## CHAPTER IV <br> RESULT OF THE STUDY AND DISCUSSION

## A. Description of the Data

This section consists of the measurement of central tendency (Mean, median and mode of each group score), the measurement of variability (standard deviation and standard error of the mean), some figures and tables of each group score and the discussion of the study.

## 1. The Result of Pre Test Score of Class Experiment and Class Control

The writer gave pre test to the experiment class and control class. The first, pre test was conducted to the experiment class. It was conducted on Saturday, August $15^{\text {th }}, 2015$, at 07.50-09.10 am: in the class VIII.B with the number of student were 25 students. Than for pre test was conducted to control class. It was conducted on Tuesday, August $18^{\text {th }}, 2015$, at $10.10-11.50 \mathrm{am}$; in the class VIII.C with the number of student were 26 students.

Table 4.1 the Description of Pre Test Score of

## Experimental Class and Control Class

| No | Experiment Class |  | Control Class |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students' Code | Score | Students' <br> Code | Score |
| 1 | E01 | 55 | C01 | 42.5 |
| 2 | E 02 | 55 | C 02 | 27.5 |
| 3 | E 03 | 35 | C 03 | 47.5 |
| 4 | E 04 | 60 | C 04 | 45 |
| 5 | E 05 | 37.5 | C 05 | 47.5 |
| 6 | E06 | 45 | C 06 | 47.5 |


| 7 | E07 | 50 | C07 | 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | E08 | 55 | C08 | 32.5 |
| 9 | E09 | 42.5 | C09 | 51.5 |
| 10 | E10 | 57.5 | C10 | 42.5 |
| 11 | E11 | 65 | C11 | 42.5 |
| 12 | E12 | 52.5 | C12 | 30 |
| 13 | E13 | 68.5 | C13 | 35 |
| 14 | E14 | 45 | C14 | 37.5 |
| 15 | E15 | 20 | C15 | 50 |
| 16 | E16 | 62.5 | C16 | 27.5 |
| 17 | E17 | 25 | C17 | 40 |
| 18 | E18 | 52.5 | C18 | 50 |
| 19 | E19 | 45 | C19 | 47.5 |
| 20 | E20 | 52.5 | C20 | 37.5 |
| 21 | E21 | 32.5 | C21 | 30 |
| 22 | E22 | 40 | C22 | - |
| 23 | E23 | 67.5 | C23 | - |
| 24 | E24 | 35 | C24 | - |
| 25 | E25 | 47.5 | C25 | - |
| 26 | - | - | C26 | - |
| Highest Score |  | 68.5 |  | 51.5 |
| Lowest Score |  | 20 |  | 27.5 |
| Mean |  | 48.24 |  | 39.28 |
| Standard Deviation |  | 22.695 |  | 7.886 |
| Standard Error of Mean |  | 4.633 |  | 1.762 |

Based on the result of research in class VIIIB as experiment class before was taught by Authentic materials as media, the highest pre test score was 68.5 and the lowest pre test score was 20 , the mean of experiment class was 48.24 and the standard deviation of experiment class was 22.695 .

In addition, the result of research in class VIIIC as control class before was taught by textbook, the highest pre test score was 51 and the lowest pre test score was 27 , the mean
of control class was 39.28 and the standard deviation of control class was 7.886 . (See detail in appendix 2.1).

## 2. The Result of Post-Test Score of Experiment Class and Control Class

The writer gave post-test to the experiment class and control class. The first, post-test was conducted to the experiment class. It was conducted on Saturday, August, $29^{\text {st }}, 2015$, at $07.50-09.10 \mathrm{am}$ : in the class VIII.B with the number of student were 25 students. Than for post-test was conducted to control class. It was conducted on Tuesday, September $3^{\text {th }}, 2015$, at $10.10-11.50 \mathrm{am}$; in the class VIIIC with the number of student were 26 students.

Table 4.2 The Description of Post Test Score of

## Experimental Class and Control Class

| No | Experiment Class |  | Control Class |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students' <br> Code | Score | Students' <br> Code | Score |
| 1 | E 01 | 55 | C 01 | 55 |
| 2 | E 02 | 47.5 | C 02 | 57.5 |
| 3 | E 03 | 80 | C 03 | 74.5 |
| 4 | E 04 | 70 | C 04 | 52.5 |
| 5 | E 05 | 70 | C 05 | 62.5 |
| 6 | E 06 | 80 | C 06 | 70 |
| 7 | E 07 | 70 | C 07 | 57.5 |
| 8 | E 08 | 72.5 | C 08 | 65 |
| 9 | E 09 | 87.5 | C 09 | 65 |
| 10 | E 10 | 72.5 | C 10 | 65 |
| 11 | E 11 | 60 | C 11 | 70 |
| 12 | E 12 | 77.5 | C 12 | 62.5 |
| 13 | E 13 | 60 | C 13 | 57.5 |
| 14 | E 14 | 75 | C 14 | 60 |


| 15 | E15 | 62.5 | C15 | 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | E16 | 62.5 | C16 | 55 |
| 17 | E17 | 87,5 | C17 | 67.5 |
| 18 | E18 | 72.5 | C18 | 57.5 |
| 19 | E19 | 62.5 | C19 | 67.5 |
| 20 | E20 | 65 | C20 | 62.5 |
| 21 | E21 | 72.5 | C21 | 62.5 |
| 22 | E22 | 77.5 | C22 | 50 |
| 23 | E23 | 70 | C23 | 67.5 |
| 24 | E24 | 65 | C24 | 45 |
| 25 | E25 | 57.5 | C25 | 52.5 |
| 26 | - | - | C26 | 70 |
| Highest Score |  | 87,5 |  | 74.5 |
| Lowest Score |  | 47.5 |  | 45 |
| Mean |  | 68.8 |  | 60.69 |
| Standard Deviation |  | 9.251 |  | 6.965 |
| Standard Error of Mean |  | 1.888 |  | 1.393 |

Based on the result of research in class VIII.B as experiment class after was taught by using Authentic materials as media, the highest post- test score was 87.5 and the lowest post- test score was 47.5 , the mean of experiment class was 68.8 , the standard deviation of experiment class was 9.251 and the standard error of post-test experiment class was 1.888.

In addition, the result of research in class VIII.C as control class after was taught by using textbook, the highest post test score was 74.5 and the lowest post test score was 45 , the mean of control class was 60.69 , the standard deviation of class control was 6.965 , the standard error of class control was 1.393. (See detail in appendix 2.1).

## 3. The comparison of post-test score of experiment and control class

The writer concluded the comparison of post-test score of experiment and control class. Here, the calculation of the result in table 4.3:

| No | The Post-Test Score |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Experiment | Control | Increased |
| 1 | 55 | 55 | 0 |
| 2 | 47.5 | 57.5 | -10 |
| 3 | 80 | 74.5 | 4.5 |
| 4 | 70 | 52.5 | 17.5 |
| 5 | 70 | 62.5 | 7.5 |
| 6 | 80 | 70 | 10 |
| 7 | 70 | 57.5 | 12.5 |
| 8 | 72.5 | 65 | 7.5 |
| 9 | 82 | 65 | 17 |
| 10 | 72.5 | 65 | 7.5 |
| 11 | 60 | 70 | -10 |
| 12 | 77.5 | 62.5 | 15 |
| 13 | 60 | 57.5 | 2.5 |
| 14 | 75 | 60 | 5 |
| 15 | 62.5 | 65 | -2.5 |
| 16 | 62.5 | 55 | 7.5 |
| 17 | 87.5 | 67.5 | 19.5 |
| 18 | 72.5 | 57.5 | 15 |


| 19 | 62.5 | 67.5 | -5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 65 | 62.5 | 2.5 |
| 21 | 72.5 | 62.5 | 10 |
| 22 | 77.5 | 50 | 27.5 |
| 23 | 70 | 67.5 | 2.5 |
| 24 | 65 | 45 | 20 |
| 25 | 57.5 | 52.5 | 5 |
| 26 | - | 70 | 70 |
| Total <br> score | 1722 | 1597 |  |

## B. Result of Data Analysis

## 1. Testing Hypothesis Using Manual Calculation

To test the hypothesis of the study, the writer used t-test statistical calculation. The first, the writer calculated the standard deviation and the standard error of $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $X_{2}$. It was found the standard deviation and the standard error of post-test of $X_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ at the previous data presentation. It could be seen on this following table 3.7:

Table 4.4 the standard deviation and standard error of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$

| Variable | The Standard Deviation | The Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 9.2519 | 1.888 |
| $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 6.965 | 1.393 |

Where:
$\mathrm{X}_{1}=$ Experiment Class
$\mathrm{X}_{2}=$ Control Class

The table showed the result of the standard deviation calculation of $X_{1}$ was 9.2519 and the result of the standard error mean calculation was 1.888. The result of the standard deviation calculation of $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ was 6.965 and the result of the standard error mean calculation was 1.393.

The next step, the writer calculated the standard error of the differences mean between $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ as follows:

Standard Error of mean of score difference between variable 1 and variable II:
$S E m 1-S E m 2=\sqrt{S E m 1^{2}+S E m 2^{2}}$

$$
=\sqrt{1.888^{2}+1.393^{2}}
$$

$$
=\sqrt{3.564544+1.940449}
$$

$$
=\sqrt{5.504993}
$$

$=3.423$

Then, to examine the hypothesis, the writer used the formula as follow:
$t o=\frac{M 1-M 2}{S E m 1-S E m 2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{68.8-60.29}{2.346} \\
& =3.495
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, the writer accounted degree of freedom (df) with the formula as follow:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d f & =(N 1+N 2-2) \\
& =(25+26-2) \\
& =49
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}$ at df 49 at $5 \%$ significant level $=3.495$
The writer chose the significant level on 5\%, it means the significant level of refusal of null hypothesis typed stated on non-directional (two- tailed test). It meant that the hypothesis can't direct the prediction of alternative hypothesis.

The calculation above showed the result of $t$ - test calculation as in the table follows:

Table 4.5 the result of T-test

| Variable | T Observed | T table |  | Df |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $5 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{1}-\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 3.495 | 2.01 | 2.68 | 49 |

Where:
$\mathrm{X}_{1} \quad:$ Experiment class
$\mathrm{X}_{2} \quad:$ Control class
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {observe }} \quad:$ The Calculated Value
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {table }} \quad:$ The Distribution of t value
Df : Degree of Freedom
Based on the result of hypothesis test calculation, it was found that the value of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }}$ at significance level or $2.01<3.495>2.68$. It meant $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ was accepted and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ was rejected.

It could be interpreted based on the result of calculation that $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ stating the students taught by used authentic materials as media have better vocabulary mastery that taught using handout was accepted and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ stating that the students taught by used authentic materials as media not have better vocabulary mastery than those taught used textbook was rejected. Therefore teaching using authentic material as media gave significant effect on English vocabulary mastery of the eighth grade students MTs Darul Amin palangka raya.

## 2. Testing Hypothesis Using SPSS Program

The writer also applied SPSS16 program to calculate t-test in testing hypothesis of the study. The result of t-test using SPSS16 was used to support the manual calculation of the t-test. The result of the $t$-test using SPSS16 program could be seen as follows:

Table 4.6 the Standard Deviation and the Standard Error of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$

| Group Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Class | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |  |
| SCORE | 1.00 |  | 25 | 69.060 | 9.308 |  |
|  | 2.00 | 26 | 61.423 | 7.110 | 1.86 |  |

The table showed the result of the standard deviation calculation of $X_{1}$ was 9.308 and the result of the standard error mean calculation was 1.86. The result of the standard deviation calculation of $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ was 61.423 and the standard error mean calculation was 1.39.

Table 4.7 the Calculation T-test Using SPSS 16 Program Independent Sample

## Test



Based on the result of $t$-value using SPSS 16 program, since the result of the post-test between experimental and control group had difference score of variance, it found that result of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }}$ was 3.301 , the result of mean differences between experiment and control group was 7.63692 .

To examine the truth or the Ho stating that there is no significant difference between English vocabulary mastery using authentic material as media and without authentic material as media on English vocabulary mastery at the eighth grade student MTs Darul Amin Palangka Raya was rejected, the result of post-test was interpreted on the result of degree freedom to get t -table.the result degree freedom (df) was 49 . The following table was the result of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }}$ and $\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}$ from 49 df at $5 \%$ and $1 \%$ significance level.

Table 4.8 the Result of T-Test

| Variable | T Observed | T table |  | df |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $5 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{1}-\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 3.301 | 2.01 | 2.68 | 49 |

Where:
$\mathrm{X}_{1} \quad:$ Experiment class
$\mathrm{X}_{2} \quad:$ Control class
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {observe }} \quad:$ The Calculated Value
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {table }} \quad:$ The Distribution of t value
Df : Degree of Freedom

## 3. Interpretation

The interpretation of using SPSS16 program also supported the result of manual calculation. From the result of $t$-value using SPSS16 above was found that $H_{o}$ was rejected. It was found $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }} 3.301$ was higher than $\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }} 2.01$ in the significance level of $5 \%$ and higher in the significance level of $1 \% 2.68$. It could be interpreted that alternative hypothesis $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ was accepted. Therefore teaching using authentic material as media gave significant effect on English vocabulary mastery of the eighth grade students MTs Darul Amin palangka raya.

## C. Discussion

The result of the analysis showed that authentic materials as media gave significance effect to the English vocabulary mastery. It could be proved from the students' score the student taught used authentic materials as media reached higher score than those used textbook. It was found the mean of experiment class the score $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ was 68.8 and the mean of control class $\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$ was 60.69 . Then, those results were compared using T -test and it was found $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }}$ computation used manual was 3.495 and $\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}$ was 2.01. It meant, from the computation was found $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }}>\mathrm{t}_{\text {table. }}$.

There were some reasons why using Authentic Materials as media gives effect on vocabulary mastery at the eighth grade students at MTs Darul Amin Palangka Raya. First, Authentic materials as media are positive and they can enjoy to study English vocabulary. It could was saw from score of mean between pre test and post test of experiment class. The score of mean in post test was higher than the score of mean in pre test $($ Post test $=88.5>$ pre test $=77.5)$. It indicated that the students' score
increased after was conducted treatment. It supported the previous study by Zoghi stated in chapter II page 13 for this result, the results show that most of the student' attitude towards the use authentic English of authentic English language material are positive and they enjoy learning. ${ }^{1}$

Second, teaching vocabulary by using authentic material can effect on students' motivation and increases student' vocabulary at the students of eighth grade students of MTs darul amin Palangka Raya. This supported by Chavez stated in chapter II page 25, the main advantages of using authentic materials are as follows: ${ }^{2}$ It has a positive effect on students' motivation, it gives authentic cultural information, it exposes students to the real language, it relates more closely to students need, it supports a more creative approach to teach, and it increases students' vocabularies.

The tried, the students were preferred study English vocabulary through authentic materials so that they could really used such materials in the real situations. Although learning vocabulary through authentic materials were very active and had more comfort as well as self confidence when they attended and participated in the class. Nonetheless, they seemed not to have difficulty in understanding the lesson using authentic materials since most of them had certain background knowledge about the class materials.

[^0]The fourth, using authentic material, the students were enjoyed to learned English vocabulary. Students get greatly motivated again for reading by using authentic material in the foreign language classroom, deference between non authentic materials, the students were boring to learn English vocabulary because they were used text book there is no other media.

Besides, the students in control class were bored to learn English. It is caused they were taught using non-authentic materials. Non-authentic material does not have varied vocabulary and it does not give students authentic information about the culture. It can be said that this is the factor why the students' scores of experimental class are higher than the students' scores of control class.

In order to know there is significant difference or no between students' scores who taught using authentic materials and those who taught using non-authentic materials in students of eighth grade students of MTs Darul Amin Palanga Raya, the writer had conducted pre-test and post-test to both classes (experimental and control class). From the result, the writer known that teaching students by using authentic materials can improve students' skill in learning English especially in English vocabulary mastery. It is known from data that collected from students' scores in pretest and post-test of both classes that had been calculated by the writer.

The data showed that students' scores in post-test of experimental class were higher than the students' scores in post-test of control class. So, it proves that Ha that stating there is significant difference between students' scores who taught using authentic materials and those who taught using non-authentic materials is accepted,
and Ho that stating there is no significant difference between students' scores who taught using authentic materials and those who taught using non-authentic materials is rejected.

So, using authentic material gave effect for English vocabulary mastery, for student's score of eighth grade student of MTs Darul Amin palangka raya.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Masoud Zoghi, Ftemeh moradiyan zardak, seyyed ali kazemi, The Effects of Authentic Materials On Vocabulary Development, Journal International: IJLLALW, 2014. P.157. URL : http://www.ijllalw.org/finalversion5413.pdf (acsessed on April 22, 2015 at 10:23 am)
    ${ }^{2}$ Chavez, Learner's Perspectives on Authenticity, New York: Addison-Wesley Longman,1998, P. 104.

