THE EFFECT OF BASIC QUESTIONING TECHNIQUE WITH PICTURE TOWARDS STUDENTS' WRITING SKILL OF RECOUNT TEXT ACROSS THE DIFFERENT LEVELS OF MOTIVATION AT THE EIGHTH GRADE OF SMPN 2 PALANGKA RAYA

A THESIS

Proposed to Department of Education of the Islamic State College of Palangka Raya in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of SarjanaPendidikan Islam

By:

M A R D I A N A
SRN. 1001120567

THE STATE ISLAMIC COLLEGE OF PALANGKA RAYA
THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION THE STUDY PROGRAM OF ENGLISH EDUCATION
1435 H / 2014 M
APPROVAL OF THE THESIS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Title of the Thesis: The Effect of Basic Questioning Technique with Picture Towards Students’ Writing Skill of Recount Text Across the Different Levels of Motivation at the Eighth Grade of SMPN 2 Palangka Raya

Name: Mardiana
SRN: 1001120567
Department: Education
Study Program: English Education
Level: (S-1)

Palangka Raya, October 2014
Approved by,

Advisor I,
Advisor II,

Rahmadi Nirwanto, M.Pd
NIP. 19700131 200212 1 002

Sabarun, M. Pd
NIP. 19680322 200801 1 005

Vice Director in Academic Affairs,
The Department of Education Chair,

Drs. Fahmi, M.Pd
NIP. 19610520 199903 1 003

Triwid S.N., M.Pd
NIP. 19710914 200312 2 001
OFFICIAL NOTE

Case: please be examined Mardiana’s Thesis

To. Director of the State Collage of Islamic Studies of Palangka Raya
In
Palangka Raya

Palangka Raya, October 2014

Peace be unto you God’s mercy and blessing as well

By reading and analyzing of your thesis’ revision, we think that your thesis in the name of:

Name : Mardiana
SRN : 1001120567

Title of the Thesis : THE EFFECT OF BASIC QUESTIONING TECHNIQUE WITH PICTURE TOWARDS STUDENTS’ WRITING SKILL OF RECOUNT TEXT ACCROSS THE DIFFERENT LEVELS OF MOTIVATION AT THE EIGHTH GRADE OF SMPN 2 PALANGKA RAYA

Can be examined in partial fulfillment of the Degree of Sarjana Pendidikan Islam in English Education of Department of Education STAIN Palangka Raya.

Thank you on your attention
Peace be with you and God’s blessing

Advisor I,

Advisor II,

Rahmadi Nirwanto, M.Pd
NIP. 19700131 200212 1 002

Sabarun, M. Pd
NIP. 19680322 200801 1 005
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Alhamdulillah and praise belong to Allah the Almighty, because of His Blessing and Mercy, the writer is able to accomplish this thesis entitled: The Effect of Basic Questionning Technique with Picture Towards Students’ Writing Skill of Recount Text Accross The Different Levels of Motivation at The Eighth Grade of SMPN 2 Palangka Raya.

This thesis is written to fulfill one of the requirements to get title of Sarjana Pendidikan Islam (S.Pdi) in the English Education, the Department of Education, the State Islamic College of Palangka Raya. Many people have contributed guidance, suggestion, and support to improve the writer’s thesis, therefore the writer would like to express her greatest gratitude to:

1. Dr. IbnuElmi A.S Pelu, S.H, The Director of the State Islamic College of Palangka Raya (STAIN), for his direction and encouragement.
2. Triwid, M.Pd., The Chair of Department of Education, for her agreement so that the writer can complete the requirements of writing this thesis.
3. SantiErliana, M.Pd., the Chief of the English Education Study Program, for her guidance and encouragement during the accomplishment of this thesis.
4. Rahmadi Nirwanto, M.Pd, the first advisor, for his valuable guidance, suggestion, and encouragement.
5. Sabarun, M.Pd, the second advisor, for his valuable guidance, suggestion, and encouragement.
6. Milo, M.Pd, the English Teacher of SMPN 2 Palangka Raya, for the time and opportunity that have given during the accomplishment of this thesis.
7. All English lecturers and staffs of STAIN PalangkaRaya for their help and support.

8. All my friends who have helped the accomplishment of this thesis.

9. Last, my family who always give support morally and spiritually.

The researcher realizes that this thesis is not perfect; therefore some constructive critical and suggestion are warmly welcomed. The researcher hopes that may Allah always keeps us on the straight path, rewards, and blesses us for what we do and this writing can be useful for all of us.

Palangka Raya, October 2014

The Writer

Mardiana
SRN.1001120567
THE EFFECT OF BASIC QUESTIONING TECHNIQUE WITH PICTURE TOWARDS STUDENTS’ WRITING SKILL OF RECOUNT TEXT ACROSS THE DIFFERENT LEVELS OF MOTIVATION AT THE EIGHTH GRADE OF SMPN 2 PALANGKA RAYA

ABSTRACT

The objectives of this study were (a). To measure the effect of basic questioning technique with picture to the high motivation students in writing recount text at the eighth grade of SMPN 2 Palangka Raya. (b). To measure the effect of basic questioning technique with picture to the low motivation students in writing recount text at the eighth grade of SMPN 2 Palangka Raya. (c). To measure the effect basic questioning technique with picture to the high and low motivation students in writing recount text at the eighth grade of SMPN 2 Palangka Raya.

The study included in Quantitative Paradigm with Experimental factorial 2x2 design. The writer designed the Lesson Plan, conducted the treatment and observed the students’ score by pre-test and post-test. Before conducted the test, the writer conducted try-out test for reliability and validity of instrument test at SMPN 2 Palangka Raya but the test was at class VIII-1. The population of study were the first year students at SMPN 2 Palangka Raya which consisted of 8 classes that each class consist of 33-35 students. The writer chose VIII-6 and VIII-7 as sample. The sample was determined using cluster sampling technique. The writer applied Two-ways ANOVA calculation to test the hypothesis to analyze the data.

The result of testing reliability, it was found that the $r_o = 0.954$ was higher than $r_{table} = 0.3494$. Since the coefficient of correlation was 0.954 with the high criteria positive reliability. It meant that the instrument of this study could be used as the instrumentation of the study. Then, the result of testing normality found asymptotic significance (0.206) that was higher than significance level ($\alpha= 0.05$). It could be concluded the data was in normal distribution. The result of homogeneity showed that the significance observed (0.490) was higher than ($\alpha= 0.05$). It could be conclude that the data was not violated homogeneity. The result of Two- Ways ANOVA with SPSS 20.0 and manual calculation the result of first Ha, it was found the value of $F_o$ between columns (23558.6) that was lower than $F_{table}$ at significance level of 5% (4.00). Ha stating that accepted and H0 was rejected. Second Ha, it was proved by the value of $F_o$ between rows (14.8) that was higher than $F_{table}$ at significance level of 5% (4.00). It was interpreted that Ha stating that Ha was accepted and H0 was rejected. Third Ha, it was found the value of $F_o$ interaction (5.5) that was higher than $F_{table}$ at significance level of 5% (4.00). It means that Ha was accepted and H0 was rejected.

Key Terms: Basic Questioning Technique, Picture, Writing Recount Text
ABSTRAK

Dasar tujuan dari penelitian ini adalah (a). untuk mengukur efek tehnik kata tanya dasar yang menggunakan gambar pada siswa yang mempunyai motivasi tinggi dalam menulis teks recount pada kelas delapan SMPN 2 Palangka Raya. (b). untuk mengetahui efek tehnik kata tanya dasar yang menggunakan gambar pada siswa yang mempunyai motivasi rendah penggunaan gambar terhadap siswa dengan prestasi rendah dalam pengajaran menulis teks recount pada kelas delapan SMPN 2 Palangka Raya. (c). untuk mengetahui efek tehnik kata tanya dasar yang menggunakan gambar pada siswa yang mempunyai motivasi yang tinggi dan rendah dalam menulis teks recount pada kelas delapan SMPN 2 Palangka Raya. Jenis penelitian ini adalah quantitative dengan design experimen factorial 2x2. Peneliti menyiapkan rancangan pembelajaran, melakukan pengajaran dan menghitung nilai siswa dari Pre-test hingga Post-test. Sebelum melakukan Pre-test dan Post-test, peneliti terlebih dulu melakukan tes uji coba untuk memperoleh reliability dan validitasnya instrument yang akan digunakan dalam ujiannya. Populasi dari penelitian ini adalah seluruh siswa tahun pertama atau siswa kelas VIII dari SMPN 2 Palangka Raya pada delapan kelas yang masing-masing terdapat 33-35 siswa. Kemudian peneliti memilih kelas VIII-6 dan VIII-7 sebagai sampel dengan menggunakan teknik Cluster sampling. Peneliti menggunakan Anova dua jalur untuk menganalisa data. Hasil dari pengujian normality diperoleh nilai r = 0.954 lebih tinggi dari rtable (0.3494) pada level signifikan 0.05. Oleh karena nilai koefisien reliabilitynya tinggi yaitu 0.954. Sehingga dapat disimpulkan bahwa instrument dari uji ini dapat digunakan sebagai instrument penelitian. Kemudian hasil dari pengujian normality diperoleh nilai asymptotic significance (0.206) yang mana lebih tinggi dari level signifikan pada 0.05. Oleh sebab itu dapat disimpulkan bahwa data dari penelitian ini berdistribusi normal. Selanjutnya berdasarkan hasil pengujian homogenitas diperoleh hasil signifikan hitung (0.490) yang mana lebih tinggi dari (α = 0.05). Dari hasil itu dapat disimpulkan bahwa data penelitian ini memiliki varian yang homogen. Berdasarkan perhitungan menggunakan ANOVA dua jalur pada SPSS 20.0 dan penghituan manual. Diperoleh Ha Pertama, yaitu dengan nilai Fo antara kolom (23558.6) yang kemudian dibandingkan dengan nilai Ftable pada level signifikan 0.05 (4.00), karena Fo (23558.6) >Ftable (4.00). Sehingga dapat disimpulkan bahwa Ha ditolak dan H0 diterima. Kedua, diperoleh nilai dari Fo antar baris (14.8) yang kemudian dibandingkan dengan nilai Ftable pada level signifikan 0.05 (4.00), karena Fo (14.8) >Ftable (4.00). Sehingga dapat disimpulkan bahwa Ha dapat diterima dan H0 ditolak. Ketiga, diperoleh nilai Fo (5.5) antar baris interaksi yang kemudian dibandingkan dengan nilai Ftable pada level signifikan 0.05 (4.00), karena Fo (5.5) > Ftable (4.00). sehingga dapat disimpulkan bahwa Ha diterima dan Ho di tolak.

Istilah Kunci: Tehnik kata tanya dasar, Gambar, Recount text.
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