manuscript? (introduction, methods, results and discussion, and conclusion)		√			

AUTHOR’S RESPONDS

Paper title:

The Effect of Blended Project-based Learning Integrated with 21st-Century Skills on Higher-order Thinking Skills of Pre-Service Biology Teachers

PARTS OF REVIEW	GUIDELINES	AUTHOR’S RESPONDS
INTRODUCTION	INTRODUCTION should: 1. Contain urgency (importance) to research	The urgency (importance) of the suggested research has been strengthened on page. 248 paragraph 2, lines 18 to 20.
	2. Contain a carrying capacity in the form of supporting data and facts	Supporting capacity in the form of data and facts as suggested support has been added to page 248 paragraphs 4, lines 12 to 20
	3. Contain a preliminary study as a basis for the importance of the research conducted	A preliminary study in the form of an analysis of learning outcomes as a basis for the importance of research being carried out has been added to page 249 paragraph 2, line 4 to 11.
	4. Contain a GAP ANALYSIS Departing from the preliminary study, analysis of published articles formulated in the Gap analysis. GAP ANALYSIS refers to articles published in various internationally reputable journals to emphasize the novelty of research.	GAP ANALYSIS from the preliminary study was added on page. 249 paragraph 2, lines 4 to 11. Analysis of articles published to formulate a gap analysis has been presented on page. 248 paragraph 4, lines 1 to 10, and reinforced at page. 249 paragraph 2, lines 11 to 23 GAP ANALYSIS refers to published articles to emphasize the novelty of research has been added to p. 249 2nd paragraph, and the renewal is clarified in the paragraph 3, line 5 to 11.
	5. Clear limitation of research objectives	The boundaries of the research objectives have been clearly stated on page. 249 paragraph 3, lines 1 to 5.
METHODS	METHODS should 1. Contain detailed research stages	The research stages have been added and detailed on page 250 of the paragraph 2, accompanied by an illustration on Figure 1
	2. Each stage is explained and analyzed by what method	It is clear that each stage of the research has been added and detailed on page 250 paragraph 2, lines 1 to 42. The analytical method has been added in page 250 paragraph 1 to 5
	3. Data analysis must be with clear references	Reference for data analysis has been added on page 251, line 4
	4. The research instruments used were elaborated to the data analysis technique	The research instrument used and data analysis techniques have been added to page 250 paragraphs 1 to 5

	5. It is hoped that there will be a modification in the stages of research from sources referred by the researcher	The modification of the research stages from the source referred to by the researcher has been illustrated in Figure 1 page. 250
Page	1. (each picture/table is preceded by an introduction to the description, and after the picture/table is given a description of the results shown.	Introduction / description before table as table explanation has been added on page 251 before table 3.
	2. The pictures/tables must not be consecutive	Figure / table has been improved (Table 2 and Table 3)
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	1. Tables or graphs (one selected) must represent different results	Tables or graphs have been adjusted to represent different results
	2. The results of data analysis must be strong in answering the analysis gap	Data analysis in answering the analysis gap has been added to page. 253 paragraphs 4, lines 10 to 16. Page 254 lines 1 to 8. Lines 13 to 27
	3. Display of results other than those narrated in table-graph-image-modeling	There is no display of results other than those narrated in the table-graph-picture model in writing
	4. The research novelty has not been clear enough	The novelty of research has been emphasized on p. 256 paragraphs 3, lines 13 to 18
	5. It is recommended not to repeat the references in the introduction, using previous research findings.	References in the introduction using the results of previous studies have been adjusted so that there is no repetition of references.
	6. References used should be taken from reputable journals.	The references used have been taken from reputable journals
	7. It is necessary to explain the specifications of the findings in this study that show	Specification of findings in this study on page 256 paragraph 2
References	1. Please provide at least 30 references which 80% of them are taken from the last 10 years (>2011) articles of no-predatory journals, written in accordance with the APA Standard. You may go to Google Scholar and find the right format for APA Style provided.	The references used have been as recommended, not included in predatory journals, and have been adjusted to the APA style
	2. For books, please refer to the original/primary book reference no matter the date.	Book references have been adjusted
	3. All of the listed references must be cited in the body of the article, and vice versa.	Has been adjusted as suggested



The Effect of Blended Project-based Learning Integrated with 21st-Century Skills on Higher-order Thinking Skills of Pre-Service Biology Teachers

DOI:

Accepted:... .Approved:Published: ...

ABSTRACT

Educational institutions are required to prepare competent and competitive pre-service teacher graduates who have skills according to the needs of the 21st century. This study aims to find the effect of blended Project-Based Learning (PjBL) integrated with 21st-century skills on higher-order thinking skills of students as pre-service biology teachers on immunology material. This research is an experimental study with a mixed method, in which the qualitative observation data uses a questionnaire with self-assessment and peer assessment methods, supported by experimental data using the HOTS instrument analyzed quantitatively. The application of blended PjBL integrated with 21st-century skills used a quasi-experimental research method with a quantitative descriptive approach. Prospective pre-service teacher to in this study are students who are still studying at educational institutions, especially teacher faculty. The research sample was students who were taking the immunology course in the Biology Education Study Program, namely 57 students from IAIN Palangka Raya, 60 students from Universitas Palangka Raya, and 83 students from Universitas Negeri Malang. The total sample of 200 people was then grouped into three groups based on their basic abilities, namely low-level class, medium-level class, and high-level class. The results of the study proved that the blended PjBL integrated with 21st-century skills had a significant effect on students' high-level thinking skills based on the paired sample test (Sig. 2-tailed 0.000<0.05). The value of mean in the experimental group (75.53) and the control group (56.35) strengthens the data significance of students' higher-order thinking skills based on the N-Gain value and the independent sample T-test. The findings of this study are that blended PjBL integrated with 21st-century skills can increase HOTS on indicators of evaluating (78.15) and creating (79.21). This blended learning integration can be used as an innovative learning model solution to increase the Higher Order Thinking Skill of pre-service biology teachers according to the demands of 21st-century skills.

Keywords: Blended Learning, Project-Based Learning, 21st-Century Skills, Immunology

© 2020 Science Education Study Program FMIPA UNNES Semarang

*Correspondence Address

Comment [A1]: This integration statement is lack of logic and seem irrational because the PjBL itself really contains and operates the skills. Please look back to PjBL framework carefully to check the skills integration.

Comment [A2]: This term is not in accordance with the sample used in this study that is written in abstract.

Comment [A3]: This is not pre-service teachers. Author stated that sample of this study is college students... that cannot be called as pre-service teacher.

INTRODUCTION

The 21st-century skills are the primary targets in the curriculum of educational institutions around the world (Alismail & McGuire, 2015; Mohammed, 2017; Wang et al., 2018; Haviz et al., 2018), because a good skill in the 21st Century becomes one of the solutions to answer the challenges of the industrial revolution 4.0 era. The 21st Century skills equalize the ability to think, which is needed in life for 21st century. One of the main ability in 21st century skills is creative, critical and problem solving, or known as higher order thinking skill (Laar et al., 2017; Rahman, 2019). Critical thinking really needs to be developed for students, because it is a cognitive thinking process (Sardone & Devlin-Scherer, 2010). The capability of thinking creatively is to produce products through new ideas (Hasanah, 2017), to produce ideas, changing thinking flexibly, and able to develop ideas to find problem solutions (Mayes et al., 2015). Problem-solving ability is a thought process that stimulates students to treat a problem and analyze it which aims to solve the problem, training individuals to collaborate procedurally and systematically, developing creativity, expanding thinking processes, increasing intellectual abilities, increasing individual motivation and increasing individual activity in the learning process. The problem-solving ability needs to be developed for each individual (López et al., 2011; Basilotta et al., 2017). The development of HOTS can be done in the learning process including biology learning.

Educators have prepared various strategies in preparing students and pre-service teachers with the demands of the 21st-century (Teo, 2019). Quality education is one of the factors that determine the progress of a nation. Therefore, educational institutions are required to prepare the nation's generation with special skills, otherwise known as 21st-century skills (Geisinger, 2016). 21st-century skills, or known as 4C, includes Critical Thinking and Problem Solving, Creativity and Innovation, Communication, Collaboration. Overall, these competencies are very much needed to survive in facing global problems (Jia et al., 2016; Greiff & Kyllonen, 2016). Thus, it is vital to research all fields, including 21st-century skills that involve students and pre-service biology teachers.

Haviz et al. (2018) explained that global competition and technological developments in the 21st-century are a fast and dynamic development of the century, and require individuals who have 4C skills or soft skills that are implemented in everyday life. Larson and

Miller (2011) also argued that soft skills that can be implemented directly in real life are more important than hard skills. Education is an academic forum that is expected to produce graduates who can follow scientific developments in the fields of science and technology. The teacher, as a human resource, has a vital role in the education system. Preparing qualified teachers is one of the responsibilities of educational institutions to produce competent and competitive teacher candidates. This competence is an absolute requirement for pre-service teachers according to the needs of the 21st century, so it becomes an important point in this research.

The 21st-century skills are needed by aspiring teachers to compete in the 21st century. Research by Haviz et al. (2020a) reported the importance of 21st-century integrative skills mastered by pre-service teachers and education administrators. Those skills can increase the ability to sell power (marketability), ability to work (employability), and readiness for citizenship (Sang et al., 2018; Zainuddin & Perera, 2019). Critical thinking and higher-order thinking skills are needed to perform a variety of analysis, assessments, evaluations, reconstruction, decision-making that leads to rational and logical action (Hudha & Batlolona, 2017). Higher-order thinking skills in 21st-century skills are one aspect that can be achieved through the Project-Based Learning (PjBL) model.

Analysis of several previous studies reported that blended learning and PjBL were quite influential in improving students' creative thinking skills (Yustina et al., 2020), students' metacognitive behaviour (Listiana et al., 2016), problem-solving abilities (Nawani et al., 2019), and generic science skills (Haviz et al., 2018). It also encourages creativity (Lucas, 2016), and is positively correlated with teacher analysis skills (Aslan & Zhu, 2017). Maryuningsih et al. (2019) emphasized the advantages of PjBL integration in science learning, which aims to determine the level of thinking skills and assess the perspective of Biology teachers. The results of a quasi-experimental study of 37 Biology teachers as respondents to the study reported that there was a significant increase in the thinking skills and perspectives of biology teachers in learning chromosome inheritance material through online discussion forums. Result of this research illustrates the importance of integrating science learning with 21st-century skills. Integrated learning is more focused on competency content so that exploration of 21st-century skills and

thinking skills in learning more broadly is essential (Zainuddin & Attaran, 2016).

Strengthening higher-order thinking skills (HOTS) is not only student-centred but also influenced by strategies and innovative models of learning (Haviz et al., 2020a). Therefore, the exploration of learning models is essential to improve thinking skills (Maryuningsih et al., 2019; Fitriani et al., 2019). The learning model must be designed appropriately to accustom students to think at higher levels (Listiana et al., 2016). Strengthening HOTS can be achieved when students actively understand and integrate knowledge with their experiences (Anderson & Krathwohl, 2015). To develop HOTS, students must understand factual, conceptual, and procedural knowledge to apply the knowledge they have practised and then analyze the process to find solutions. Lecturers guide students through observing activities, forming concepts, giving responses, analyzing, comparing, and giving the necessary considerations (Yerdelen et al., 2015). In line with this, Wang et al. (2018) stated that project-based learning is the ideal model for meeting 21st-century educational goals because it involves the 4C principles.

The PjBL, as a learning model, uses projects as learning media. Students carry out exploration, assessment, interpretation, synthesis, and information to achieve learning goals. The learning model is problem-oriented as a first step in collecting and integrating new knowledge based on experience and is designed to be used to analyze solutions to complex problems in investigating. The blended PjBL, which is integrated with 21st-century skills, is expected to have a better influence on the HOTS of pre-service biology teachers (Haviz et al., 2020b). Through this research, it is hoped that it can explore innovative learning models that are appropriate in increasing the HOTS of pre-service biology teachers, one of which is in the immunology material.

The material of the mucosal immune system in immunology courses is abstract (Sumarno et al, 2012; Wibowo et al., 2014; Sumarno et al, 2015), so it requires understanding and the ability to analyze higher basic concepts. A study of the students' learning outcomes at IAIN Palangka Raya indicated that 86.67% of the immunology material was inappropriate, 63.33% of students were less able to construct their understanding, and 60% of them were not able to develop sensitive attitudes towards technological developments related to infection and immunity. These characteristics of the material require higher-order thinking skills and better critical analysis skills. The target of learning outcomes in the immunology course is so that students can understand the basic concepts of immunology,

which include mechanisms at the cellular, tissue, organ, and organ system levels. Students can apply various immunology concepts in everyday life, analyze various problems that develop in the environment as an implementation of the concept in the field of immunology and communicate the results of applying the basic concepts of immunology based on scientific written observations. Immunoglobulin A (IgA) as a protein secreted by plasma cells that binds to antigens and functions as an effector of the humoral immune system, is essential to understand more explicitly concerning its function for the immune system against infection (Petersen et al., 2012).

Infections due to microorganisms and how to deal with them are basic knowledge that everyone must have in facing the current pandemic (Yustina et al., 2020). Transfer of knowledge concepts in learning requires a high level of understanding and critical analysis of students so that it can be understood optimally. The blended PjBL integrated 21st-century skills are recommended as an innovative learning model that has characteristics that are in line with the basic competencies of immunology material, which aims to improve students' HOTS and critical thinking skills. The integration of 21st-century skills in the blended learning model is reported by Haviz et al., (2020b) to give a significant contribution to the improvement of thinking skills of prospective biology teachers. The results of Haviz's study becomes the basis for the analysis of the importance of exploring the learning model for pre-service biology teachers in learning biology material in this study so that it is more innovative and able to stimulate higher-order thinking skills..

This study aims to explore innovative learning models to improve students' HOTS as pre-service biology teachers, through the blended PjBL integrated with 21st-century skills in immunology material. The innovative learning targeted in this study is the renewal of the learning model, namely by integrating one of the 21st-century skill components into the PjBL model which is also a novelty of the previous learning model. The 21st-century skill component referred is Critical Thinking and Problem Solving, which was inserted in the 5th stage (testing process and learning outcomes) and the 6th (project evaluation) in PjBL (Choi et al., 2019). Student HOTS targeted through the blended PjBL model is the students' ability to analyze, evaluate, and create in connection with learning projects of the importance of probiotic supplementation in increasing the body's immune system.

Comment [A4]: This statement is complicated of meaning. Learning models can be classified in student-centered or teacher-centered learning. Additional information is required to clarify the statement. Author seems cited more but were not constructed in a coherence of meaning. Reader will get difficulties to get points of paragraph.

Comment [A5]: In this paragraph, Author talks more about the material characteristics, e.g. abstract, so it difficult to understand. The learning objective of immunology course is to provide students understand basic concepts, e.g., cellular, tissues, organ, etc. Surprisingly, Authors also recognized that to understand such learning material required HOTS. I do not find how author can be scaffolded students who have difficulty in understanding basic concepts but also urged students to think in HOTS fashion. I also do not find how PjBL will be applied in the course?

Comment [A6]: This statement needs an elaboration to show how the PjBL mechanism working in the case of probiotic supplementation.

According to several studies, the blended learning used in the PjBL model can overcome the problem of time constraints (Sumarni & Kadarwati, 2020), because it involves students in organized and meaningful activities in designed projects (Ummah et al., 2019). Therefore, the blende model in this study uses modified practicum-based learning tools, both in terms of material and assessment aspects. Blended learning is expected to show significant results as one of the results of the exploration of an innovative learning model for immunology subjects that is appropriate in increasing students' HOTS. Implementing the four skills of the 21st-century requires multiple evaluations to apply to different environments. Therefore, the focus of this study is HOTS on several indicators of higher-order thinking criteria, as one of the exploratory findings of this study.

METHODS

This research used mixed-method, in which experimental research is integrated into educational research through a project-based blended learning model (Creswell, 2016). The mix method in blended PjBL integrated with 21st-Century Skills" in this research is combination or mixture of online and offline learning, which is a learning strategy that combines face-to-face learning and learning that uses online learning resources. The online learning resources supported by various sources of literature, which can be accessed via the internet (online). The information collected is discussed through an offline face to face meeting, and become a discussion material for the experimental project. The implementation stages of blended learning in this study refers to the PjBL integrated with 21st-century skills stages. The experimental stage in this research is designed to be part of a project implemented in the PjBL model, and be integrated into educational research through the activities of the mucosal immune system practicum. There were three research locations, namely The Laboratory of Microbiology of Institut Agama Islam Negeri Palangka Raya, the Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry of Universitas Palangka Raya, and the Laboratory of Biomolecular of Universitas Negeri Malang from August to September 2020.

The 21st-century skills to improve students' HOTS were analyzed from difference tests and score analysis of each indicator. The research subjects used as research samples were Biology Education undergraduate students taking Immunology courses, namely 57 students from Institut Agama Islam Negeri (IAIN) Palangka Raya, 60 students from Universitas Palangka Raya (UPR), and 83 students from Universitas Negeri Malang (UM). The research sample used

in this study were students who were studying at the teacher training faculty, so that the students were referred to as pre-service teachers. The whole research subjects were grouped into three groups based on their basic skills, namely low-level class, medium level class, and high-level class. The grouping is based on the results of the preliminary test analysis of students' HOTS initial abilities, where students whose score less than 56 are categorized in low-level group, a score between 56 and 71 is considered a medium level group, and a score greater than 71 is categorized as a high-level group (Table 1). Student grouping based on the initial ability of HOTS is carried out in all groups from which the student sample is referred to as a cluster. The grouping aims to determine the effectiveness of the implementation of the used blended learning model, whether it is more effective for groups of students with initial abilities of the low-level class, medium level class, or high-level class.

Table 1. The Classification of HOTS Score Groups

Range of Score	Number	Category
72 - 100	3.5 – 4.0	High
56 - 71	2.5 - 3.0	Medium
≤ 39 - 55	0 – 2.0	Low

The implementation stage of the blended PjBL integrated 21st-century skills of the research is summarized and presented in Figure 1.




Figure 1 Steps for Blended Project-Based Learning Integrated with 21st-Century Skills (Modification from Yustina et al., 2020).

The research stages in Figure 1 begin with; 1) the preparatory stage, consisting of the process of constructing instruments and designing a blended research design for the PjBL learning model that integrates 21st-century skills; 2) the stage of

Comment [A7]: What is basic skills that Author mean? Explanation is required.

Comment [A8]: Please provide logical argument, why did Author modify the Yustina' learning model? Please elaborate the modification both in introduction and in this section. Is the control class refer to Yustina' model? There is no information concerning the control group and the modification model.

AUTHOR’S RESPONDS

Paper title:

The Effect of Blended Project-based Learning Integrated with 21st-Century Skills on Higher-order Thinking Skills of Pre-Service Biology Teachers

PARTS OF REVIEW	GUIDELINES	AUTHOR’S RESPONDS
Title	Could you explain more “Blended Project-based Learning Integrated with 21st-Century Skills” ?	The explain has been added in method
INTRODUCTION	In the introduction part, I cannot find the research gap of this study and why this study is important?	The urgency and research gap this study, and why this study is important has been added on paragraph 1, page 248
	What is this? Page 249. line 3 “21 4C century skills,”	This has been revisied and added on page 249
	Provide citation of this statement Paragraph 2 “The material of the mucosal immune system in immunology courses is abstract”	This has been revisied page 249 .
METHODS	METHODS should Could you explain more “Blended Project-based Learning Integrated with 21st-Century Skills” in the method?	This has been added page 250
	Could you provide an example of instruments to measure HOTS abilities? “where students whose score less than 0.65 are categorized in low-level group, a score between 0.65 and 0.80 is considered a medium level group, and a score greater than 0.80 is categorized as a high-level group”. Form these statements, I am wondering if you can provide categorization. (if I see at Table 4, the category is different”	The example instrumens to measure HOTS abilities has been added on page 250 This has been revised and the student class grouping category table is added to table 1 page 250
	Check. “planning.”.	This has been revised page 250

	Each stage is explained and analyzed by what method Better to change the symbol. For instance O1, O2, O3, and O4.	This has been revised page 251.
	What if the N gain is 0.7? include in which category? Tabel 2	This has been revised page 251 table 3
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	What is the function of Min and Max value in Table 5?	This has been revised page 250
	In this figure, check again if you still use Indonesia language. Fig. 2	This has been revised page 253
	What is the meaning of this number? Table 7 (df=94)	This has been revised page 254-255
	Provide different color of this figure. Figure. 3	This has been revised page 255

AUTHOR'S RESPONDS

Paper title:

The Effect of Blended Project-based Learning Integrated with 21st-Century Skills on Higher-order Thinking Skills of Pre-Service Biology Teachers

PARTS OF REVIEW	GUIDELINES	AUTHOR'S RESPONDS
Title	This integration statement is lack of logic and seem irrational because the PjBL itself really contains and operates the skills. Please look back to PjBL framework carefully to check the skills integration. .	The explain has been added in method
Abstract	This term is not in accordance with the sample used in this study that is written in abstract. This is not pre-service teachers. Author stated that sample of this study is college students...that cannot be called as pre-service teacher	This has been revised and added on abstract This has been revised and added on abstract
INTRODUCTION	In this section, I do not find what is the study problems? Why do Author urged to study the effect of Blended Project-based Learning... Based on literatures cited, it is clear the effect of the learning model. PjBL is a learning model in which 21st-century skills covered. So, why authors urged to integrate the 4C skills into PjBLand analysis the effect of the integration? There is no critical analysis in this study. In this section, Author only showing readers that HOTs is need to strength with no gap analysis based on critical analysis.	This has been revised and added on page 248 paragraph 1
	Please do not starting a paragraph or sentence with a number!	This has been revised and added on page 248 paragraph 1
	This statement is complicated of meaning. Learning models can be classified in student-centered or teacher-centered learning. Additional information is required to clarify the statement. Author seems cited more but were not constructed in a	This has been revised page 249 paragraph 1

	coherence of meaning. Reader will get difficulties to get points of paragraph	
	In this paragraph, Author talks more about the material characteristics, e.g. abstract, so it difficult to understand. The learning objective of immunology course is to provide students understand basic concepts, e.g., cellular, tissues, organ, etc. Surprisingly, Authors also recognized that to understand such learning material required HOTs. I do not find how author can be scaffolded students who have difficulty in understanding basic concepts but also urged students to think in HOTs fashion. I also do not find how PjBl will be applied in the course?	This has been revised page 249 paragraph 3 .
	This statement needs an elaboration to show how the PjBL mechanism working in the case of probiotic supplementation.	This has been added page 250 paragraph 1
	What is basic skills that Author mean? Explanation is required.	This has been added page 250
METHODS	In this method, I do not find mixed method application. Therefore, I am not sure that this study is a mixed method.	This has been added page 250
	This method is contradicted to the method written in Abstract section.	This has been revised page 250 paragraph 2, and has been adapted to the abstract
	Please avoid starting sentence or paragraph with a number.	This has been revised page 250 paragraph 3
	The sample Author define cannot be called as pre-service teachers. They are college students. Unfortunately, I do not find logical arguments why this study involved the students? Similar to the sample, I also do not find why did Author select the course of immunology.	This has been revised page 250 paragraph 3
	Please provide logical argument, why did Author modify the Yustina' learning model? Please elaborate the modification both in introduction and in this section. Is the control class refer to Yustina' model? There is no information concerning the control group and the modification model.	This has been revised, and has been explained in page 251 paragraph 2
	This design is not mixed method.	This has been revised, and has been explained in page 250 paragraph 2

	<p>Is this statement cited from Sugiyono?</p> <p>N-gain is not a test, but just to describe the gain</p> <p>This statement is unclear. Please clarify how the percent is determined?</p> <p>What do Author mean with the effectiveness?</p>	<p>This has been revised</p> <p>This has been revised, page 252</p> <p>This has been revised 252</p> <p>This has been revised 252</p>
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	<p>The results do not show that mixed method was applied in the study.</p>	<p>This has been revised page 252, paragraph 1</p>
	<p>Author show cluster in Figure 2 which has not explain in the method section. What is cluster?</p>	<p>This has been revised and clarified about the cluster statement according to the author page 250 paragraph 4</p>
	<p>Table format style of JPPII</p>	<p>This has been revised</p>
	<p>Author should explain why the experiment groups were higher than students from control groups. What the difference between groups that leads the students to difference in HOT skills?</p>	<p>This has been revised page 255</p>
	<p>Such data in Table 8 can be show in simple implicitly in text.</p>	<p>This data has been show in implicity in the text page 255 paragraph 2</p>



MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, CULTURE, RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITAS NEGERI SEMARANG
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF INTEGRATED SCIENCES
IN COLLABORATION WITH PERKUMPULAN PENDIDIK IPA INDONESIA
JURNAL PENDIDIKAN IPA INDONESIA



p-ISSN: 2339-1286; e-ISSN: 2089-4392

Nationally Accredited Decree of The Minister of Education, Culture, Research and Technology No. 2/E/KPT/2015
SCOPUS INDEXED AND Q2 SCIMAGOJR

Secretariat: D5 Building, First Floor, UNNES Campus in Sekaran Gunungpati Semarang, Indonesia, Postal Code 50229.
Phone (+6224) 70805795, Fax. (+6224) 8508005, E-mail: jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id, Website: <http://journal.unnes.ac.id/nju/index.php/jpii>

DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY
JURNAL PENDIDIKAN IPA INDONESIA

We the undersigned declare that this manuscript is original, has not been published before and is not currently being considered for publication or submitted elsewhere.

We wish to confirm that there are no known conflicts of interest associated with this publication and there has been no significant financial support for this work that could have influenced its outcome.

We confirm that the manuscript has been read and approved by all named authors and that there are no other persons who satisfied the criteria for authorship but are not listed. We further confirm that the order of authors listed in the manuscript has been approved by all of us.

We confirm that we have given due consideration to the protection of intellectual property associated with this work and that there are no impediments to publication, including the timing of publication, with respect to intellectual property. In so doing we confirm that we have followed the regulations of our institutions concerning intellectual property.

The first author,

[Noor Hujjatusnaini]



MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, CULTURE, RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITAS NEGERI SEMARANG
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF INTEGRATED SCIENCES
IN COLLABORATION WITH PERKUMPULAN PENDIDIK IPA INDONESIA
JURNAL PENDIDIKAN IPA INDONESIA



p-ISSN: 2339-1286; e-ISSN: 2089-4392

Nationally Accredited Decree of The Minister of Education, Culture, Research and Technology No. 2/E/KPT/2015

SCOPUS INDEXED AND Q2 SCIMAGOJR

Secretariat: D5 Building, First Floor, UNNES Campus in Sekaran Gunungpati Semarang, Indonesia, Postal Code 50229.

Phone (+6224) 70805795, Fax. (+6224) 8508005, E-mail: jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id, Website: <http://journal.unnes.ac.id/nju/index.php/jpii>

LETTER OF STATEMENT

No: 25/JPII/R.A./2022

I hereby declare that the article:

title : **The Effect of Blended Project-based Learning Integrated with 21st-Century Skills on Higher-order Thinking Skills of Pre-Service Biology Teachers**

authors

- :
1. **Noor Hujjatusnaini**
 2. **A Duran Corebima**
 3. **Sumarno Reto Prawiro**
 4. **Abdul Gofur**

is **APPROVED** to be published in JPII for March 2022 Issue.

Hereby this statement is made truthfully and to be used accordingly.

Semarang, 24 Maret 2022

First Author,

Noor Hujjatusnaini



MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE
UNIVERSITAS NEGERI SEMARANG
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF INTEGRATED SCIENCES
IN COLLABORATION WITH PERKUMPULAN PENDIDIK IPA INDONESIA
JURNAL PENDIDIKAN IPA INDONESIA



p-ISSN: 2339-1286; e-ISSN: 2089-4392

Nationally Accredited Decree of The Minister of Education and Culture No. 2/E/KPT/2015 SCOPUS INDEXED AND Q2 SCIMAGOJR

Secretariat: D5 Building, First Floor, UNNES Campus in Sekaran Gunungpati Semarang, Indonesia, Postal Code 50229.

Phone (+6224) 70805795, Fax. (+6224) 8508005, E-mail: jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id, Website: <http://journal.unnes.ac.id/nju/index.php/jpii>

LETTER OF ACCEPTANCE
JURNAL PENDIDIKAN IPA INDONESIA (JPII)
No: 89/JPII/R.A./2021

The officials of Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia (JPII) (Nationally Accredited and Indexed by Scopus) give special thanks for submitting article for JPII. Based on reviewers' decision, we as the officials stated that:

Article Title : **The Effect of Blended Project-based Learning Integrated with 21st-Century Skills on Higher-order Thinking Skills of Pre-Service Biology Teachers**


Authors : **Noor Hujjatusnaini, A Duran Corebima, Sumarno Reto Prawiro, Abdul Gofur**

is **accepted** and is going to be published in JPII December 2021 Vol 10 No 4 Edition.

The admission letter is made for appropriate use only. Thank you for the cooperation.

Semarang, 10th March, 2021

Editor-in-Chief of JPII,


ISSN 2089-4392
Dr. Parmin, M.Pd.
NIP 197901232006041003