## CHAPTER IV

## RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

In this chapter, the writer presented the data obtained of the students' score in questionnaire, the data obtained of the students' score in vocabulary mastery in class A, and the data obtained of students' score in vocabulary mastery in class B.

## A. Data Presentation

## 1. The Pre Analysis Of Questionnaire

The writer has changer the result of this questionnaire which uses qualitative data to be quantitative data that classification into quantitative score such as:

1. Score 4 for answer "SS" (SangatSetuju)
2. Score 3 for answer " $S$ " (Setuju)
3. Score 2 for answer " TS" (TidakSetuju)
4. Score 1 for answer "STS" (SangatTidakSetuju)

## 2. The Result of Questionnaire Score

## a. The Distribution of Students' Questionnaire Score of Class A

The students' score questionnaire could be distributed by the following table in order to analyze the students' learning style before conducting the test vocabulary.

Table 4.1
The Data Description of Class A Students' Questionnaire Score

| No | Name | Score of <br> Visual Style | Score of <br> Auditory <br> Style | Score of <br> Kinesthetic <br> Style |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AF | 34 | 32 | 26 |
| 2 | AD | 32 | 23 | 24 |


| 3 | AA | 32 | 28 | 28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | AW | 30 | 31 | 22 |
| 5 | CK | 33 | 26 | 25 |
| 6 | D | 30 | 25 | 35 |
| 7 | DC | 38 | 33 | 27 |
| 8 | EU | 35 | 28 | 22 |
| 9 | ES | 33 | 22 | 23 |
| 10 | EP | 33 | 26 | 25 |
| 11 | JA | 36 | 22 | 23 |
| 12 | LH | 24 | 35 | 23 |
| 13 | LE | 33 | 26 | 24 |
| 14 | MF | 30 | 21 | 25 |
| 15 | MR | 32 | 25 | 24 |
| 16 | MRM | 31 | 25 | 24 |
| 17 | PP | 33 | 22 | 30 |
| 18 | RZR | 33 | 23 | 21 |
| 19 | RYK | 23 | 25 | 34 |
| 20 | SW | 33 | 26 | 26 |
| 21 | SAP | 30 | 25 | 30 |
| 22 | SAW | 29 | 28 | 23 |
| 23 | YAW | 25 | 31 | 27 |
| 24 | LHS | 24 | 33 | 26 |
| 25 | S | 30 | 32 | 26 |
| 26 | PS | 33 | 24 | 28 |
| 27 | AF | 32 | 31 | 31 |
| 28 | AK | 30 | 29 | 30 |
| 29 | DA | 34 | 24 | 26 |
| 30 | EP | 25 | 19 | 22 |
| 31 | Ir | 30 | 25 | 25 |
| 32 | M | 31 | 25 | 22 |
| 33 | RF | 33 | 33 | 33 |
| 34 | Sf | 35 | 28 | 34 |
| 35 | AAZ | 28 | 22 | 27 |
| 36 | ASJ | 34 | 25 | 28 |
| 37 | A | 34 | 31 | 33 |
| 38 | Ag | 33 | 15 | 27 |
| 39 | CM | 30 | 23 | 25 |


| 40 | DZP | 31 | 30 | 24 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## b. The Distribution of Students' Questionnaire Score of Class B

The students' score questionnaire could be distributed by the following table in order to analyze the students' learning style before conducting the test vocabulary.

Table 4.2
The Data Description of Class B Students' Questionnaire Score

| No | Name | Score of <br> Visual Style | Score of <br> Auditory <br> Style | Score of <br> Kinesthetic <br> Style |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AP | 20 | 29 | 24 |
| 2 | AA | 22 | 28 | 20 |
| 3 | A | 21 | 24 | 25 |
| 4 | BAA | 20 | 34 | 32 |
| 5 | BI | 29 | 22 | 27 |
| 6 | CFS | 36 | 27 | 33 |
| 7 | DT | 24 | 33 | 29 |
| 8 | DM | 23 | 27 | 24 |
| 9 | Di | 30 | 23 | 32 |
| 10 | DA | 34 | 22 | 28 |
| 11 | EV | 30 | 24 | 30 |
| 12 | F | 31 | 30 | 28 |
| 13 | IFF | 35 | 32 | 23 |
| 14 | L | 32 | 20 | 31 |
| 15 | MRA | 27 | 17 | 34 |
| 16 | MDC | 26 | 33 | 30 |
| 17 | MFJ | 21 | 26 | 22 |
| 18 | MR | 32 | 20 | 29 |
| 19 | RT | 19 | 21 | 34 |
| 20 | RM | 24 | 20 | 34 |
| 21 | RA | 19 | 23 | 26 |
| 22 | SM | 20 | 25 | 32 |
| 23 | SAR | 32 | 31 | 24 |
| 24 | SE | 22 | 21 | 23 |
| 25 | Y | 27 | 23 | 33 |
| 26 | YO | 31 | 24 | 33 |
| 27 | AK | 29 | 15 | 23 |
| 28 | AN | 23 | 25 |  |
| 29 | EM |  |  |  |
| 30 | G | 23 |  |  |
|  |  | 23 | 26 |  |


| 31 | HY | 26 | 27 | 33 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 32 | KM | 22 | 27 | 28 |
| 33 | HW | 28 | 23 | 20 |
| 34 | SS | 20 | 26 | 22 |
| 35 | WA | 28 | 35 | 34 |
| 36 | VSR | 28 | 33 | 27 |
| 37 | YDR | 28 | 34 | 33 |
| 38 | YJ | 30 | 32 | 27 |
| 39 | TP | 22 | 36 | 30 |
| 40 | K |  | 34 |  |

## 3. The Result of Questionnaire Score on the Students' Style Classification

The classification of the students' learning style could be distributed by the following table in order to analyze the vocabulary test based on the learning style.
a. The Distribution of the Students' Visual Style

The students are called visual learner when they have the highest score of visual questionnaire than all.

Table 4.3
The Data Description Data of Students' Visual Style

| No | Class | Name | Score of <br> Visual <br> Style | Score of <br> Auditory <br> Style | Score of <br> Kinesthetic <br> Style |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A1 | AF | 34 | 32 | 26 |
| 2 | A2 | AD | 32 | 23 | 24 |
| 3 | A3 | AA | 32 | 28 | 28 |
| 4 | A5 | CK | 33 | 26 | 25 |
| 5 | A7 | DC | 38 | 33 | 27 |
| 6 | A8 | EU | 35 | 28 | 22 |
| 7 | A9 | ES | 33 | 22 | 24 |
| 8 | A10 | EP | 33 | 26 | 25 |
| 9 | A11 | JA | 36 | 22 | 23 |
| 10 | A13 | LE | 33 | 26 | 24 |


| 11 | A14 | MF | 30 | 21 | 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | A15 | MR | 32 | 25 | 24 |
| 13 | A16 | MRM | 31 | 25 | 24 |
| 14 | A17 | PP | 33 | 22 | 30 |
| 15 | A18 | RZR | 33 | 23 | 21 |
| 16 | A20 | SW | 33 | 26 | 26 |
| 17 | A22 | SAW | 29 | 28 | 23 |
| 18 | A26 | PS | 33 | 24 | 28 |
| 19 | A27 | AF | 32 | 31 | 31 |
| 20 | A29 | DA | 34 | 24 | 26 |
| 21 | A30 | EP | 25 | 19 | 22 |
| 22 | A31 | Ir | 30 | 25 | 25 |
| 23 | A32 | M | 31 | 25 | 22 |
| 24 | A34 | S | 35 | 28 | 34 |
| 25 | A35 | AAZ | 28 | 22 | 27 |
| 26 | A36 | ASJ | 34 | 25 | 28 |
| 27 | A37 | A | 34 | 31 | 33 |
| 28 | A38 | Ag | 33 | 25 | 27 |
| 29 | A39 | CM | 30 | 23 | 25 |
| 30 | A40 | DZP | 31 | 30 | 24 |
| 31 | BB5 | BI | 29 | 22 | 27 |
| 32 | BB6 | CFS | 36 | 27 | 33 |
| 33 | B10 | DA | 30 | 19 | 28 |
| 34 | B11 | EV | 34 | 22 | 30 |
| 35 | B12 | F | 30 | 24 | 28 |
| 36 | B13 | IFF | 31 | 30 | 23 |
| 37 | B14 | L | 35 | 32 | 31 |
| 38 | B16 | MDC | 32 | 17 | 30 |


| 39 | B17 | MFJ | 27 | 19 | 22 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | B22 | SM | 24 | 20 | 22 |
| 41 | B25 | Y | 32 | 31 | 23 |
| 42 | B29 | EM | 30 | 15 | 26 |
| 43 | B30 | G | 29 | 23 | 25 |
| 44 | B33 | HW | 28 | 23 | 20 |
| 45 | B36 | VSR | 35 | 33 | 27 |

Based on the data above, it can be seen that the students have highest score in visual style. There are 30 students from the class A in visual style and 15 students from the class B in visual style. The calculation of students' visual style of 80 students is $55 \%$

## b. The Distribution of the Students' Auditory Style

The students are called auditory learner when they have the highest score of auditory questionnaire than all.

Table 4.4
The Description Data of Students' Auditory Style

| No | Class | Name | Score of <br> Visual <br> Style | Score of <br> Auditory <br> Style | Score of <br> Kinesthetic <br> Style |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A4 | AW | 30 | 31 | 22 |
| 2 | A12 | LH | 24 | 35 | 23 |
| 3 | A23 | YAW | 25 | 31 | 27 |
| 4 | A24 | LHS | 24 | 33 | 26 |
| 5 | A25 | S | 30 | 32 | 26 |
| 6 | B1 | AP | 20 | 29 | 24 |
| 7 | B2 | AA | 34 | 26 | 30 |
| 8 | B3 | A | 27 | 24 | 25 |
| 9 | B4 | BAA | 34 | 32 | 20 |


| 10 | B8 | DM | 23 | 24 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | B7 | DT | 24 | 33 | 29 |
| 12 | B18 | MR | 26 | 33 | 29 |
| 13 | B24 | SE | 20 | 25 | 24 |
| 14 | B34 | SS | 20 | 26 | 22 |
| 15 | B35 | WA | 28 | 35 | 34 |
| 16 | B37 | YDR | 28 | 34 | 33 |
| 17 | B38 | YJ | 28 | 36 | 33 |
| 18 | B39 | TP | 30 | 32 | 30 |
| 19 | B40 | K | 22 | 36 | 34 |

Based on the data above, it can be seen that the students have highest score in auditory style. There are 5 students from the class A in auditory style and 14 students from the class B in auditory style. The calculation of students' auditory style of 80 students is $20 \%$

## c. The Distribution of the Students' Kinesthetic Style

The students are called kinesthetic learner when they have the highest score of kinesthetic questionnaire than all.

Table 4.5
The Data Description of Students' Kinesthetic Style

| No | Class | Name | Score of <br> Visual Style | Score of <br> Auditory <br> Style | Score of <br> Kinesthetic <br> Style |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A6 | D | 30 | 25 | 35 |
| 2 | A19 | RYK | 23 | 25 | 34 |
| 3 | B9 | D | 27 | 23 | 32 |
| 4 | B15 | MRA | 31 | 20 | 34 |
| 5 | B19 | RT | 21 | 26 | 34 |
| 6 | B20 | RM | 32 | 20 | 34 |


| 7 | B21 | RA | 19 | 21 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | B23 | SAR | 19 | 23 | 32 |
| 9 | B26 | YO | 22 | 21 | 33 |
| 10 | B27 | AK | 27 | 23 | 33 |
| 11 | B28 | AN | 31 | 24 | 35 |
| 2 | B31 | HY | 26 | 27 | 33 |
| 13 | B32 | KM | 22 | 27 | 28 |

Based on the data above, it can be seen that the students have highest score in kinesthetic style. There are 2 students from the class A in kinesthetic style and 12 students from the class B in kinesthetic style. The calculation of students' kinesthetic style of 80 students is $16,25 \%$

## d. The Distribution of the Entire and another learning style

The students have learning style when the score of visual, auditory, and kinesthetic style identifying questionnaire were same score. There is a student who has the entire learning style, he is RF from the class A with the score of visual style is 33 , score of auditory is 33 , and score of kinesthetic style is 33 . The calculation of students' visual style of 80 students is $3,75 \%$

Then, the writer found some students who have multiple learning styles.
They have two same score in identifying learning style questionnaire, they are:
Table 4.6
The Data Description of another Students' Learning Style

| No | Class | Name | Score of <br> Visual Style | Score of <br> Auditory <br> Style | Score of <br> Kinesthetic <br> Style |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | SA | 30 | 25 | 30 |
| 2 | A | AK | 30 | 29 | 30 |

## 4. The Result of First Test Score

## a. Distribution of First Test Score of Class A

The students' score of the class A is presented in the following table:
Table 4.7
The Description of First Test of the Data Achieved by the Students in Class A

| NO | CODE | SCORE OF <br> VOCABULARY | NO | CODE | SCORE OF <br> VOCABULARY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AF | 73 | 21 | SAP | 91 |
| 2 | AD | 58 | 22 | SAW | 80 |
| 3 | AA | 80 | 23 | YAW | 56 |
| 4 | AW | 78 | 24 | LHS | 67 |
| 5 | CK | 78 | 25 | S | 47 |
| 6 | D | 87 | 26 | PS | 67 |
| 7 | DC | 69 | 27 | AF | 69 |
| 8 | EU | 91 | 28 | AK | 91 |
| 9 | ES | 78 | 29 | DA | 64 |
| 10 | EP | 91 | 30 | EP | 56 |
| 11 | JA | 80 | 31 | Ir | 58 |
| 12 | LH | 67 | 32 | M | 60 |
| 13 | LE | 78 | 33 | RF | 91 |
| 14 | MF | 73 | 34 | Sf | 67 |
| 15 | MR | 71 | 35 | AAZ | 67 |
| 16 | MRM | 84 | 36 | ASJ | 67 |
| 17 | PP | 64 | 37 | A | 47 |
| 18 | RZR | 89 | 38 | Ag | 56 |
| 19 | RYK | 56 | 39 | CM | 56 |
| 20 | SW | 58 | 40 | DZP | 67 |

Based on the data above, it can be seen that the students' highest score was 91 and the students' lowest score was 47 . To determine the range of score the
class interval, and the interval temporary the writer calculated using formula as follows:

| The highest score (H) | $=91$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| The lowest score (L) | $=47$ |
| The range of score (R) | $=\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}$ |
|  | $=91-47$ |
|  | $=44+1$ |
|  | $=45$ |
|  | $=1+(3,3) \times \log 40$ |
| The class interval (K) | $=4,3 \times 1,60205991$ |
|  | $=6,888$ |
| Interval of the temporary | $=7$ |
|  | $=\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{K}=45 / 7$ |
|  | $=6,5$ |
|  | $=6$ or 7 |

So, the range of score was 45 , the class interval was 6 , it was presented using frequency distribution in the following table:

Table 4.8
The Frequency Distribution of First Test Score of the Class A

| Class <br> Interval <br> (K) | Interval <br> (I) | Frequency <br> (f) | Mid- <br> Point <br> (x) | The <br> Limitation <br> of Each | Frequency <br> Relative <br> $(\%)$ | Frequency <br> Cumulative <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $85-91$ | 7 | 88 | $84,5-91,5$ | 17.5 | 100 |
| 2 | $78-84$ | 8 | 81 | $77,5-84,4$ | 20 | 82.5 |


| 3 | $71-77$ | 3 | 74 | $70,5-77,5$ | 7.5 | 62.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $65-70$ | 9 | 67.5 | $64,5-70,5$ | 22.5 | 55 |
| 5 | $59-64$ | 3 | 61.5 | $58,5-64,5$ | 7.5 | 32.5 |
| 6 | $53-58$ | 8 | 55.5 | $52,5-58,5$ | 20 | 25 |
| 7 | $47-52$ | 2 | 49.5 | $46,5-52,5$ | 5 | 5 |
| TOTAL |  | $\Sigma=\mathbf{4 0}$ |  |  | $\Sigma=\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

The distribution of students' vocabulary score can also be seen in the following figure

Figure 4.1
Histogram of Frequency Distribution of First Test Score for Class A


The table figure above showed the students' vocabulary test of the class A. It could be seen that there were two students who got 47-52. There were eight students who got 53-58. There were three students who got 59-64. There were nine students who got 65-70. There were three students who got 71-77. There eight students who got 78-84. There were seven students who got 85-91. The next step, the writer tabulated the score into the table for the calculation mean and median as follows:

Table 4.9
The Calculation of Mean, Median and Modus of First Test for Class A

| Interval (I) | Frequency <br> (f) | Mid - <br> Point (x) | Fx | fkb (b) | fka (a) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $85-91$ | 7 | 88 | 616 | 40 | 7 |
| $78-84$ | 8 | 81 | 648 | 33 | 15 |
| $71-77$ | 3 | 74 | 222 | 25 | 18 |
| $65-70$ | 9 | 67.5 | 607.5 | 22 | 27 |
| $59-64$ | 3 | 61.5 | 184.5 | 13 | 30 |
| $53-58$ | 8 | 55.5 | 444 | 10 | 38 |
| $47-52$ | 2 | 49.5 | 99 | 2 | 40 |
| TOTAL | $\sum \boldsymbol{N}=\mathbf{4 0}$ |  | $\sum \boldsymbol{f x}=\mathbf{2 8 2 1}$ |  |  |

From the table above, the data could be inserted in the formula of mean. In simple explanation, x is score of student; f is total students who got the score. fx is multiplication both x and $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{fkb}$ is the cumulative students calculated from under to the top, in other side fka is the cumulative students calculated from top to the under. The process of calculation used formula below:
a. Mean
$\mathrm{M}=\frac{\Sigma f x}{N}$
$M=\frac{2821}{40}$
$\mathrm{M}=70,53$
The calculation above showed of mean value was 70,53.

## b. Median

$\operatorname{Mdn}=£+\frac{\frac{1}{2} N-f k b}{f i} x i$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =64,5+\frac{20-13}{9} \times 6 \\
& =64,5+\frac{7}{9} \times 6 \\
& =64,5+(0,77) \times 6 \\
& =64,5+5,3 \\
& =69,8
\end{aligned}
$$

## c. Modus

$\mathrm{Mo}=l\left(\frac{f a}{f a+f b}\right) x i$
$\mathrm{Mo}=64,5\left(\frac{3}{3+3}\right) \times 6$
$\mathrm{Mo}=64,5 \times(0,5) \times 6$
$\mathrm{Mo}=64,5 \times 3$
$\mathrm{Mo}=67,5$

The calculation above showed of mean value was 70,53 , median value was 69,8 and modus was 67,5 of the vocabulary score of class A. The last step, the writer tabulated the score of vocabulary test into the table for the calculation of standard deviation and the standard error as follows:

Table 4.10
The Calculation of the Standard Deviation and Standard Error
of First Test Score for Class A

| Interva <br> $\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{I})$ | Frequenc <br> $\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{f})$ | Mid- <br> Point (x) | $\mathbf{F x}$ | $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{f x}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{f x} \mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $85-91$ | 7 | 88 | 616 | 3 | 21 | 63 |
| $78-84$ | 8 | 81 | 648 | 2 | 16 | 32 |
| $71-77$ | 3 | 74 | 222 | 1 | 3 | 3 |


| $65-70$ | 9 | 67.5 | 607.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $59-64$ | 3 | 61.5 | 184.5 | -1 | -3 | 3 |
| $53-58$ | 8 | 55.5 | 444 | -2 | -16 | 32 |
| $47-52$ | 2 | 49.5 | 99 | -3 | -6 | 18 |
| TOTA <br> $\mathbf{L}$ | $\sum \boldsymbol{N}=\mathbf{4 0}$ |  | $\sum \boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{x}=\mathbf{2 8 2 1}$ |  | $\sum \boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}=\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\sum \boldsymbol{f x ^ { \prime } \mathbf { 2 } = \mathbf { 1 5 1 }}$ |

The table above used for calculate standard deviation and standard error by calculated standard deviation in first test. The process of calculation used formula below:
a. Standard Deviation
$\mathrm{SD}=i \frac{\Sigma f x^{2}}{N}-\frac{(F f)^{2}}{N}$
$\mathrm{SD}=7 \frac{151}{40}-\frac{(15)^{2}}{40}$
$\mathrm{SD}=7 \sqrt{3,775-0,375^{2}}$
$\mathrm{SD}=7 \sqrt{3,775-0,140625}$
$\mathrm{SD}=7 \sqrt{3,634375}$
SD $=7 \times 1,90640$
SD $=13,3448$
b. Standard Error
$\mathrm{SEM}_{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{S D}{\sqrt{N-1}}$
$\mathrm{SEM}_{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{13,3448}{\sqrt{40-1}}$
SEM $_{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{13,3448}{\sqrt{39}}$
SEM $_{\text {D }}=\frac{13,3448}{6,244998}$
$\mathrm{SEM}_{\mathrm{D}}=2,13687$
The result calculation showed the standard deviation of vocabulary score was 13,3448 and the standard error of vocabulary score was 2,13678 . The next step, the writer calculated the scores of mean, median, standard deviation error of mean of vocabulary test in class A using SPPS as follows:

Table 4.11

## The Table Calculation of Mean, Median, Modus, Standard Deviation, and <br> Standard Error of Mean of First Test of Class A <br> Using SPPS 21.0 Program

Statistics

| CLASS A |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Valid | 40 |
| N | Missing | 0 |
| Mean | 70.6750 |  |
| Std. Error of Mean | 2.01195 |  |
| Median | 68.0000 |  |
| Mode | 67.00 |  |
| Std. Deviation | 12.72467 |  |
| Variance | 161.917 |  |
| Skewness | .108 |  |
| Std. Error of Skewness | .374 |  |
| Kurtosis | -.897 |  |
| Std. Error of Kurtosis | .733 |  |
| Range | 44.00 |  |
| Minimum | 47.00 |  |
| Maximum | 91.00 |  |
| Sum | 2827.00 |  |

## b. Distribution of First Test of Class B

The students' score of the class B are presented in the following table:

Table 4.12

## The Description of First Test of the Data Achieved

by the students in Class B

| NO | CODE | SCORE OF <br> VOCABULARY | NO | CODE | SCORE OF <br> VOCABULARY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AP | 71 | 21 | RA | 47 |
| 2 | AA | 64 | 22 | SM | 58 |
| 3 | A | 69 | 23 | SAR | 76 |
| 4 | BAA | 76 | 24 | SE | 62 |
| 5 | BI | 56 | 25 | Y | 64 |
| 6 | CFS | 69 | 26 | YO | 58 |
| 7 | DT | 71 | 27 | AK | 69 |
| 8 | DM | 64 | 28 | AN | 56 |
| 9 | Di | 60 | 29 | EM | 56 |
| 10 | DA | 76 | 30 | G | 87 |
| 11 | EV | 64 | 31 | HY | 53 |
| 12 | F | 71 | 32 | KM | 62 |
| 13 | IFF | 47 | 33 | HW | 53 |
| 14 | L | 18 | 34 | SS | 62 |
| 15 | MRA | 56 | 35 | WA | 18 |
| 16 | MDC | 73 | 36 | VSR | 87 |
| 17 | MFJ | 40 | 37 | YDR | 49 |
| 18 | MR | 73 | 38 | YJ | 49 |
| 19 | RT | 60 | 39 | TP | 44 |
| 20 | RM | 69 | 40 | K | 44 |

Based on the data above, it can be seen that the students' highest score was
87 and the students' lowest score was 18 . To determine the range of score the class interval, and the interval temporary the writer calculated using formula as follows:

$$
\text { The highest score }(\mathrm{H}) \quad=87
$$

| The lowest score (L) | $=18$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| The range of score (R) | $=\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}+1$ |
|  | $=87-18+1$ |
|  | $=69+1$ |
|  | $=70$ |
| The class interval (K) | $=1+(3,3) \times \log 40$ |
|  | $=4,3 \times 1,60205991$ |
|  | $=6,888857$ |
| Interval of the temporary (I) | $=7$ |
|  | $=\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{K}$ |
|  | $=10$ |

So, the range of score was 70 , the class interval was 7 , it was presented using frequency distribution in the following table:

## Table 4.13

## The Frequency Distribution of the First Test Score of the Class B

| Class <br> Interval <br> $(\mathrm{K})$ | Interval <br> (I) | Frequency <br> (f) | Mid <br> - <br> Point <br> $(\mathrm{x})$ | The <br> Limitation <br> of Each | Frequency <br> Relative <br> $(\%)$ | Frequency <br> Cumulative <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $78-87$ | 5 | 82.5 | $77,5-87,5$ | 5 | 100 |
| 2 | $68-77$ | 9 | 72.5 | $67,5-77,5$ | 30 | 95 |
| 3 | $58-67$ | 11 | 62.5 | $56,5-67,5$ | 27.5 | 65 |
| 4 | $48-57$ | 8 | 51.5 | $47,5-57,5$ | 20 | 37.5 |
| 5 | $38-47$ | 5 | 41.5 | $37,5-47,5$ | 12.5 | 17.5 |
| 6 | $28-37$ | 0 | 31.5 | $27,5-37,5$ | 0 | 5 |
| 7 | $18-27$ | 2 | 21.5 | $17,5-27,5$ | 5 | 5 |
| TOTAL |  | $\sum=\mathbf{4 0}$ |  |  | $\sum=\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

The distribution of students' vocabulary score can also be seen in the following figure.

Figure 4.2
Histogram of Frequency Distribution of Vocabulary Score for Class B


The table figure above showed the students' vocabulary test of the class B. It could be seen that there were two students who got 18-27. There was one student who got 28-37. There were five students who got 38-47. There were eight students who go 48-57. There were eleventh students who got 58-67. There were nine students who got 68-77. There were five students who got $78-87$. The next step, the writer tabulated the score into the table for the calculation mean and median as follows:

Table 4.14
The Calculation of Mean, Median and Modus of First Test for Class B

| Interval <br> (I) | Frequency <br> $(\mathbf{f})$ | Mid -Point <br> (x) | $\mathbf{f x}$ | fkb <br> (b) | Fka <br> $(\mathbf{a})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $78-87$ | 5 | 82.5 | 412.5 | 40 | 5 |
| $68-77$ | 9 | 72.5 | 652.5 | 35 | 14 |
| $58-67$ | 11 | 62.5 | 687.5 | 26 | 25 |
| $48-57$ | 8 | 51.5 | 412 | 15 | 33 |
| $38-47$ | 5 | 41.5 | 207.5 | 7 | 38 |
| $28-37$ | 0 | 31.5 | 0 | 2 | 38 |
| $18-27$ | 2 | 21.5 | 43 | 2 | 40 |
| TOTAL | $\boldsymbol{\sum N = 4 0}$ |  | $\sum \boldsymbol{f x}=\mathbf{2 4 1 5}$ |  |  |

From the table above, the data could be inserted in the formula of mean. In simple explanation, x is score of student; f is total students who got the score. fx is multiplication both x and f , fkb is the cumulative students calculated from under to the top, in other side fka is the cumulative students calculated from top to the under. The process of calculation used formula below:
a. Mean
$\mathrm{M}=\frac{\Sigma f x}{N}$
$M=\frac{2415}{40}$
$M=60,025$
$M=60$
The calculation above showed of mean value was 60 .

## b. Median

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Mdn} & =£+\frac{\frac{1}{2} N-f k b}{f i} \times i \\
& =57,5+\frac{20-15}{11} \times 10 \\
& =57,5+\frac{5}{11} \times 10 \\
& =57,5+(0,45) \times 10 \\
& =57,5+(4,5) \\
& =62
\end{aligned}
$$

c. Modus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Mo}=l\left(\frac{f a}{f a+f b}\right) \times i \\
& \mathrm{Mo}=57,5\left(\frac{9}{9+8}\right) \times 10 \\
& \mathrm{Mo}=57,5+(0,53) \times 10 \\
& \mathrm{Mo}=57,5+5,3 \\
& \mathrm{Mo}=62,8
\end{aligned}
$$

The calculation above showed of mean value was 60 , median value was 62 , and modus was 63 of the vocabulary score of class B. The last step, the writer tabulated the score of vocabulary test into the table for the calculation of standard deviation and the standard error as follows:

Table 4.15
The Calculation of the Standard Deviation and Standard Error of First Test

## Score for Class B

| Interval <br> (I) | Frequency <br> (f) | Mid - <br> Point <br> $(\mathbf{x})$ | $\mathbf{F x}$ | $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{f x}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{f x \prime 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $78-87$ | 5 | 82.5 | 412.5 | 2 | 10 | 20 |
| $68-77$ | 9 | 72.5 | 652.5 | 1 | 9 | 9 |
| $58-67$ | 11 | 62.5 | 687.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $48-57$ | 8 | 51.5 | 412 | -1 | -8 | 8 |
| $38-47$ | 5 | 41.5 | 207.5 | -2 | -10 | 20 |
| $28-37$ | 0 | 31.5 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 0 |
| $18-27$ | 2 | 21.5 | 43 | -4 | -8 | 32 |
|  | $\Sigma=\mathbf{4 0}$ |  | $\sum \boldsymbol{f x}=\mathbf{2 4 1 5}$ |  | $\sum=\mathbf{- 7}$ | $\sum=\mathbf{8 9}$ |

The table above used for calculate standard deviation and standard error by calculate standard deviation first. The process of calculation used formula below:

## a. Standard Deviation

$\mathrm{SD}=i \sqrt{\frac{\sum f x^{z}}{N}-\left(\frac{f x^{x^{2}}}{N}\right)}$
$\mathrm{SD}=10 \sqrt{\frac{89}{40}-\left(\frac{-7^{2}}{40}\right)}$
$\mathrm{SD}=10 \sqrt{2,225-(-0,175)^{2}}$
$\mathrm{SD}=10 \sqrt{2,225-0,030625}$
$S D=10 \sqrt{1.96938}$
$\mathrm{SD}=10 \times 1,40335$
$\mathrm{SD}=14,0335$

## b. Standard Error

$\mathrm{SEM}_{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{S D}{\sqrt{N-1}}$
SEM $_{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{14,0335}{\sqrt{40-1}}$
$\mathrm{SEM}_{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{14,0335}{\sqrt{39}}$
$\mathrm{SEM}_{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{14,0335}{6,244998}$

SEM $_{\text {D }}=2,24712$
The result calculation showed the standard deviation of vocabulary score was 14,0335 and the standard error of vocabulary score was 2,24712 . The next step, the writer calculated the scores of mean, median, standard deviation error of mean of vocabulary test in class A using SPPS as follows:

Table 4.16
The Table Calculation of Mean, Median, Standard Deviation, and
Standard Error of Mean of the First Test Scores of Class B
Using SPPS 21.0 Program
Statistics

| Valid | 40 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Missing | 0 |
| Mean | 60.0250 |
| Std. Error of Mean | 2.33658 |
| Median | 62.0000 |
| Mode | $56.00^{\text {a }}$ |
| Std. Deviation | 14.77782 |
| Variance | 218.384 |
| Skewness | -. 918 |
| Std. Error of Skewness | . 374 |
| Kurtosis | 1.775 |


| Std. Error of Kurtosis | .733 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Range | 69.00 |
| Minimum | 18.00 |
| Maximum | 87.00 |
| Sum | 2401.00 |

## 5. The Result of Second Test Score

## a. Distribution of Second Test Score of Class A

The students' score of the class A were presented in the following table:
Table 4.17
The Description of Second Test Score of the Data Achieved by the students in Class A

| No | CODE | Score of <br> Vocabulary | No | CODE | Score of <br> Vocabulary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AF | 87 | 21 | SAP | 100 |
| 2 | AD | 73 | 22 | SAW | 100 |
| 3 | AA | 100 | 23 | YAW | 80 |
| 4 | AW | 93 | 24 | LHS | 87 |
| 5 | CK | 100 | 25 | S | 67 |
| 6 | D | 100 | 26 | PS | 87 |
| 7 | DC | 87 | 27 | AF | 80 |
| 8 | EU | 100 | 28 | AK | 100 |
| 9 | ES | 93 | 29 | DA | 87 |
| 10 | EP | 100 | 30 | EP | 67 |
| 11 | JA | 100 | 31 | Ir | 73 |
| 12 | LH | 87 | 32 | M | 80 |
| 13 | LE | 100 | 33 | RF | 93 |
| 14 | MF | 93 | 34 | Sf | 80 |
| 15 | MR | 100 | 35 | AAZ | 87 |
| 16 | MRM | 100 | 36 | ASJ | 93 |
| 17 | PP | 80 | 37 | A | 67 |
| 18 | RZR | 100 | 38 | Ag | 80 |
| 19 | RYK | 73 | 39 | CM | 73 |
| 20 | SW | 67 | 40 | DZP | 93 |

Based on the data above, it can be seen that the students' highest score was 100 and the students' lowest score was 67 . To determine the range of score the class interval, and the interval temporary the writer calculated using formula as follows:

| The highest score $(\mathrm{H})$ | $=100$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| The lowest score (L) | $=67$ |
| The range of score (R) | $=\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}+1$ |
|  | $=100-67+1$ |
|  | $=33+1$ |
|  | $=34$ |
|  | $=1+(3,3) \times \log 40$ |
| The class interval (K) | $=4,3 \times 1,60205991$ |
|  | $=6,88$ |
| Interval of the temporary | $=7$ |
|  | $=\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{K}=34 / 7$ |
|  | $=4,8=5$ |

So, the range of score was 34 , the class interval was 5 , it was presented using frequency distribution in the following table:

Table 4.18
The Frequency Distribution of the Second Test Score of the Class A

| Class <br> Interval <br> $(\mathrm{K})$ | Interval <br> (I) | Frequency <br> (f) | Mid- <br> Point <br> $(\mathrm{x})$ | The <br> Limitation <br> of Each | Frequency <br> Relative <br> $(\%)$ | Frequency <br> Cumulative <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $96-100$ | 13 | 98 | $95,6-$ <br> 100,5 | 32.5 | 100 |
| 2 | $92-95$ | 6 | 94 | $91,5-95,5$ | 15 | 67.5 |


| 3 | $87-91$ | 7 | 89 | $86,5-91,5$ | 17.5 | 52.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $82-86$ | 0 | 84 | $81,5-86,5$ | 0 | 35 |
| 5 | $77-81$ | 6 | 79 | $76,5-81,5$ | 15 | 35 |
| 6 | $72-76$ | 4 | 74 | $71,5-76,5$ | 10 | 20 |
| 7 | $67-71$ | 4 | 69 | $66,5-71,5$ | 10 | 10 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{4 0}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

The distribution of the second test score can also be seen in the following
figure:
Figure 4.3
Histogram of Frequency Distribution of Second Test Score for Class A


The table figure above showed the second test score of the class A. It could be seen that there were four students who got 67-71. There four students who got 72-76. There were six students who got 77-81. There was no one student got at 82-86. There were seven students who got 87-91. There were six students who got 92-95. There were thirteen students who got 96-100. The next step, the writer tabulated the score into the table for the calculation mean and median as follows:

Table 4.19
The Calculation of Mean, Median and Modus of Second Test for Class A

| Interval <br> $(\mathbf{I})$ | Frequency <br> (f) | Mid- <br> Point <br> (x) | Fx | Fkb | Fka |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $96-100$ | 13 | 98 | 1274 | 40 | 13 |
| $92-95$ | 6 | 94 | 564 | 27 | 19 |
| $87-91$ | 7 | 89 | 623 | 21 | 26 |
| $82-86$ | 0 | 84 | 0 | 14 | 26 |
| $77-81$ | 6 | 79 | 474 | 14 | 32 |
| $72-76$ | 4 | 74 | 296 | 8 | 36 |
| $67-71$ | 4 | 69 | 276 | 4 | 40 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 0}$ |  | $\sum=\mathbf{3 5 0 7}$ |  |  |

From the table above, the data could be inserted in the formula of mean. In simple explanation, x is score of student; f is total students who got the score. fx is multiplication both x and f , fkb is the cumulative students calculated from under to the top, in other side fka is the cumulative students calculated from top to the under. The process of calculation used formula below:
a. Mean
$\mathrm{M}=\frac{\sum_{f x}}{N}$
$M=\frac{3507}{40}$
$M=87,68$
M. $=88$

The calculation above showed of mean value was 88 .

## b. Median

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Mdn}= & l+\frac{\frac{1}{2} N-f k b}{f i} \mathrm{xi} \\
& =91,5+\frac{20-26}{7} \times 5 \\
& =91,5+\frac{-6}{7} \times 5 \\
& =91,5+(-0,857) \times 5 \\
& =91,5+-4,285 \\
& =87,22
\end{aligned}
$$

## c. Modus

$\mathrm{Mo}=l\left(\frac{f a}{f a+f b}\right) x i$
$M o=91,5\left(\frac{6}{6+0}\right) \times 5$
$M 0=91,5 \times(1) \times 5$
Mo $=91,5 \times 5$
$\mathrm{Mo}=96,5$
The calculation above showed of mean value was 88 , median value was 90,78 and modus value was 96,5 of the second test score of class A. The last step, the writer tabulated the score of vocabulary test into the table for the calculation of standard deviation and the standard error as follows:

Table 4.20
The Calculation of the Standard Deviation and Standard Error
Of Second Test Score for Class A

| Interval <br> (I) | Frequency <br> $\mathbf{( f )}$ | Mid- Point <br> $\mathbf{( x )}$ | $\mathbf{F x}$ | $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{f x}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{f x}^{\prime} \mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $96-100$ | 13 | 98 | 1274 | 1 | 13 | 13 |
| $92-95$ | 6 | 94 | 564 | 2 | 12 | 24 |
| $87-91$ | 7 | 89 | 623 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $82-86$ | 0 | 84 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| $77-81$ | 6 | 79 | 474 | -2 | -12 | 24 |
| $72-76$ | 4 | 74 | 296 | -3 | -12 | 36 |
| $67-71$ | 4 | 69 | 276 | -4 | -16 | 64 |
| Total | $\sum \boldsymbol{N}=\mathbf{4 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{3 5 0 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{- 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 1}$ |

The table above used for calculate standard deviation and standard error by calculate standard deviation first. The process of calculation used formula below:
a. Standard Deviation
$\mathrm{SD}=i \sqrt{\frac{\sum f x^{2}}{N}-\frac{\left(f x^{r}\right)^{2}}{N}}$
$\mathrm{SD}=5 \sqrt{\frac{161}{40}-\frac{(-15)^{2}}{40}}$
$\mathrm{SD}=5 \sqrt{4,025-0,140625}$
$\mathrm{SD}=5 \sqrt{3,884375}$
$\mathrm{SD}=5 \mathrm{x} 1,97088$
$\mathrm{SD}=9,85441$
b. Standard Error
$\mathrm{SEM}_{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{S D}{\sqrt{N-1}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{SEM}_{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{9,85441}{\sqrt{40-1}} \\
& \mathrm{SEM}_{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{9,85441}{\sqrt{39}} \\
& \mathrm{SEM}_{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{9,85441}{6,244998} \\
& \mathrm{SEM}_{\mathrm{D}}=1,57797
\end{aligned}
$$

The result calculation showed the standard deviation of second test score was 9,85441 and the standard error of vocabulary score was 1,57797 . The next step, the writer calculated the scores of mean, median, modus, standard deviation error of mean of vocabulary test in class A using SPPS 21.0 program as follows:

Table 4.21

## The Table Calculation of Mean, Median, Modus, Standard Deviation, and Standard Error of Mean of the Second Test Scores of Class A

Using SPPS 21.0 Program
Statistics

| VAR00001 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| N |  |  |
| Valid | Missing | 40 |
| Mean | 0 |  |
| Std. Error of Mean | 87.6750 |  |
| Median | 1.80327 |  |
| Mode | 87.0000 |  |
| Std. Deviation | 100.00 |  |
| Variance | 11.40487 |  |
| Skewness | 130.071 |  |
| Std. Error of Skewness | -.463 |  |
| Kurtosis | .374 |  |
| Std. Error of Kurtosis | -1.050 |  |
| Range | .733 |  |
| Minimum | 33.00 |  |


| Maximum | 100.00 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Sum | 3507.00 |

## b. Distribution of Second Test Score of Class B

The students' score of the class B were presented in the following table:
Table 4.22
The Description of Second Test Score of the Data Achieved by the students in Class $B$

| NO | CODE | Score of <br> Vocabulary | NO | CODE | Score of <br> Vocabulary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AP | 78 | 21 | RA | 67 |
| 2 | AA | 78 | 22 | SM | 67 |
| 3 | A | 73 | 23 | SAR | 87 |
| 4 | BAA | 78 | 24 | SE | 73 |
| 5 | BI | 73 | 25 | Y | 73 |
| 6 | CFS | 78 | 26 | YO | 67 |
| 7 | DT | 78 | 27 | AK | 87 |
| 8 | DM | 73 | 28 | AN | 67 |
| 9 | Di | 67 | 29 | EM | 73 |
| 10 | DA | 93 | 30 | G | 93 |
| 11 | EV | 73 | 31 | HY | 67 |
| 12 | F | 80 | 32 | KM | 67 |
| 13 | IFF | 60 | 33 | HW | 67 |
| 14 | L | 40 | 34 | SS | 73 |
| 15 | MRA | 60 | 35 | WA | 47 |
| 16 | MDC | 73 | 36 | VSR | 93 |
| 17 | MFJ | 47 | 37 | YDR | 53 |
| 18 | MR | 73 | 38 | YJ | 67 |
| 19 | RT | 67 | 39 | TP | 53 |
| 20 | RM | 67 | 40 | K | 53 |

Based on the data above, it can be seen that the students' highest score was 93 and the students' lowest score was 53. To determine the range of score the
class interval, and the interval temporary the writer calculated using formula as follows:

| The highest score $(\mathrm{H})$ | $=93$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| The lowest score (L) | $=40$ |
| The range of score (R) | $=\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}+1$ |
|  | $=93-40+1$ |
|  | $=53+1$ |
|  | $=54$ |
| The class interval (K) | $=1+(3,3) \times \log 40$ |
|  | $=4,3 \times 1,60205991$ |
|  | $=6,888$ |
| Interval of the temporary | $=7$ |
|  | $=\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{K}=54 / 7$ |
|  | $=6$ or 7 |

So, the range of score was 54 , the class interval was 7 , it was presented using frequency distribution in the following table:

Table 4.23
The Frequency Distribution of the Second Test Score of the Class B

| Class <br> Interval <br> $(\mathrm{K})$ | Interval <br> $(\mathrm{I})$ | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{f})$ | Mid- <br> Point <br> $(\mathrm{x})$ | The <br> Limitation <br> of Each | Frequency <br> Relative <br> $(\%)$ | Frequency <br> Cumulative <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $86-93$ | 5 | 89.5 | $85,5-93,5$ | 12.5 | 100 |
| 2 | $78-85$ | 6 | 81.5 | $77,5-85,5$ | 15 | 87.5 |
| 3 | $71-77$ | 10 | 74 | $70,5-77,5$ | 25 | 72.5 |
| 4 | $63-70$ | 11 | 66.5 | $63,5-70.5$ | 27.5 | 47.5 |


| 5 | $54-62$ | 2 | 58.5 | $53,5-62,5$ | 5 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $47-53$ | 5 | 50 | $46.5-53,5$ | 12.5 | 15 |
| 7 | $40-46$ | 1 | 43 | $39.5-46,5$ | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Total |  | $\sum=\mathbf{4 0}$ |  |  | $\sum=\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

The distribution of students' vocabulary score can also be seen in the following figure.

Figure 4.4
Histogram of Frequency Distribution of Second Test Score for Class B


The table figure above showed the second test score of the class B. It could be seen that there was one student who got 40-46. There were five students who got 47-53. There were two students who got 54-62. There were eleven students who got 63-70. There were ten students who got 71-77. There were six students who got 78-85. There were five students who got 86-93. The next step, the writer tabulated the score into the table for the calculation mean and median as follows:

Table 4.24
The Calculation of Mean, Median and Modus of the Second Test for Class B

| Interval <br> $(\mathbf{I})$ | Frequency <br> $(\mathbf{f})$ | Mid - Point <br> $(\mathbf{x})$ | $\mathbf{F x}$ | $\mathbf{f k b}$ <br> $(\mathbf{b})$ | fka <br> $(\mathbf{b})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $86-93$ | 5 | 89.5 | 447.5 | 40 | 5 |
| $78-85$ | 6 | 81.5 | 489 | 35 | 11 |
| $71-77$ | 10 | 74 | 740 | 29 | 21 |
| $63-70$ | 11 | 66.5 | 731.5 | 19 | 32 |
| $54-62$ | 2 | 58.5 | 117 | 8 | 34 |
| $47-53$ | 3 | 50 | 150 | 6 | 39 |
| $40-46$ | 3 | 43 | 129 | 1 | 40 |
| Total | $\Sigma=\mathbf{4 0}$ |  | $\Sigma=\mathbf{2 8 0 4}$ |  |  |

From the table above, the data could be inserted in the formula of mean. In simple explanation, x is score of students; f is total students who got the score. fx is multiplication both x and f , fkb is the cumulative students calculated from under to the top, in other side fka is the cumulative students calculated from top to the under. The process of calculation used formula below:
a. Mean
$\mathrm{M}=\frac{\sum F X}{N}$
$M=\frac{2804}{40}$
$M=70,1$
The calculation above showed of mean value was 70 .
b. Median
$\operatorname{Mdn}=£+\frac{\frac{1}{2} N-f k b}{f i}$ xi

$$
=62,5+\frac{20-8}{11} \times 7
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =62,5+\frac{12}{11} \times 7 \\
& =62,5+(1,09) \times 7 \\
& =62,5+7,63 \\
& =70,13
\end{aligned}
$$

## c. Modus

$\mathrm{Mo}=l\left(\frac{f}{f a+f b}\right) i$
$M o=62,5+\left(\frac{10}{10+12}\right) x 7$
$\mathrm{Mo}=62,5+(0,45) \times 7$
$\mathrm{Mo}=62,5+3,15$
$\mathrm{Mo}=65,65=66$
The calculation above showed of mean value was 70 , median value was 70,13 , and modus 66 of the second test score of class B. The last step, the writer tabulated the score of vocabulary test into the table for the calculation of standard deviation and the standard error as follows:

Table 4.25
The Calculation of the Standard Deviation and Standard Error
of Second Test Score for Class B

| Interval <br> $(\mathbf{I})$ | Frequency <br> $(\mathbf{f})$ | Mid- <br> Point <br> $(\mathbf{x})$ | $\mathbf{f x}$ | $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{f x}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{f x} \mathbf{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $86-93$ | 5 | 89.5 | 447.5 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| $78-85$ | 6 | 81.5 | 489 | 2 | 12 | 24 |
| $71-77$ | 10 | 74 | 740 | 3 | 30 | 90 |
| $63-70$ | 11 | 66.5 | 731.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $54-62$ | 2 | 58.5 | 117 | -1 | -2 | 2 |
| $47-53$ | 3 | 50 | 150 | -2 | -6 | 12 |


| $40-46$ | 3 | 43 | 129 | -3 | -9 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\sum=\mathbf{4 0}$ |  | $\sum=\mathbf{2 8 0 4}$ |  | $\sum=\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\sum=\mathbf{1 6 0}$ |

The table above used for calculate standard deviation and standard error by
calculate standard deviation first. The process of calculation used formula below:

## a. Standard Deviation

$\mathrm{SD}=i \sqrt{\frac{\sum f x^{2}}{N}-\frac{(F f)^{2}}{N}}$
$\mathrm{SD}=7 \sqrt{\frac{160}{40}-\frac{(30)^{2}}{40}}$
$\mathrm{SD}=7 \sqrt{4-75)^{2}}$
$\mathrm{SD}=7 \sqrt{4-0,5625}$
$\mathrm{SD}=7 \sqrt{3,4375}$
SD $=7 \times 1,85404$
$\mathrm{SD}=12,97828$
b. Standard Error
$\mathrm{SEM}_{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{S D}{\sqrt{N-1}}$
$\mathrm{SEM}_{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{12,9783}{\sqrt{40-1}}$

SEM $_{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{12,9783}{\sqrt{39}}$
$\mathrm{SEM}_{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{12,9783}{6,244998}$
$\mathrm{SEM}_{\mathrm{D}}=2,07818$
The result calculation showed the standard deviation of second test score was 12,9783 and the standard error of second test score was 12,07818 . The next
step, the writer calculated the scores of mean, median, standard deviation error of mean of second test score in class B using SPPS 21.0 program as follows:

Table 4.26

## The Table Calculation of Mean, Median, Standard Deviation, and Standard Error of Mean of the Second Test Scores of Class B

Using SPPS 21.0 Program
Statistics

| N | Valid <br> Missing |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | 40 |
| Mean | 0 |
| Std. Error of Mean | 70.0750 |
| Median | 1.92799 |
| Mode | 73.0000 |
| Std. Deviation | 67.00 |
| Variance | 12.19371 |
| Skewness | 148.687 |
| Std. Error of Skewness | -.291 |
| Kurtosis | .374 |
| Std. Error of Kurtosis | .387 |
| Range | .733 |
| Minimum | 53.00 |
| Maximum | 40.00 |
| Sum | 93.00 |

## 6. The Result of Data Normality and Homogeneity

## a. Testing of Data Normality

One of the requirements in this research was the test of normality assumption. Related to data normality, Irianto states:
"The data of population will be normal if the mean same with the modus and median. It means that some of scores gather in the middle position,
meanwhile the frequency of average and low score show descent that more balance. Because of the descent of the low score frequency and the high score is balance, so that the down of curve line to right and left will be balance.,58

Because of that, to measure the normality data used SPSS 21.0 program. The Normality of the first test Class A and Class B could be seen in the following table.

Table 4.27
Test of Normality Distribution Test on the First Test Score
Class A and Class B
One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

| One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| N |  | class a | Class b |
|  |  | 40 | 40 |
| Normal Parameters ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$ | Mean | 69.0000 | 60.0250 |
|  | Std. Deviation | 16.51969 | 14.77782 |
|  | Absolute | .142 | .118 |
| Most Extreme Differences | Positive | .091 | .090 |
|  | Negative | -.142 | -.118 |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z |  | .912 | .744 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) |  | .376 | .637 |

a. Test distribution is Normal.
b. Calculated from data.

Based on the table above, it could be seen that the P value (Sig.) of the first test scores of the Class A is 0,376 and Class B is 0,637 which are higher than the level of significance ( 0.05 ). Thus, it could be concluded that the null hypothesis is accepted and the data were normally distributed.

[^0]
## The Figure 4.5

Histogram of Normality Distribution Test on the First Test of Class A


Based on the figure above, it could be conclude that normal curve of the score on the first test of class A is normally distributed.

The Figure 4.6
Histogram of normality Distribution Test on the First Test of Class B


Based on the figure above, it could be conclude that normal curve of the score on the first test of class B is normally distributed.

Table 4.28

## Test of Normality Distribution Test on the Second Test Score

Class A and Class B

|  | One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| N |  | class a | Class b |
|  |  | 40 | 40 |
| Normal Parameters ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$ | Mean | 87.6750 | 70.0750 |
|  | Std. Deviation | 11.40487 | 12.19371 |
|  | Absolute | .185 | .200 |
| Most Extreme Differences | Positive | .140 | .130 |
|  | Negative | -.185 | -.200 |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z |  | 1.171 | 1.268 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) |  | .129 | .080 |

a. Test distribution is Normal.
b. Calculated from data.

Based on the table above, it could be seen that the P value (Sig.) of vocabulary test scores of the Class is $0,129 \mathrm{~A}$ and Class B are 0,080 which are higher than the level of significance ( 0.05 ). Thus, it could be concluded that the null hypothesis is accepted and the data were normally distributed.

## The Figure 4.7

## The Histogram of Normality Distribution Test on the Second Test of Class A



Based on the figure above, it could be conclude that normal curve of the score on the first test of class A is normally distributed.

## The Figure 4.8

## Histogram of Normality Distribution Test on the Second Test of Class B



Based on the figure above, it could be conclude that normal curve of the score on the second test of class A is normally distributed.

## b. Testing of Data Homogeneity

In testing the homogeneity of the scores test on SPSS for windows was employed. Firstly, the hypothesis was stated as follows:

Ho: The variance of class A score and class B score are homogeneous.
After that, the homogeneity variance was computed. The next steps compared the result of homogeneity test with the level of significance at 0.05 . Table below showed the result of homogeneity test.

Table 4.29

\section*{Test of Homogeneity of Variance Result on the First Test Score Class A and Class B <br> Test of Homogeneity of Variances <br> VAR00002 <br> | Levene Statistic | df1 | df2 | Sig. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| .031 |  | 1 | 78 |}

The significance value of test shown in the table is 0,861 . Since the significance value is higher that the level of significance (0.05). Therefore, the null hypothesis of class A score could be accepted in which the variance of class $B$ score in both classes are homogeneous.

Table 4.30

\section*{Test of Homogeneity of Variance Result on the Second Test Score Class A and Class B <br> Test of Homogeneity of Variances <br> VAR00002 <br> | Levene Statistic | df1 | df2 | Sig. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| .116 |  | 1 | 78 |}

The significance value of test shown in the table is 0,734 . Since the significance value is higher that the level of significance (0.05). Therefore, the null hypothesis of class A score could be accepted in which the variance of class B score in both classes are homogeneous.

## B. The Result of Data Analysis

## 1. The Result of Significance First Test and Second Test of Class A

The writer calculated the significance different of first test and second test of class A. It meant that there is difference score between first test and second test of class A. The writer chosen the level of significance in 5\%, it means that the level significance of the refusal null hypothesis in $5 \%$. The writer decided the level of significance at 5\% due to the hypothesis type stated on non-directional (two-tailed test).

| Variable | Mean | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {table }}$ |  | $\mathbf{~ d f / d b ~}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Difference | $5 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |
| $\mathbf{X}_{2} \mathbf{X}_{1}$ |  | 2.00 | 2.66 | $\mathbf{7 8 / 6 0}$ |

Where:
$X_{2} \quad$ : Mean of Second Test
$\mathrm{X}_{1} \quad$ : Mean of First Test
Based on the result of the first test and second test calculation, it was found that the value of mean difference was greater than the value of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}$ at the level significance in $5 \%$ or $1 \%$ that was $2.00<18>2.66$. It meant the mean first test and mean second test have difference is 18 .

It could be interpreted based on the result or calculation that there is significant difference on learning style in first test and second test of Class A. The students' score have improvement in the second test.

## 2. The Result of Significance First Test and Second Test of Class B

The writer calculated the significance different of first test and second test of class B. It meant that there is difference score between first test and second test of class B. The writer chosen the level of significance in 5\%, it means that the level significance of the refusal null hypothesis in $5 \%$. The writer decided the level of significance at $5 \%$ due to the hypothesis type stated on non-directional (two-tailed test).

| Variable | Mean | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {table }}$ |  | $\mathbf{~ d f / d b ~}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $5 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{X}_{2} . \mathbf{X}_{1}$ | 10 | 2.00 | 2.66 | $\mathbf{7 8 / 6 0}$ |

Where:
$X_{2} \quad$ : Mean of Second Test
$\mathrm{X}_{1} \quad$ : Mean of First Test
Based on the result of the first test and second test calculation, it was found that the value of mean difference was greater than the value of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}$ at the level significance in $5 \%$ or $1 \%$ that was $2.00<10>2.66$. It meant the mean first test and mean second test have difference is 10 .

It could be interpreted based on the result or calculation that there is significant difference on learning style in first test and second test of Class B. The students' score have improvement in the second test.

## 3. Testing Vocabulary Score Using $\mathbf{t}_{\text {test }}$

The writer chosen the level of significance in $5 \%$, it means that the level significance of the refusal null hypothesis in 5\%. The writer decided the level of significance at 5\% due to the hypothesis type stated on non-directional (two-tailed test). It meant that the hypothesis cannot directly the prediction of alternative hypothesis. To test the hypothesis of the study, the writer used $t$-test statistical calculation. First, the writer calculated the standard deviation and the standard error of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ at the previous data presentation. It could be seen in this following table:

Table 4.31
The Standard Deviation and Standard Error of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$

| Variable | The Standard Deviation | The Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 9,85441 | 1,57797 |
| $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 12,97828 | 2,07818 |

Where :
$\mathrm{X}_{1}=$ Class A
$\mathrm{X}_{2}=$ Class B
The table showed the result of the standard deviation calculation of $X_{1}$ was 9,85441 and the result of the standard error was 1,57797 . The result of the standard deviation calculation $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ was 12,97828 and the result of the standard error calculation was 2,07818 .

The next step, the writer calculated the standard error of the differences mean between $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ as follows:

Standard error of the Difference Mean Scores between Variable I and Variable II:
$\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{M} 1}-\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{M} 2}=\sqrt{S E m 1^{2}+S E m 2^{2}}$
$\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{M} 1}-\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{M} 2}=\sqrt{1,57797^{2}+2,07818^{2}}$
$\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{M} 1}-\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{M} 2}=\sqrt{2,48999+4,31888}$
$\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{M} 1}-\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{M} 2}=\sqrt{6,80887}$
$\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{M} 1}-\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{M} 2}=2,60938$
The calculation above showed the standard error differences mean between $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ was 2,60938. Then it was inserted the $t_{0}$ formula to get the value of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }}$ as follows:
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{o}} \quad=\frac{M 1-M 2}{S E m 1-S E m 2}$
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{o}} \quad=\frac{88-70}{2,60938}$
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{o}} \quad=\frac{18}{2,60938}$
$t_{0} \quad=6,89819$
$t_{0} \quad=6,89819$
With the criteria:
If $\mathrm{t}_{\text {test }}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }}\right) \geq \mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}$, Ha is accepted and Ho is rejected.
If $\mathrm{t}_{\text {test }}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }}\right) \leq \mathrm{t}_{\text {table, }}$ Ha is rejected and Ho is sccepted.
Then, the writer interpreted the result of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {test. }}$ Previously, the writer accounted the degree of freedom (df) with the formula:

Df $\quad=\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}+\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)-2$

Df $=(40+40)-2$
Df $=78$
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {table }}$ at df 78/60 at the level of significant $=2.00$
The writer choose the level of significance in 5\%, it means that the level of significance of the refusal null hypothesis in $5 \%$. The writer decided the level of significance at $5 \%$ due to the hypothesis typed stated on non-directional (twotailed test). It meant that the hypothesis cannot direct the prediction of alternative hypothesis. The calculation above showed the result of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {test }}$ calculation as in the table follows:

Table 4.32
The Result of $t_{\text {test }}$

| Variable | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {observed }}$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {table }}$ |  | $\mathbf{d f} / \mathbf{d b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{X}_{1} \mathbf{\mathbf { X } _ { 2 }}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 8 9 8 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 / 6 0}$ |

Where:
X1 : Class A
X2 : Class B
$\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }}$ : The Calculated Value
$t_{\text {table }} \quad$ :The Distribution of $t$ value
df/db : Degree of Freedom

Based on the result of hypothesis test calculation, it was found that the value of $t_{\text {observed }} W a s$ greater than the value of $t_{\text {table }}$ at the level significance in $5 \%$ or $1 \%$ that was $2.00<6,89819>2.66$. It meant Ha was accepted and Ho was rejected.

It could be interpreted based on the result or calculation that there is significant difference on learning style in vocabulary test of Class A and Class B. Ha stating that there is significant difference on learning style (visual, auditory, and kinesthetic) towards vocabulary mastery of the tenth grade students of SMK N 1 Palangka Raya is accepted and Ho stating that there is no significant difference on learning style(visual, auditory, and kinesthetic) towards vocabulary mastery of the tenth grade students of SMK N 1 Palangka Raya is rejected.

## 4. Testing Vocabulary Score Using SPSS Program

The writer also applied SPSS 21.0 program to calculated t-test in testing hypothesis of the study. The result of t-test using SPSS 21.0 was used to support the manual calculation of the $t$-test. The result of the test using SPSS program 21.0 could be seen as follows:

Table 4.33
The Standard Deviation and the Standard Error of $\mathbf{X}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{2}$ Using SPSS 21.0 Program

| Group Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | CLASS | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |  |
| SCORE | 1.00 |  | 40 | 87.6750 | 11.40487 |  |
|  | 2.00 |  | 40 | 70.0750 | 12.19371 |  |

The table showed the result of the standard deviation calculation of $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ was 11,404878 and the result of the standard error mean calculation was 1,80327 . The result of the standard deviation calculation of $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ was 12,19371 and the standard error mean calculation was 1,92799 .

Table 4.34
The Calculation of T-test Using SPSS 21.0

Independent Samples Test

|  | Levene's <br> Test for <br> Equality of <br> Variances |  | t-test for Equality of Means |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F | Sig. | T | Df | Sig. <br> (2- <br> tailed) | Mean Difference | Std. Error Difference | 95\% Confidence Interval of the Difference |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| Equ | . 116 | . 734 | 6.667 | 78 | . 000 | 17.60000 | 2.63988 | $\begin{array}{r} 12.3444 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 22.85559 |
| al |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vari |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| es |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S um |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C ed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| O Equ |  |  | 6.667 | $\begin{array}{r} 77.6 \\ 54 \end{array}$ |  | 17.60000 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 12.3440 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | 22.85596 |
| R al |  |  |  |  | . 000 |  | 2.63988 |  |  |
| E vari |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| anc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| es |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| not |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| um |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The table showed the result of t -test calculating using SPSS 21.0 program. Since the result of Class A and Class B had difference score of variance, it meant the $t$-test calculation used at the equal variances not assumed. It found that the result of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }}$ was 6,667 , the result of mean difference between class A and class $B$ was the standard error difference between class A and class B was 2,63988.

## 5. Interpretation

To examine the truth or the false null hypothesis stating that there are difference students' learning style in class A and class B toward vocabulary mastery, the result of $t$-test was interpreted on the result of degree of freedom to get the $t_{\text {table }}$. The result of degree of freedom (df) was $78 / 60$, it found from the total number of the students in both class minus 2 . The following table was the result of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }}$ and $\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}$ from df at the $5 \%$ and $1 \%$ significance level.

## Table 4.35

## The Result of T-test Using SPSS 21.0

| Variable | T observed | T table |  | Df/db |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $5 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |
| $\mathbf{X}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 6,667 | 2.00 | 2.66 | 78 |

Interpretation of the result of $t$-test using SPSS program, it was found the t -observe was greater that the t -table at $1 \%$ and $5 \%$ significance level or 2.00<6,667>2.66. It means that $H_{a}$ was accepted and $H_{0}$ is rejected.

It could be interpreted based on the result or calculation that Ha stating that there is significant difference on learning style (visual, auditory, and kinesthetic) towards vocabulary mastery of the tenth grade students of SMK N 1 Palangka Raya is accepted and Ho stating that there is no significant difference on learning style (visual, auditory, and kinesthetic) towards vocabulary mastery of the tenth grade students of SMK N 1 Palangka Raya is rejected.
C. The Comparison between the Result of Learning Style of Class A and

## Learning Style of Class B

## 1. The Result of First Test

The comparison of the beginning of the students on vocabulary mastery in the first test without their learning style could be seen through the following table:

Table 4.36
The Comparison of the First Test of the student in Class A and Class B

| Interval | Category/ <br> Predicate | Sum Of Respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Class A |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{T} \\ & \mathbf{O} \\ & \mathbf{T} \\ & \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{L} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Class B |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{T} \\ & \mathbf{O} \\ & \mathbf{T} \\ & \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{L} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Class A | Class B |
|  |  | V | A | K |  | V | A | K |  |  |  |
| 70-100 | Master | 15 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 11 | 47,5\% | 27,5\% |
| 0-<70 | Not Master | 15 | 4 | 1 | 20 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 29 | 50\% | 72,5\% |

Based on the table above, it is known that there were 20 (50\%) students were 15 visual learners, 4 auditory learners, and 1 kinesthetic learner from class A students score at $0-<70$ who have did not master vocabulary, and there were 30 students (72,5\%) were 11 visual learner, 6 auditory learners, and 10 kinesthetic
learner from class $B$ who have score at $<0-70$ who have did not master vocabulary.

Therefore, there were 19 students $(47,5 \%)$ were 15 visual learners, 1 auditory learners, and 1 kinesthetic learners from class A at 70-100 who had master vocabulary. Therefore, there were 11 students (27,5\%) were 4 visual learners, 6 auditory learners, and 1 kinesthetic learner from class A at 70-100 who had master vocabulary.

Meanwhile, there were 3 students ( $7,5 \%$ ) who have multiple learning style. They have the high score in first test. The score of them is 91 .

From the explanation above, it could be known that there is no significance difference between learning style of class A and class B were same. The percentage of students class A and class B still fewer than $50 \%$ to master vocabulary.

Table 4.37
The Comparison of the Second Test of the student in Class A and Class B

| Interval | Category/ <br> Predicate | Sum Of Respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Class A |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{T} \\ & \mathbf{O} \\ & \mathbf{T} \\ & \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{L} \end{aligned}$ | Class B |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{T} \\ & \mathbf{O} \\ & \mathbf{T} \\ & \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{L} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Class A | Class B |
|  |  | V | A | K |  | V | A | K |  |  |  |
| 70-100 | Master | 28 | 3 | 2 | 33 | 11 | 8 | 2 | 21 | 82,5\% | 52.5\% |
| 0-<70 | Not Master | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 19 | 10\% | 40\% |

Based on the table above, it is known that there were 4 (10\%) students, 2 visual learner, 2 auditory learners, and 0 kinesthetic learner from class A students score at $0-<70$ who have did not master vocabulary, and there were 19 students (40\%) were 4 visual learner, 6 auditory learner, and 9 kinesthetic learner from class B who have score at < 0-70 who have did not master vocabulary.

Therefore, there were 33 students $(82,5 \%)$ were 28 visual learner, 3 auditory learner, and 2 kinesthetic learners from class A at 70-100 who had master vocabulary. Therefore, there were 21 students $(52,5 \%)$ were 1 visual learners, 8 auditory learners, and 2 kinesthetic learners from class B at 70-100 who had master vocabulary.

Meanwhile, there were 3 students ( $7,5 \%$ ) who have multiple learning style. They have the high score in second tests. The score of them are 91 and 100.

From the explanation above, it could be known that there was significance difference between learning style of class A and class B. It meant that the students style on vocabulary after was gave the vocabulary test based on their learning style were difference. It could be seen through the comparison of the sum of student who master and not master vocabulary. While, the comparison of learning style of class A and class B was significant.

If the result on the table on the table was compare with the table first test result, it was known that there was increasing of students' learning style after got the vocabulary test based on their learning style. It could be seen through the increasing on category. Where on the result of first test, there were not many
students who have master category. While on the second test, there were some students who have master category. It meant that after did the second test based on their learning style, there was increasing on vocabulary both class A and class B.

## D. Discussion

The result of data analysis showed that the questionnaire in chapter IV to identifying student's learning style towards vocabulary mastery of tenth grade students of SMK N 1 Palangka Raya. From the questionnaire was found that students' visual style or visual learner is 45 students that 30 students of class A and 15 students of class B, students' auditory style or auditory learner is 5 students' that 14 students of class B and 5 students of class A, students' kinesthetic style or kinesthetic learner is 19 students' who 2 students of class A and 11 students of class B, and 3 students have multiple learning style of class A. Most of the tenth grade students of SMK N 1 Palangka Raya are visual learner in mastering vocabulary. It can be seen the percentage of visual learner is $56,25 \%$, auditory learner is $23,75 \%$, kinesthetic learner is $16,25 \%$, and multiple learner 3,75\%. Most of the tenth grade students of SMK N 1 Palangka Raya are visual learner in mastering vocabulary. In the chapter II at the page 15, according to Dunn, visual learners tend to prefer reading and studying charts, drawing, and other graphic information. The students strong to remember what they see than they hear. The students who have multiple learning styles got the high score in the first and second test. Then, in the chapter II at the page 16, brown says that auditory learners prefer listening to lectures and audiotapes. And kinesthetic learner will show a preference for demonstration and physical activity involving body movement. It could be shown from the previous study in the chapter II at the page 13 a study entitle "The Influence of Learning Styles (Visual, Auditory, and kinesthetic) towards vocabulary mastery at the second year students of MAN

Salatiga in the Academic year of 2012-1203" by Nur Khafifudin that the research said there were influence learning style towards vocabulary mastery.

The result of data analysis showed that there was significant difference learning style towards vocabulary mastery of the tenth grade students of SMK N 1 Palangka Raya. The students of class A who have the highest on the visual style in second test with mean score (88) than those students of class B who have both learning style in second test with mean score (70). Moreover, after the data calculated using T-test with level $5 \%$ of significant. It was found that $\mathrm{t}_{\text {osberved }}$ was higher than $\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}$.

The students, learning style of class A have significant difference on vocabulary mastery than class B at the tenth grade students of SMK N 1 Palangka Raya. It can be seen first from the vocabulary score of class A and class B $2.00<6,89819>2.66$. It indicated that there is significant difference on the learning style of class A and Class B towards vocabulary mastery of the tenth grade students of SMK N 1 Palangka Raya. Meanwhile, after the data were calculated using $\mathrm{t}_{\text {test }}$ formula using manual calculation showed that $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }}$ was 6,89819 . By comparing the $t_{\text {observed }}$ with the $t_{\text {table }}$. . It was found that $t_{\text {observed }}$ was higher than the value of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}$ at $1 \%$ and $5 \%$ significance level or $2.00<6,89819>2.66$.

It could be concluded there was significant difference of score at the first test and the second test. In the first test meeting, the students gave the first test was not depending of their learning style. The students answered all of learning style item. In the second test meeting, the students gave the second test was depending their learning style. The students answered the item based on their learning style. The
result showed that the students' score of the first test have the mean score (class A 70 class B 58). Then, the students' score have difference when they answered the test based on their learning style. The results showed that the students' score of the second test have the mean score (class A 88 and class B 70). In the chapter II page 14, Brown defines that learning styles as the manner in which individuals perceive and process information in learning situation.

The result supported by theory about the learning style is a way to students to learn English. There are some reason how the learning style can give the way to students' vocabulary mastery, that are about the learning style in learning process, such as the students' will be more interesting to learn, the students will be easy to comprehend knowledge based on their learning style, the teacher will be more easy to teach the students if the teacher know the students' learning style.

Other reason was in teaching learning process, the technique or the style is the best way for teaching vocabulary. DePorter said "Learning style is the way and technique that is used to learn information and knowledge. DePorter said "Learning style is the way and technique that is used to learn information and knowledge. It helps students learn more fast and easier with selecting and using the appropriate learning style that they like" ${ }^{59}$ It could be shown how the learning style gives the best way towards vocabulary mastery of the tenth grade students of SMK N 1 Palangka Raya. Based on the result, the visual learner had the highest score towards vocabulary mastery and auditory learner had the lowest score

[^1]towards vocabulary mastery. The students will be enjoying in learning process if they can remember the vocabulary with their learning style.

In this case, the writer did not found problems when conducting the study in giving the questionnaire and instrument test to the students, because the students still like to study together.


[^0]:    ${ }^{58}$ AgusIrianto, Staitistik: KonsepDasardanAplikasinya, Jakarta: Prenada Media, 2004, p.

[^1]:    ${ }^{59}$ NurKhafifudin, "The Influence of Learning Styles (Visual, Auditory, Kinesthetic) Towards Vocabulary Mastery at the Second Year Students of MAN Salatiga in the Academic Year 2012-2013", Thesis S1 Programme, State Institue For Islamic Studiesb(STAIN) Salatiga, 2013

