## CHAPTER IV

## RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this chapter, the writer presents the data which had been collected from the research in the field of study. The data are the result of pretest of experimental and control group, the result of posttest of experimental and control group, result of data analysis, and discussion.

## A. The Result of Pretest Experimental Group and Control Group

## 1. Distribution of Pretest Scores of the Experimental Group

The test scores of experimental group are presented in the following table:

Table 2.1 The Description of Pre Test Scores of the Data Achieved by the Students in Experimental Group

| Experiment |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Code | Score | Correct <br> answer | Predicate |
| E-01 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Good |
| E-02 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Fail |
| E-03 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Fail |
| E-04 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Enough |
| E-05 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Good |
| E-06 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Fail |
| E-07 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Good |
| E-08 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Good |
| E-09 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Enough |
| E-10 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Good |
| E-11 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Enough |
| E-12 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Good |
| E-13 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Good |
| E-14 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Fail |
| E-15 | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Good |
| E-16 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Good |
| E-17 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Good |


| E-18 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Fail |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E-19 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Fail |
| E-20 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Good |
| E-21 | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Good |
| E-22 | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Good |
| E-23 | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Good |
| E-24 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Good |
| E-25 | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Good |
| E-26 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Fail |
| E-27 | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Good |
| E-28 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Fail |
| E-29 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Fail |
| E-30 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Fail |
| E-31 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Good |
| E-32 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Enough |
| E-33 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Fail |
| E-34 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Enough |
| E-35 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Fail |
| E-36 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Enough |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 5 0}$ |  |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{6 2 , 5}$ |  |  |
| Lowest score | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |  |  |
| Highest score | $\mathbf{7 5}$ |  |  |

Based on the data above, it can be seen that the students' highest score on experimental class is 75 and the students' lowest score is 50 . To determine the range of score, the class interval, and interval of temporary, the writer calculated using formula as follow:

| The highest score $(\mathrm{H})$ | $=75$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| The lowest score (L) | $=50$ |
| The range of score (R) | $=\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}+1$ |
|  | $=75-50+1$ |
|  | $=25+1=26$ |
| The Class Interval (K) | $=1+(3.3) \times \log 36$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1+(3.3) \times 1.5563 \\
& =1+5.13579 \\
& =6.13579 \\
& =6
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Interval of Temporary } \quad=\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{K}=26 / 6=4,33=4
$$

So, the range of score is 26 , the class interval is 6 , and interval of temporary is 4. It was presented using frequency distribution in the following table:

Table 2.2 Frequency Distribution of the Pre-Test Score of the Experimental Group

| Class <br> (k) | Interval <br> (I) | Frequency <br> (F) | Mid <br> Point <br> The | The <br> Limitation <br> of Each <br> Group | Frequency <br> Relative <br> $(\%)$ | Frequency <br> Cumulative <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 - 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 5 - \mathbf { 7 9 . 5 }}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 6 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 - 7 3}$ | 7 | $\mathbf{7 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 5 - 7 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 4 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 . 3 3 3}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 - 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 5 - 6 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 4 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 8 8 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 - 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 5 - 6 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 5 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 4 4 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 - 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 5 - 5 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 8 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 8 8 9}$ |
| Total | $\sum \mathbf{F}=\mathbf{3 6}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |

Figure 2.3 The Frequency Distribution of Pretest Score of the Experimental Group


It can be seen from the figure above, the students' pretest scores in experimental group. There are six students who got score 74-79. There are seven students who got score 68-73. There are seven students who got score 62-67. There are two students who got score 56-61. There are fourteen students who got score 50-55

The next step, the writer tabulated the scores into the table for the calculation of mean, median and modus as follow:

Table 2.3 The Table for Calculating Mean of Pretest score of the Experimental group

| Interval <br> $(\mathbf{I})$ | Frequency <br> $(\mathbf{F})$ | Mid <br> Point <br> $(\mathbf{x})$ | FX | $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ | Fx | Fkb | Fka |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{7 4 - 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| $\mathbf{6 8 - 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |
| $\mathbf{6 2 - 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{5 6 - 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{5 0 - 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ |
|  | $\sum \mathbf{F}=\mathbf{3 6}$ |  | $\sum \mathbf{F X = 2 2 5 6}$ |  | $\sum \mathbf{F x}^{\prime}=\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |

a. Mean

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Mx} & =\frac{\Sigma \sqrt{x}}{N} \\
& =\frac{2256}{36} \\
& =62,67
\end{aligned}
$$

The calculation above shows that the mean value is 62,67 .
The last step, the writer tabulated the scores of pre-test of experimental group into the table for the calculation for the calculation of standard deviation and the
standard error. The tabulation of the scores of pretest of pre-test of experimental group as follows:

Table 2.4 The Table for Calculating Standard deviation and Standard Error of the Pretest Score of Experimental group.

| Interval <br> (I) | Frequency <br> (F) | Mid <br> Point <br> (x) | FX | X' | Fx | $\mathrm{X}^{\prime 2}$ | $\mathrm{Fx}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74-79 | 6 | 76.5 | 459 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 24 |
| 68-73 | 7 | 70.5 | 493.5 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 7 |
| 62-67 | 7 | 64.5 | 451.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 56-61 | 2 | 58.5 | 117 | -2 | -4 | 4 | 8 |
| 50-55 | 14 | 52.5 | 735 | -1 | -14 | 1 | 14 |
|  | $\sum \mathrm{F}=36$ |  | $\sum \mathrm{FX}=2256$ |  | $\sum \mathrm{Fx}^{\prime}=1$ |  | $\mathbf{\Sigma F x}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}=53$ |

b. Standard Deviation
$S D 1=\mathrm{i} \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma f_{x}{ }^{\prime 2}}{N}-\left(\frac{\Sigma F x^{\prime}}{N}\right)^{2}}$
$S D \quad 1=6 \sqrt{\frac{53}{36}-\left(\frac{1}{36}\right)^{2}}$
SD $1=6 \sqrt{1,472222-\left(\frac{1}{1296}\right)}$
$S D 1=6 \sqrt{1,472222-0,0007716}$
SD $1=6 \sqrt{1.4714504}$
$S D 1=6 \times 1,21303355=7,2782013$
c. Standard Error
$\operatorname{SEm} 1=\frac{S D_{1}}{\sqrt{N}-1}$
$\operatorname{SEm}_{1}=\frac{7,2782013}{\sqrt{261}}$
$\mathrm{SEm} 1=\frac{7,2782013}{\sqrt{25}}$

$$
\operatorname{SEm}_{1}=\frac{7,2782013}{5916}=1,23025715
$$

After calculating, it was found that the standard deviation and the standard error of pretest score were 7,2782013 and 1,23025715 . The writer also calculated the data calculation of pre test score of experimental group using SPSS 21.0 program. The result of statistic table is as follow:

Table 2.5 The Table of Calculation of Mean, Median, Modus, Standard Deviation, Standard Error of Mean of Pre Test Score in Experimental Group Using SPSS 21.0 Program

## Statistics

PRE TEST EXPERIMENT

| Valid | 36 |
| :---: | :---: |
| N Missing | 0 |
| Mean | 62.5000 |
| Std. Error of Mean | 1.51054 |
| Median | 65.0000 |
| Mode | $50.00^{\text {a }}$ |
| Std. Deviation | 9.06327 |
| Variance | 82.143 |
| Skewness | -. 076 |
| Std. Error of | . 393 |
| Skewness |  |
| Kurtosis | -1.455 |
| Std. Error of Kurtosis | . 768 |
| Range | 25.00 |
| Minimum | 50.00 |
| Maximum | 75.00 |
| Sum | 2250.00 |

The table shows the result of mean calculation is 62.5000 . The result of standard deviation is 9.06327 and the standard error is 1.51054 .

## 2. Distribution of Pre Test Scores of the Control Group

The pre-test scores of the control group were presented in the following table:

Tabel 2.6 The Description of Pre Test Scores of the Data Achieved by the Students in Control Group

| Control |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Code | Score | Correct <br> answer | Predicate |
| C-01 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Enough |
| C-02 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Good |
| C-03 | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | Fail |
| C-04 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Fail |
| C-05 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Fail |
| C-06 | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Good |
| C-07 | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | Fail |
| C-08 | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Good |
| C-09 | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Good |
| C-10 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Enough |
| C-11 | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | Fail |
| C-12 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Good |
| C-13 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Enough |
| C-14 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Fail |
| C-15 | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | Fail |
| C-16 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Fail |
| C-17 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Enough |
| C-18 | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | Fail |
| C-19 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Fail |
| C-20 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Good |
| C-21 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Good |
| C-22 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Fail |
| C-23 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Fail |
| C-24 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Enough |
| C-25 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Enough |
| C-26 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Enough |
| C-27 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Good |
| C-28 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Fail |
| C-29 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Enough |
| C-30 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Fail |
| C-31 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Fail |
|  |  |  |  |


| C-32 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Good |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C-33 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Enough |
| C-34 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Enough |
| C-35 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Fail |
| C-36 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Good |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 1 4 0}$ |  |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{5 9 . 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4}$ |  |  |
| Lowest score | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |  |  |
| Higher score | $\mathbf{7 5}$ |  |  |

Based on the data above, it can be seen that the students' highest score is 75 and the student's lowest score is 45 . To determine the range of score, the class interval, and interval of temporary, the writer calculated using formula as follows:

The Highest Score (H) $=75$
The lowest Score (L) $=45$
The Range of Score (R) = H-L+1

$$
=75-45+1
$$

$$
=30+1=31
$$

The Class Interval (K) $=1+$ (3.3) $\times \log 36$
$=1+(3.3) \times 1.5563$
$=1+5.13579$
$=6.13579$
$=6$
Interval of Temporary $=\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{K}=31 / 6=5,167=5$
So, the range of score is 31 , the class interval is 6 , and interval of temporary is 5. It was presented using frequency distribution in the following:

Table 2.7 Frequency Distribution of the Pre Test Score of the Control Group

| Class <br> (k) | Interval <br> (I) | Frequency <br> (F) | Mid <br> Point | The <br> Limitation <br> of Each <br> Group | Frequency <br> Relative <br> (\%) | Frequency <br> Cumulative <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 - 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 5 - 7 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 3 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 - 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 5 - 7 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 4 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 . 6 6 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 - 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 5 - 6 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 3 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 2 2 3}$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 - 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 5 - 6 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 4 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 8 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 - 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 5 - 5 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 4 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 4 4 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 - 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 5 - 5 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| Total | $=\mathbf{3 6}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |

Figure 2.7 The Frequency Distribution of Pretest Score of the Control Group


It can be seen from the figure above, the students' pretest scores in control group. There are three students who got score 75-80. There are seven students who got score 69-74. There are three students who got score 63-68. There are seven students who got score 57-62. There are seven students who got score 5156. There are nine students who got score 45-50.

The next step, the writer tabulated the scores into the table for the calculation of mean, median, modus as follow.

Table 2.8 The Table for Calculating Mean of Pre test Score of the Control Group

| Interval <br> $(\mathbf{I})$ | Frequency <br> (F) | Mid <br> Point <br> (x) | FX | $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ | Fx | Fkb | Fka |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{7 5 - 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{6 9 - 7 4}$ | 7 | $\mathbf{7 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{6 3 - 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |
| $\mathbf{5 7 - 6 2}$ | 7 | $\mathbf{5 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{5 1 - 5 6}$ | 7 | $\mathbf{5 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 1}$ | -7 | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{4 5 - 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{\Sigma F}=\mathbf{3 6}$ |  | $\sum \mathbf{F X}=\mathbf{2 1 4 8}$ |  | $\mathbf{\Sigma F x}=\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |

a. Mean

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Mx } & =\frac{\Sigma \sqrt{2}}{N} \\
& =\frac{2148}{36} \\
& =59,667
\end{aligned}
$$

The calculation above showed that the mean value is 59,667 .
The last step, the writer tabulated the scores of pre-test of control group into the table for the calculation for the calculation of standard deviation and the standard error. The tabulation of the scores of pretest of pre-test of control group as follows:

Table 2.9 The Table for Calculating Standard deviation and Standard Error of the Pretest Score of Control group

| Interval <br> $(\mathbf{I})$ | Frequency <br> (F) | Mid- <br> Point(x) | FX | $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{F x}^{\prime 2}$ | $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{F x}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 75-80 | 3 | 77.5 | 232.5 | 3 | 9 | 9 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-74 | 7 | 71.5 | 500.5 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 28 |
| 63-68 | 3 | 65.5 | 196.5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| 57-62 | 7 | 59.5 | 416.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 51-56 | 7 | 53.5 | 374.5 | -1 | -7 | 7 | 49 |
| 45-50 | 9 | 47.5 | 427.5 | -2 | -18 | 4 | 72 |
|  | $\Sigma \mathrm{\Sigma F}=36$ |  | $\sum_{48} F X=21$ |  | EFx'=-1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{9} \mathrm{Fx}^{, 2}=17 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

a. Standard Deviation
$S D 1=\mathrm{i} \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma f_{x}^{\prime z}}{N}-\left(\frac{\Sigma F x^{\prime}}{N}\right)^{2}}$
$S D \quad 1=6 \sqrt{\frac{179}{36}-\left(\frac{1}{36}\right)^{2}}$
SD $1=6 \sqrt{4,972222-\frac{1}{1296}}$
$S D 1=6 \sqrt{4.972222-0,0007716}$
SD $1=6 \sqrt{4,9714504}$
$S D 1=6 \times 2,22967495=13,3780497$
b. Standard Error

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{SEm} 1=\frac{S D 1}{\sqrt{N}-1} \\
& \operatorname{SEm} 1=\frac{13,3780497}{\sqrt{86-1}} \\
& \operatorname{SEm} 1=\frac{13,3780497}{\sqrt{25}} \\
& \text { SEm } 1=\frac{13,3780497}{591607978}=2,2610287105
\end{aligned}
$$

After calculating, it was found that the standard deviation and the standard error of pretest score were 13,3780497 and 2,2610287105 . The writer also
calculated the data calculation of pre-test score of control group using SPSS 21.0 program. The result of statistic table is as follow:

Table 2.10 The Table of Calculation of Mean, Median, Mode, Standard Deviation, and Standard Error of Mean of Pre Test Score of Control Group Using SPSS 21.0 Program

## Statistics

PRE TEST CONTROL

| Valid | 36 |
| :---: | :---: |
| N Missing | 0 |
| Mean | 59.4444 |
| Std. Error of Mean | 1.57835 |
| Median | 60.0000 |
| Mode | $55.00^{\text {a }}$ |
| Std. Deviation | 9.47009 |
| Variance | 89.683 |
| Skewness | . 035 |
| Std. Error of | . 393 |
| Skewness |  |
| Kurtosis | -1.092 |
| Std. Error of Kurtosis | . 768 |
| Range | 30.00 |
| Minimum | 45.00 |
| Maximum | 75.00 |
| Sum | 2140.00 |

The table shows the result of mean calculation is 59.4444. The result of standard deviation is 9.47009 and the standard error is 1.57835 .

The writer also calculated the normality and homogenity of pre test using SPSS 21.0 program as follows:

### 2.11 Table of Normality and Homogenity Using SPSS 21.0 Program

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

|  |  | Experiment | Control |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N |  | 36 | 36 |
| Normal Parameters $^{\text {a,b }}$ | Mean | 62.5000 | 59.4444 |


|  | Std. <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Deviation |  | 9.06327 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Most | Extreme | Absolute | .185 |
| Positive | .185 | .145 |  |
| Differences | Negative | -.164 | .125 |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z |  | 1.109 | .872 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) |  | .170 | .433 |

a. Test distribution is Normal.
b. Calculated from data.

Test of Homogeneity of Variances
score

| Levene <br> Statistic | df1 | df2 | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| .040 | 1 | 70 | .842 |

B. The Result of Post-Test Experimental and Control Group

1. Distribution of Post Test Scores of the Experimental Group

The post test scores of experimental group were presented in the following
table:

Table 2.12 The Distribution of Post Test Scores of the Data achieved by the Students in Experimental group

| Experiment |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Code | Score | Correct <br> answer | Predicate |
| E-01 | $\mathbf{8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | FAIRLY GOOD |
| E-02 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | ENOUGH |
| E-03 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | ENOUGH |
| E-04 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | GOOD |
| E-05 | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | ENOUGH |
| E-06 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | ENOUGH |
| E-07 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | ENOUGH |
| E-08 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | FAIL |
| E-09 | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | GOOD |
| E-10 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | GOOD |
| E-11 | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | ENOUGH |
| E-12 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | GOOD |
| E-13 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | FAIRLY GOOD |
| E-14 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | GOOD |
| E-15 | $\mathbf{8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | FAIRLY GOOD |
| E-16 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | ENOUGH |
| E-17 | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | GOOD |
| E-18 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | FAIL |
| E-19 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | FAIL |
| E-20 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | GOOD |
| E-21 | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | FAIRLY GOOD |
| E-22 | $\mathbf{8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | FAIRLY GOOD |
| E-23 | $\mathbf{8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | FAIRLY GOOD |
| E-24 | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | GOOD |
| E-25 | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | FAIRLY GOOD |
| E-26 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | ENOUGH |
| E-27 | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | FAIRLY GOOD |
| E-28 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | GOOD |
| E-29 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | ENOUGH |
| E-30 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | ENOUGH |
| E-31 | $\mathbf{8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | FAIRLY GOOD |
| E-32 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | GOOD |
| E-33 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | ENOUGH |
| E-34 | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | GOOD |
|  |  |  |  |


| E-35 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | ENOUGH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E-36 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | GOOD |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 2 0}$ |  |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{7 0}$ |  |  |
| Lowest score | $\mathbf{5 5}$ |  |  |
| Higher score | $\mathbf{8 5}$ |  |  |

Based on the data above, it can be seen that the students' highest score was 85 and the student's lowest score is 55 . To determine the range of score, the class interval, and interval of temporary, the writer calculated using formula as follows:

```
The Highest Score (H) = 85
The lowest Score (L) = 55
The Range of Score (R) = H-L+1
    = 85-55+1
    =30+1=31
```

The Class Interval (K) $=1+$ (3.3) $\times \log 36$
$=1+(3.3) \times 1.5563$
$=1+5.13579$
$=6.13579$
$=6$
Interval of Temporary $=R / K \quad=31 / 6=5,16666667=6$

So, the range of score was 41 , the class interval was 6 , and interval of temporary was 6 . It was presented using frequency distribution in the following:

Table 2.13 The Frequency Distribution of the Post Test Score of the Experimental Group

| Class <br> (k) | Interval <br> (I) | Frequency <br> (F) | Mid <br> Point | The <br> Limitation <br> of Each <br> Group | Frequency <br> Relative <br> $(\%)$ | Frequency <br> Cumulative <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 - 9 0}$ | 5 | $\mathbf{8 7 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 . 5 - 9 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 8 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 - 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 . 5 - \mathbf { 8 4 . 5 }}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 1 1 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 - 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 5 - 7 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 8 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 - 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 . 5 - 7 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 2 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 . 1 1 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 - 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 5 - 6 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 8 8 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 5 - 6 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 7 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 7 7 8}$ |
| Total |  |  | $\Sigma \mathbf{F}=36$ |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 2.14 The Frequency Distribution of Post test Score of the Experimental Group


It can be seen from the figure above, the students' post test score in experimental group. There are five students who got score $85-90$. There are four students who got 79-84. There are five students who got 73-78. There are eight students who got score 67-72. There are four students who got 61-66. There are ten students who got score 55-60.

The next step, the writer tabulated the scores into the table for the calculation of mean, median, and modus as follows

Table 2.14 The Table for Calculating Mean of Post Test Score of the Experimental Group

| Interval <br> (I) | Frequency <br> (F) | Mid <br> Point <br> (x) | FX | $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ | Fx | Fkb | Fka |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{8 5 - 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| $\mathbf{7 9 - 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| $\mathbf{7 3 - 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{6 7 - 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{6 1 - 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{5 5 - 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ |
| Total | $\sum \mathbf{F}=\mathbf{3 6}$ |  | $\sum \mathbf{F X = 2 5 2 6}$ |  | $\mathbf{\Sigma F x}=\mathbf{4}$ |  |  |

a. Mean

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Mx} & =\frac{\frac{\Sigma f \mathrm{x}}{N}}{} \\
& =\frac{2526}{36} \\
& =70,1666667
\end{aligned}
$$

The calculation above showed the mean value: 70,1666667.

The last step, the writer tabulated the scores of post test of experimental group into the table for the calculation of standard deviation and the standard error as follows:

Table 2.15 The Table for Calculating Standard Deviation and Standard Error of the Post Test Score of Experimental Group

| Interval <br> (I) | Frequency <br> (F) | MidPoint(x) | FX | X | Fx' | $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{F x}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85-90 | 5 | 87,5 | 437.5 | 3 | 15 | 9 | 45 |
| 79-84 | 4 | 81,5 | 326 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 16 |
| 73-78 | 5 | 75,5 | 377.5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| 67-72 | 8 | 69,5 | 556 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 61-66 | 4 | 63,5 | 254 | -1 | -4 | 1 | 4 |
| 55-60 | 10 | 57,5 | 575 | -2 | -20 | 4 | 40 |
| Total | $\sum \mathrm{F}=36$ |  | $\sum_{26} F X=25$ |  | EFx'=4 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \quad \Sigma \mathrm{Fx}^{, 2}=11 \\ & \mathrm{O} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

a. Standard Deviation
$S D \quad 1=\mathrm{i} \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma f x^{\prime 2}}{N}-\left(\frac{\Sigma F x^{\prime}}{N}\right)^{2}}$
$S D \quad 1=6 \sqrt{\frac{110}{36}-\left(\frac{4}{36}\right)^{2}}$
$S D 1=6 \sqrt{3,055556-\left(\frac{16}{1296}\right)}$
SD 1 $=6 \sqrt{3,055556-0,012345679}$
SD 1=6 $\sqrt{3,0432103211}$
SD $1=6 \times 1,74447996=10,4668798$
b. Standard Error
$\operatorname{SEm} 1=\frac{S D_{1}}{\sqrt{N}-1}$
$\operatorname{SEm}_{1}=\frac{10,4668798}{\sqrt{861}}$
$\operatorname{SEm} 1=\frac{10,4668798}{\sqrt{25}}$
$\operatorname{SEm} 1=\frac{10,4668798}{591607978}=1,7692256$

The result of calculation showed that the standard deviation of post test score of experimental group was 10,4668798 and the standard error of post test score of experimental group was 1,7692256 .

Table 2.16 The Table of Calculation of Mean, Median, Mode, Standard Deviation, and Standard Error of Mean of Post Test Score of Experiment Group Using SPSS 21.0 Program

## Statistics

POST TEST EXPERIMENT

| N | Valid |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Missing |
| Mean | 36 |
| Std. Error of |  |
| Mean | 70.0000 |
| Median | 1.60604 |
| Mode | 70.0000 |
| Std. Deviation | 70.00 |
| Variance | 9.63624 |
| Skewness | 92.857 |
| Std. Error of | .051 |
| Skewness | .393 |
| Kurtosis | -1.068 |
| Std. Error of |  |
| Rurtosis | .768 |
| Range | 30.00 |
| Maximum | 55.00 |
| Maximum | 85.00 |
| Sum | 2520.00 |

The table shows the result of mean calculation is 70.0000 . The result of standard deviation is 9.63624 and the standard error is 1.60604 .
2. Distribution of Post Test Scores of the Control Group

The post test scores of the control group were presented in the following table:

Tabel 2.17 The Description of Post Test Scores of the Data Achieved by the Students in Control Group

| Control |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Score | Correct answer | Predicate |
| C-01 | 65 | 13 | ENOUGH |
| C-02 | 80 | 14 | FAIRLY GOOD |
| C-03 | 50 | 10 | FAIL |
| C-04 | 50 | 10 | FAIL |
| C-05 | 55 | 14 | FAIL |
| C-06 | 85 | 11 | FAIRLY GOOD |
| C-07 | 50 | 9 | FAIL |
| C-08 | 85 | 13 | FAIRLY GOOD |
| C-09 | 80 | 11 | FAIRLY GOOD |
| C-10 | 65 | 12 | ENOUGH |
| C-11 | 55 | 12 | FAIL |
| C-12 | 85 | 16 | FAIRLY GOOD |
| C-13 | 70 | 15 | GOOD |
| C-14 | 60 | 13 | ENOUGH |
| C-15 | 50 | 12 | FAIL |
| C-16 | 60 | 14 | ENOUGH |
| C-17 | 65 | 14 | ENOUGH |
| C-18 | 50 | 13 | FAIL |
| C-19 | 55 | 15 | FAIL |
| C-20 | 75 | 13 | GOOD |
| C-21 | 80 | 11 | FAIRLY GOOD |
| C-22 | 60 | 12 | ENOUGH |
| C-23 | 60 | 12 | ENOUGH |
| C-24 | 70 | 14 | GOOD |
| C-25 | 65 | 15 | ENOUGH |
| C-26 | 75 | 12 | GOOD |
| C-27 | 75 | 10 | GOOD |
| C-28 | 65 | 8 | ENOUGH |
| C-29 | 70 | 14 | GOOD |
| C-30 | 65 | 10 | ENOUGH |
| C-31 | 60 | 12 | ENOUGH |
| C-32 | 80 | 16 | FAIRLY GOOD |
| C-33 | 70 | 14 | GOOD |


| C-34 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | GOOD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C-35 | 55 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | FAIL |
| C-36 | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | GOOD |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 3 8 5}$ |  |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{6 6 . 2 5}$ |  |  |
| Lowest score | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |  |  |
| Higher score | $\mathbf{8 5}$ |  |  |

Based on the data above, it can be seen that the students' highest score is 85 and the student's lowest score is 50 . To determine the range of score, the class interval, and interval of temporary, the writer calculated using formula as follows

The Highest Score $(\mathrm{H}) \quad=85$
The lowest Score (L) =50
The Range of Score (R) = H-L+1
$=85-50+1$
$=35+1=36$

The Class Interval (K) $=1+$ (3.3) $\times \log 36$

$$
=1+(3.3) \times 1.5563
$$

$$
=1+5.13579
$$

$$
=6.13579
$$

$$
=6
$$

Interval of Temporary $=\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{K}=36 / 6=6$
So, the range of score is 36 , the class interval is 6 , and interval of temporary is 6. It was presented using frequency distribution in the following

Table 2.18 The Frequency Distribution of the Post Test Score of the Control Group

| Class <br> (k) | Interval <br> (I) | Frequency <br> (F) | Mid <br> Point | The <br> Limitation <br> of Each <br> Group | Frequency <br> Relative <br> $(\%)$ | Frequency <br> Cumulative <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 - 8 5}$ | 7 | $\mathbf{8 2 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 . 5 - \mathbf { 8 5 . 5 }}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 - 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 . 5}-\mathbf{7 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 , 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 - 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 5 - 6 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 , 8}$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 - 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 . 5 - 6 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 - 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 5 - 6 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 3}$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 - 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 5 - 4 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 1}$ |
| Total |  | $\sum \mathbf{F}=36$ |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

Figure 2.18 The Frequency Distribution of Post-test of the Control Group


It can be seen from the figure above, the students' post test score in control group. There are seven students who got $80-85$. There are four students who got score 74-79. There are five students who got score 68-3. There are six students who got 62-67. There are five students who got 56-61. There are nine students who got score 50-55.

The next step, the writer tabulated the scores into the table for the calculation of mean, median, and modus as follows:

Table 2.19 The Table for Calculating Mean of Post Test Score of the Control Group

| Interval <br> $(\mathbf{I})$ | Frequency <br> (F) | Mid <br> Point <br> (x) | FX | $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ | Fx' | Fkb | Fka |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{8 0 - 8 5}$ | 7 | $\mathbf{7 2 , 5}$ | 557.5 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| $74-79$ | 4 | $\mathbf{7 6 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{6 8 - 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{6 2 - 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{5 6 - 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{5 0 - 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ |
|  | $\sum \mathbf{F}=\mathbf{3 6}$ |  | $\sum \mathbf{F X = 2 3 6 8}$ |  | $\mathbf{\Sigma F x}=\mathbf{1 1}$ |  |  |

a. Mean

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Mx} & =\frac{\mathrm{Efx}}{N} \\
& =\frac{2368}{36} \\
& =65,778
\end{aligned}
$$

The calculation above showed that the mean value is 65,778 .
The last step, the writer tabulated the scores of pre-test of control group into the table for the calculation for the calculation of standard deviation and the standard error. The tabulation of the scores of pretest of pre-test of control group as follows:

Table 2.20 The Table for Calculating Standard Deviation and Standard Error of Post Test of Control Group

| Interval <br> (I) | Frequency <br> (F) | Mid- <br> Point(x) | FX | X | Fx' | $\mathrm{X}^{\prime 2}$ | $\mathbf{F x}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80-85 | 7 | 82,5 | 557.5 | 3 | 21 | 9 | 63 |
| 74-79 | 4 | 76,5 | 306 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 16 |
| 68-73 | 5 | 70,5 | 352.5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| 62-67 | 6 | 64,5 | 387 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 56-61 | 5 | 58,5 | 292.5 | -1 | -5 | 1 | 5 |
| 50-55 | 9 | 52,5 | 472.5 | -2 | -18 | 4 | 36 |
|  | $\sum \mathrm{F}=36$ |  | $\sum_{68} F X=23$ |  | $\Sigma_{1} F x^{\prime}=1$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \Sigma \mathrm{Fx}^{\prime 2}=12 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |

c. Standard Deviation
$S D \quad 1=\mathrm{i} \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma f_{x}{ }^{\prime z}}{N}-\left(\frac{\Sigma F x^{\prime}}{N}\right)^{2}}$
$S D \quad 1=6 \sqrt{\frac{125}{36}-\left(\frac{11}{36}\right)^{2}}$
$S D 1=6 \sqrt{3,4722222222-\left(\frac{121}{1296}\right)}$
$S D 1=6 \sqrt{3.4722222222-0,0933641975}$
SD $1=6 \sqrt{3.3788580247}$
$S D 1=6 \times 1,83816703=11,0290022$
d. Standard Error
$\operatorname{SEm} 1=\frac{S D_{1}}{\sqrt{N}-1}$
$\mathrm{SEm} 1=\frac{11,0290022}{\sqrt{361}}$
$\mathrm{SEm}_{1}=\frac{11,0290022}{\sqrt{25}}$
$\operatorname{SEm}_{1}=\frac{11,0290022}{591607978}=1,86424163$

The result of calculation showed that the standard deviation of post test score of control group was 11,0290022 and the standard error of post test score of control group was 1,86424163 . The writer also calculated the data calculation of pretest score of control group using SPSS 21.0 program. The result of Statistic table is as follows:

Table 2.21 The Table of Calculation of Mean, Median, Mode, Standard Deviation, and Standard Error of Mean of Post Test Score of Control Group Using SPSS 21.0 Program

## Statistics

POST TEST CONTROL

| N Valid | 36 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N} \quad$ Missing | 0 |
| Mean | 66.2500 |
| Std. Error of Mean | 1.82981 |
| Median | 65.0000 |
| Mode | 65.00 |
| Std. Deviation | 10.97888 |
| Variance | 120.536 |
| Skewness | . 108 |
| Std. Error of | . 393 |
| Skewness |  |
| Kurtosis | -1.038 |
| Std. Error of Kurtosis | . 768 |
| Range | 35.00 |
| Minimum | 50.00 |
| Maximum | 85.00 |
| Sum | 2385.00 |

The table shows the result of mean calculation is 66.2500 . The result of standard deviation is 10.97888 and the standard error is 1.82981 .

| Control |  |  |  |  |  | Experimental |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | Code | X1 | X2 | X2-X1 | No | Code | X1 | X2 | X2-X1 |
| 1 | C-01 | 60 | 65 | 5 | 1 | E-01 | 70 | 85 | 15 |
| 2 | C-02 | 70 | 80 | 10 | 2 | E-02 | 55 | 60 | 5 |
| 3 | C-03 | 45 | 50 | 5 | 3 | E-03 | 50 | 55 | 5 |
| 4 | C-04 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 4 | E-04 | 60 | 65 | 5 |
| 5 | C-05 | 55 | 55 | 0 | 5 | E-05 | 70 | 75 | 5 |
| 6 | C-06 | 75 | 85 | 10 | 6 | E-06 | 65 | 70 | 5 |
| 7 | C-07 | 45 | 50 | 5 | 7 | E-07 | 50 | 60 | 10 |
| 8 | C-08 | 75 | 85 | 10 | 8 | E-08 | 50 | 55 | 5 |
| 9 | C-09 | 75 | 80 | 5 | 9 | E-09 | 65 | 75 | 10 |
| 10 | C-10 | 60 | 65 | 5 | 10 | E-10 | 65 | 70 | 5 |
| 11 | C-11 | 45 | 55 | 10 | 11 | E-11 | 70 | 80 | 10 |
| 12 | C-12 | 70 | 85 | 15 | 12 | E-12 | 70 | 70 | 0 |
| 13 | C-13 | 65 | 70 | 5 | 13 | E-13 | 60 | 70 | 10 |
| 14 | C-14 | 55 | 60 | 5 | 14 | E-14 | 50 | 60 | 10 |
| 15 | C-15 | 45 | 50 | 5 | 15 | E-15 | 75 | 85 | 10 |
| 16 | C-16 | 55 | 60 | 5 | 16 | E-16 | 55 | 60 | 5 |
| 17 | C-17 | 60 | 65 | 5 | 17 | E-17 | 70 | 75 | 5 |
| 18 | C-18 | 45 | 50 | 5 | 18 | E-18 | 50 | 55 | 5 |
| 19 | C-19 | 50 | 55 | 5 | 19 | E-19 | 50 | 55 | 5 |


| 20 | C-20 | 70 | 75 | 5 | 20 | E-20 | 65 | 70 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | C-21 | 70 | 80 | 10 | 21 | E-21 | 75 | 80 | 5 |
| 22 | C-22 | 55 | 60 | 5 | 22 | E-22 | 75 | 85 | 10 |
| 23 | C-23 | 50 | 60 | 10 | 23 | E-23 | 75 | 85 | 10 |
| 24 | C-24 | 60 | 70 | 10 | 24 | E-24 | 70 | 75 | 5 |
| 25 | C-25 | 60 | 65 | 5 | 25 | E-25 | 75 | 80 | 5 |
| 26 | C-26 | 65 | 75 | 10 | 26 | E-26 | 55 | 65 | 10 |
| 27 | C-27 | 70 | 75 | 5 | 27 | E-27 | 75 | 80 | 5 |
| 28 | C-28 | 55 | 65 | 10 | 28 | E-28 | 55 | 70 | 15 |
| 29 | C-29 | 60 | 70 | 10 | 29 | E-29 | 50 | 65 | 15 |
| 30 | C-30 | 55 | 65 | 10 | 30 | E-30 | 55 | 65 | 10 |
| 31 | C-31 | 55 | 60 | 5 | 31 | E-31 | 70 | 85 | 15 |
| 32 | C-32 | 70 | 80 | 10 | 32 | E-32 | 65 | 70 | 5 |
| 33 | C-33 | 65 | 70 | 5 | 33 | E-33 | 55 | 60 | 5 |
| 34 | C-34 | 60 | 70 | 10 | 34 | E-34 | 65 | 75 | 10 |
| 35 | C-35 | 50 | 55 | 5 | 35 | E-35 | 55 | 60 | 5 |
| 36 | C-36 | 70 | 75 | 5 | 36 | E-36 | 65 | 70 | 5 |
| Mean |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5 9 , 6 6} \\ & 7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 5 , 7 7} \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Mean | 62,67 | 70,167 |  |

The writer also calculated the normality and homogenity of post test using SPSS 21.0 program as follows

### 2.22 Table of Normality and Homogenity Using SPSS 21.0 Program

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

|  |  | Experime <br> nt | Control |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N |  | 36 | 36 |
|  | Mean | 70.0000 | 66.2500 |
| Normal Parameters ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$ | Std. | 9.63624 | 10.97888 |
|  | Deviation |  |  |
|  | Absolute | . 128 | . 104 |
| Most Extreme <br> Differences  | Positive | . 128 | . 104 |
|  | Negative | -. 111 | -. 093 |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) |  | . 769 | . 626 |
|  |  | . 596 | . 828 |

a. Test distribution is Normal.
b. Calculated from data.

Test of Homogeneity of Variances
Score

| Levene <br> Statistic | df1 | df2 | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.073 | 1 | 70 | .304 |

## C. Result of Data Analysis

## 1. Testing Hypothesis Using Manual Calculation

The writer chose the significance level on 5\%, it means the significance level of refusal of null Hypothesis on 5\%. The writer decided the significance level at 5\% due to the Hypothesis type stated on non-directional (two-tailed test). It meant that the Hypothesis cannot direct the prediction of alternative Hypothesis.

To test the hypothesis of the study, the writer used t-test statistical calculation. Firstly, the writer calculated the standard deviation and the error of $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $X_{2}$. It was found the standard deviation and the standard error of post test of $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ at the previous data presentation. It could be seen on this following table:

Table 2.23 The Standard Deviation and Standard Error of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$

| Variable | The Standard Deviation | The Standard Error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 10,4668798 | 1,7692256 |
| $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 11,0290022 | 1,86424163 |

Where :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{1}=\text { Experimental group } \\
& X_{2}=\text { Control group }
\end{aligned}
$$

The table showed the result of the standard deviation calculation of $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ was 10,4668798 and the result of the standard error mean calculation was 1,7692256. The result of the standard deviation calculation of $X_{2}$ was 11,0290022 and the result of the standard error mean calculation was 1,86424163 .

The next step, the writer calculated the standard error of the differences mean between $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ as follows:

Standard error of mean of score difference between variable I and variable II:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { SEM1 - SEM2 } & =\sqrt{S E m 1^{2}+S E m 2^{2}} \\
\text { SEM } 1-\text { SEM2 } & =\sqrt{1,7692256^{2}+1,86424163^{2}} \\
\text { SEM } 1-\text { SEM2 } & =\sqrt{3,13015922+3,47539686} \\
\text { SEM } 1-\text { SEM2 } & =\sqrt{6,60555608} \\
\text { SEM } 1-\text { SEM2 } & =2,57012764
\end{array}
$$

The calculation above showed the standard error of the differences mean between $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ was 2,57012764. Then, it was inserted to the $t_{0}$ formula to get the value of $t$ observe as follows:
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{o}} \quad=\frac{M 1-M 2}{S E m 1-S E m 2}$
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{o}} \quad=\frac{70,17-62,67}{2,57012764}$
$\mathrm{t}_{0} \quad=\frac{7,50}{2,57012764}$
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{o}} \quad=2,91697575=2,918$
with the criteria:

If t-test $(\mathrm{t}$-observed $) \geq \mathrm{t}$-table, Ha is accepted and Ho is rejected.
If t-test (t-observed) < t-table, Ha is rejected and Ho is accepted.
Then, the writer interpreted the result of t-test. Previously, the writer accounted the degree of freedom (df) with the formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{df} \quad & =\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}+\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)-2 \\
& =(36+36)-2=70
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{T}_{\text {table }}$ at df 70/60 at $5 \%$ significant level $=2.000$
The writer chose the significant levels on $5 \%$, it means the significant level of refusal of null hypothesis on 5\%. The writer decided the significance level at 5\% due to the hypothesis typed stated on non-directional (two-tailed test). It meant that the hypothesis can not direct the prediction of alternative hypothesis.

The calculation above showed the result of $t$-test calculation as in the table follows:

Table 2.24 The Result of T-Test

| Variable | T Observed | T Table | Df/db |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ |

Where:
$\mathrm{X}_{1} \quad=$ Experimental Group
$\mathrm{X}_{2} \quad=$ Control Group
T observe $=$ The Calculated Value
T table $\quad=$ The Distribution of t Value
$\mathrm{Df} / \mathrm{db} \quad=$ Degree of Freedom
Based on the result of hypothesis test calculation, it was found that the value of tobserved was greater than the value of ttable at significance level or $2,000<$ 2,916. It meant Ha was accepted and Ho was rejected.

It could be interpreted based on the result of calculation that Ha stating that using of Cartoon Movie increases the eight-graders students' ability in constructing simple present tense at SMPN 3 Palangka Raya was accepted and Ho stating that using Cartoon Movie does not increase the eight-graders students’
ability in constructing simple present tense at SMPN 3 Palangka Raya was rejected.

## 2. Testing Hypothesis Using SPSS 21.0 Program

The writer also applied SPSS 21.0 program to calculate t test in testing hypothesis of the study. The result of $t$ test using SPSS 21.0 was used to support the manual calcution of the $t$ test. The result of the $t$ test using SPSS 21.0 program could be seen as follows:

Table 2.25 The Standard Deviation and the Standard Error of $\mathbf{X}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}}$
Group Statistics

|  | Class | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. Error <br> Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Score | 1.00 | 36 | 70.0000 | 9.63624 | 1.60604 |
|  | 2.00 | 36 | 66.2500 | 10.97888 | 1.82981 |

The table showed the result of the standard deviation calcution of X 1 was 9.63624 and the result of the standard error mean calculation was 1.60604. The result of the standard deviation calculation of X2 was 10.97888 and the standard error mean calculation was 1.82981 .

Table 2.26 The Calculation T-test Using SPSS 21.0 Independent Sample Test
The table shows the result of $t$ test calculation using SPSS 21.0 program.
Independent Samples Test

| Levene's | t-test for Equality of Means |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Test for |  |
| Equality of |  |
| Variances |  |


|  |  | F | Sig. | t | df | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sig. } \\ & (2-- \\ & \text { tailed }) \end{aligned}$ | Mean Difference | Std. Error Difference | 95\% Confidence Interval of the Difference |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower |  |  |  |  |  |  | Upper |
|  | Equal variances assumed |  | 1.073 | . 304 | $2.540$ | 70 | . 128 | 3.75000 | 2.43466 | $1.10578$ | 8.60578 |
| Score | Equal <br> variances <br> not <br> assumed |  |  | 2.540 | 68.842 | . 128 | 3.75000 | 2.43466 | $1.10722$ | 8.60722 |

Since the result of post-test between experiment and control group had difference score of variance, it found that the result of Tobserved was 2.540 , the result of mean difference between experiment and control group was 3.75000

To examine the truth or the false of null hypothesis stating that using Cartoon Movie does not increses the eight grade students' ability in constructing simple present tense, the result of post test was interpreted on the result of degree of freedom to get the $\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}$. The result of degree of freedom (df) was 70. The following table was the result of $t_{\text {observed }}$ and $t_{\text {table }}$ from 70 at $5 \%$ significance level.

Table 2.27 The Result of T-observed and T-table / T-test

| Variable | T Observed | T Table | T Table | Df/db |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{X}_{1}-\mathbf{X}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ |

The interpretation of the result of t -test using SPSS 21.0 program, it was found the t observe was greater than the t table at $5 \%$ significances level or 2,000<2.540. It could be interpreted based on the result of calculation that Ha stating that Cartoon

Movie increases the students' writing ability on simple present tense was accepted and Ho stating that Cartoon Movie does not increases the students' ability in constructing simple present tense was rejected. It meant that teaching using Cartoon Movie increases the eighth graders ability in constructing simple present tense at SMPN 3 Palangka Raya.

Table 2.28 The Comparison Mean of Pre Test and Post Test Score Achieved by the Students in Experiment and Control Group.

| - |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Variable | Mean difference |
| $\mathbf{X 2}-\mathbf{X 1}$ |  |
| $70,17-\mathbf{6 2 , 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 5 0}$ |
| Control |  |
| Variable |  |
| $\mathbf{X 2}-\mathbf{X 1}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{6 5 , 7 8}-59,44$ | $\mathbf{6 , 3 4}$ |

Where : X1 = pre test

$$
\mathrm{X} 2=\text { post test }
$$

From the table above it can be seen that there is significant difference of mean score of pre-test - post-test at experimental group where pre-test mean score is 62,67 and post-test mean score is 70,17 .

## D. Discussion

The result of the data analysis showed that the Cartoon Movie gave significance effect on the students' ability in constructing simple present tense for the eight-grade students at SMPN 3 Palangka Raya. The students who were taught using Cartoon Movie got higher score than students who were taught without using Cartoon Movie. It was proved by the mean score of the students who were taught using Cartoon Movie was 70,17 and the students who were taught without using Cartoon Movie was 65, 78. Based on the result of hypothesis test
calculation, it was found that the value of Tobserved was greater than the value of Ttable at 5\% significance level or $2,000<2,918$. It meant Ha was accepted and Ho was rejected.

Furthermore, the result of $t$ test calculation using SPSS 21.0 found that the Cartoon Movie gave significance effect on the students' English score. It proved by the value df Tobserved was greater than Ttable at 5\% significance level or $2,000<2,540$.

The finding of the study interpreted that the alternative hypothesis stating that Cartoon Movie increases the students' English score for the eighth graders at SMPN 3 Palangka Raya was accepted and the null hypothesis stating that Cartoon Movie does not increases the students' English scores for the eighth graders at SMPN 3 Palangka Raya was rejected.

Based on the results finding of the study, it was shown that Cartoon Movie gives beneficial contribution in increasing the students' ability during the instructional process. From the research finding, it can be concluded that using Cartoon Movie can motivate students to engage in language learning. (Chapter II pg.16) Harmer states that Movie is visual aids that can be used in writing class. It makes lessons more fun. It can also be used to create situation for writing classes more clearly, that the students have big enthusiasm in teaching learning process in writing class.

There was some possible reason why Cartoon Movie was effective in teaching writing at the eight-grade students of SMPN 3 Palangka Raya. The first reason was when the writer taught English using Cartoon Movie, indirectly gave
the students some daily activity practice. The second reason was when the writer taught English using Cartoon Movie, the students gave their attention to the media played. The third reason was when teaching English the writer taught English using Cartoon Movie based on their learning material which suitable with their environment or contextual learning. It made students could comprehend the material easier.

These finding were suitable with the theories as stated in chapter II pg.16. The first, Cartoon Movie can be very interesting media for learners. When the students interest with their class they would be motivated to learn.

The second, Cartoon Movie showed daily activity practice that could help students to express their daily activities.

Based on statement above Cartoon Movie was appropriate because the Cartoon Movie showed daily activity practice, made the students more enthusiasm when learned English and gave teacher new media to teach English.

