## CHAPTER IV

## DATA FINDING AND DISCUSSION

## A. Data Finding

In this chapter, the writer presented the obtained data. The data were presented in the following steps.

## 1. Distribution of the Experimental Group Scores

## a. Distribution of Pre Test Scores of the Experimental Group

The pre test scores of the experimental group were presented in the following table.

Table 4.1 The Description of Pre Test Scores of The Data Achieved by The Students in Experimental Group

| Students' Code | Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| E01 | $\mathbf{4 6}$ |
| E02 | $\mathbf{5 2}$ |
| E03 | 39 |
| E04 | 46 |
| E05 | $\mathbf{5 2}$ |
| E06 | 46 |
| E07 | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |
| E08 | $\mathbf{6 1}$ |
| E09 | 49 |
| E10 | $\mathbf{5 2}$ |
| E11 | 55 |
| E12 | 31 |
| E13 | 52 |
| E14 | $\mathbf{5 2}$ |
| E15 | 39 |
| E16 | 39 |
| E17 | 40 |
| E18 | $\mathbf{3 7}$ |
| E19 | 40 |
| E20 | 49 |


| $\mathbf{E 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{E 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ |
| $\mathbf{E 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{E 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{E 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{E 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 3}$ |
| $\mathbf{E 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ |

Based on the data above, it was known the highest score was 61 and the lowest score was 31 . To determine the range of score, the class interval, and interval of temporary, the writer calculated using formula as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { The Highest Score (H) } & =61 \\
\text { The Lowest Score (L) } & =31 \\
\text { The Range of Score (R) } & =\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}+1 \\
& =61-31+1 \\
& =31 \\
\text { The Class Interval (K) } & =1+(3.3) \times \operatorname{Log~n} \\
& =1+(3.3) \times \log 28 \\
& =1+(3.3) \times 1.447158031 \\
& =1+4.775621502 \\
& =5.775621502 \\
& =6 \\
\text { Interval of Temporary (I) } & =\frac{R}{K}=\frac{31}{6} \\
& =5.1666666667 \\
& =5
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the range of score was 31 , the class interval was 6 , and interval of temporary was 6 . Then, it was presented using frequency distribution in the following table:

Table 4.2 The Frequency Distribution of the Pre Test Scores of the Experimental Group

| Class <br> (k) | Interval <br> (I) | Frequency <br> (F) | Midpoint <br> (X) | The <br> Limitation <br> of Each <br> Group | Relative <br> Frequency <br> $(\%)$ | Cumulative <br> Frequency <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $56-61$ | 2 | 58 | $55.5-61.5$ | 7,14 | 100 |
| 2 | $51-55$ | 10 | 52 | $50.5-56.5$ | 35,71 | 92,85 |


| 3 | $46-50$ | 5 | 47 | $45.5-51.5$ | 17,85 | 57,14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $41-45$ | 1 | 42 | $40.5-46.5$ | 3,57 | 39,28 |
| 5 | $36-40$ | 6 | 37 | $35.5-41.5$ | 21,42 | 35,71 |
| 6 | $31-35$ | 4 | 32,5 | $30.5-36.5$ | 14,28 | 14,28 |
|  |  | $\sum \mathrm{~F}=28$ |  |  | $\sum \mathrm{P}=100$ |  |

Figure 4.1. The Frequency Distribution of the Pre test Score of the Experimental Group


The table and figure above shows the pre test score of students in experiment group. It could be seen that there were 4 students who got score 31 35. There ware 6 students who got score $36-40$. There was a student who got score $41-45$. There were 5 students who got $46-50$. There ware 10 students who got $51-55$ and there were 2 students who got $56-61$. In this case, so many students got point 51-55 and just a student got point 41-45 in the pretest. The conclusion is vocabulary of student less and should have new media to increase the vocabulary.

The next step, the writer tabulated the scores into the table for the calculation of mean, median, and modus as follows:

Table 4.3 The Calculation of Mean, Median, and Modus of the Pre Test Scores of the Experimental Group

| $\mathbf{( I )}$ | $(\mathbf{F})$ | $(\mathbf{X})$ | $\mathbf{F X}$ | $\mathbf{f k}(\mathbf{b})$ | $\mathbf{f k}(\mathbf{a})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $56-61$ | 2 | 58 | 116 | 28 | 2 |
| $51-55$ | 10 | 52 | 520 | 26 | 12 |
| $46-50$ | 5 | 47 | 235 | 16 | 17 |
| $41-45$ | 1 | 42 | 42 | 11 | 18 |
| $36-40$ | 6 | 37 | 222 | 10 | 24 |
| $31-35$ | 4 | 32,5 | 130 | 4 | 28 |
|  | $\sum \mathrm{~F}=28$ |  | $\sum \mathrm{FX}=1265$ |  |  |

a. Mean

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Mx} & =\frac{\sum f X}{N} \\
& =\frac{1265}{28} \\
& =45,178571429 \\
& =45,17
\end{aligned}
$$

b. Median

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Mdn } & =\ell+\frac{\frac{1}{2} N-f k_{b}}{f_{i}} x i \\
& =46.5+\frac{14-11}{2} \times 5 \\
& =46.5+\frac{3}{2} \times 5 \\
& =46,5+7,5 \\
& =54
\end{aligned}
$$

c. Modus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Mo } & =\ell+\left(\frac{f a}{f a+f b}\right) \times i \\
& =46.5+\left(\frac{10}{10+1}\right) \times 5 \\
& =46.5+\left(\frac{10}{11}\right) \times 5 \\
& =46.5+4.5454 \\
& =51,04545 \\
& =51.045
\end{aligned}
$$

The calculation above shows of mean value was 45,17 , median value was 54 and modus value was 51.045 of the pre test of the experimental group. The last
step, the writer tabulated the scores of pre test of experimental group into the table for the calculation of standard deviation and the standard error as follows:

Table 4.4 The Calculation of the Standard Deviation and the Standard Error of the Pre Test Scores of Experimental Group

| (I) | (F) | (X) | , | Fx' | Fx ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56-61 | 2 | 58 | +2 | 4 | 8 |
| 51-55 | 10 | 52 | +1 | 10 | 10 |
| 46-50 | 5 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41-45 | 1 | 42 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 36-40 | 6 | 37 | -2 | -12 | 24 |
| 31-35 | 4 | 32,5 | -3 | -12 | 36 |
|  | $\sum \mathrm{F}=28$ |  |  | $\sum \mathrm{Fx}^{\prime}=-12$ | $\sum \mathrm{Fx}^{\prime 2}=79$ |

a. Standard Deviation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S D_{1}=i \sqrt{\frac{\sum F x^{\prime 2}}{N}-\frac{\left(F x^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{N}} \\
& S D_{1}=5 \sqrt{\frac{79}{28}-\left(\frac{-12}{28}\right)^{2}} \\
& S D_{1}=5 \sqrt{2.821-(-0.428)^{2}} \\
& S D_{1}=5 \sqrt{2.821-0.183} \\
& S D_{1}=5 \sqrt{2.638} \\
& S D_{1}=5 \times 1.624 \\
& S D_{1}=8.12
\end{aligned}
$$

b. Standard Error
$S E M_{1}=\frac{S D_{1}}{\sqrt{N_{1}-1}}$
$S E M_{1}=\frac{8.12}{\sqrt{28-1}}$
$S E M_{1}=\frac{8.12}{\sqrt{27}}$
$S E M_{1}=\frac{8.12}{5.196}$
$S E M_{1}=1.562$

The result of calculation shows the standard deviation of pre test score of experimental group was 8.12 and the standard error of pre test score of experiment group was 1.562.

## b. Distribution of Post Test Scores of the Experimental Group

The post test scores of the experimental group were presented in the following table.

Table 4.5 The Description of Post Test Scores of The Data Achieved by The Students in Experimental Group

| Students' Code | Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| E01 | $\mathbf{6 4}$ |
| E02 | 94 |
| E03 | 79 |
| E04 | 85 |
| E05 | 91 |
| E06 | 67 |
| E07 | 67 |
| E08 | 55 |
| E09 | 70 |
| E10 | 76 |
| E11 | 76 |
| E12 | 94 |
| E13 | 64 |
| E14 | 94 |
| E15 | 79 |
| E16 | $\mathbf{8 8}$ |
| E17 | $\mathbf{7 6}$ |
| E18 | 91 |
| E19 | $\mathbf{8 2}$ |
| E20 | $\mathbf{7 9}$ |
| E21 | $\mathbf{8 2}$ |
| E22 | $\mathbf{8 2}$ |
| E23 | 94 |
| E24 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ |


| E25 | 94 |
| :---: | :---: |
| E26 | 79 |
| E27 | 70 |
| E28 | 91 |

Based on the data above, it was known the highest score was 94 and the lowest score was 55 . To determine the range of score, the class interval, and interval of temporary, the writer calculated using formula as follows:

The Highest Score $(\mathrm{H}) \quad=94$
The Lowest Score (L) $=55$
The Range of Score (R) $\quad=\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}+1$

$$
=94-55+1
$$

$$
=40
$$

The Class Interval (K)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1+(3.3) \times \log \mathrm{n} \\
& =1+(3.3) \times \log 28 \\
& =1+(3.3) \times 1.447158031 \\
& =1+4.775621502 \\
& =5.775621502 \\
& =6 \\
& =\frac{R}{K}=\frac{40}{6} \\
& =6,66666667 \\
& =6 \text { or } 7
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the range of score was 40 , the class interval was 6 , and interval of temporary was 6 . Then, it was presented using frequency distribution in the following table:

Table 4.6 The Frequency Distribution of the Post Test Score of the Experimental Group

| Class <br> $(\mathbf{k})$ | Interval <br> $(\mathbf{I})$ | Frequency <br> $(\mathbf{F})$ | Midpoint <br> $\mathbf{( X )}$ | The <br> Limitation <br> of Each <br> Group | Relative <br> Frequency <br> $(\%)$ | Cumulative <br> Frequency <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $88-94$ | 9 | 91 | $87.5-94.5$ | 32,14 | 100 |
| 2 | $80-87$ | 4 | 83.5 | $79.5-87.5$ | 14,28 | 67,85 |
| 3 | $73-79$ | 7 | 76 | $72.5-79.5$ | 25 | 53,57 |
| 4 | $67-72$ | 5 | 69.5 | $66.5-72.5$ | 17,85 | 28,57 |
| 5 | $61-66$ | 2 | 63.5 | $59.5-66.5$ | 7,14 | 10,71 |
| 6 | $55-60$ | 1 | 57.5 | $54.5-60.5$ | 3,57 | 3,57 |


|  |  | $\sum \mathrm{F}=28$ |  |  | $\sum \mathrm{P}=100$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Figure 4.2. The Frequency Distribution of the Post Test Scores of the Experimental Group


The table and figure above shows the post test score of students in experimental group. It could be seen that there was a student who got score 55 60. There were 2 students who got score $61-66$. There were 5 students who got score $67-72$. There were 7 students who got $73-79$. There were 4 students who got $80-87$ and there were 9 students who got $88-94$. In this case, the treatment was success make scores students' vocabulary high and can see in the figure. From 28 students, 9 students got higher score 88-94 and just a student got low point 55-60.

The next step, the writer tabulated the score into the table for the calculation of mean, median, and modus as follows:

Table 4.7 The Calculation of Mean, Median, and Modus of the Post Test

## Scores of the Experimental Group

| $(\mathbf{I})$ | $\mathbf{( F )}$ | $\mathbf{( X )}$ | $\mathbf{F X}$ | $\mathbf{f k}(\mathbf{b})$ | $\mathbf{f k}(\mathbf{a})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $88-94$ | 9 | 91 | 819 | 28 | 9 |
| $80-87$ | 4 | 83.5 | 334 | 19 | 13 |
| $73-79$ | 7 | 76 | 532 | 15 | 11 |
| $67-72$ | 5 | 69.5 | 347.5 | 8 | 12 |
| $61-66$ | 2 | 63.5 | 127 | 3 | 7 |
| $55-60$ | 1 | 57.5 | 57.5 | 1 | 3 |
|  |  | $\sum \mathrm{~F}=28$ |  | $\sum \mathrm{FX}=2217$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

a. Mean

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Mx } & =\frac{\sum f X}{N} \\
& =\frac{2217}{28} \\
& =79.17857 \\
& =79.178
\end{aligned}
$$

b. Median

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Mdn } & =\ell+\frac{\frac{1}{2} N-f k_{b}}{f_{i}} x i \\
& =66.5+\frac{14-3}{9} \times 6 \\
& =66.5+\frac{11}{9} \times 6 \\
& =66.5+7.333 \\
& =73.833
\end{aligned}
$$

c. Modus

$$
\text { Mo } \quad \begin{aligned}
& =\ell+\left(\frac{f a}{f a+f b}\right) \times i \\
& =66.5+\left(\frac{7}{7+2}\right) \times 6 \\
& =66.5+\left(\frac{7}{9}\right) \times 6 \\
& =66.5+4.6666 \\
& =71.1666
\end{aligned}
$$

The calculation above shows of mean value was 79.178 , median value was 73.833, and modus value was 71.1666 of the post test of the experimental group.

The last step, the writer tabulated the scores of pre test of control group into the table for the calculation of standard deviation and the standard error as follows:

Table 4.8 The Calculation of the Standard Deviation and the Standard Error of the Post Test Scores of Experimental Group

| $\mathbf{( I )}$ | $\mathbf{( F )}$ | $\mathbf{( X )}$ | $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{F x}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ | $\mathbf{F x}^{\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{2}}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $88-94$ | 9 | 91 | +3 | 27 | 81 |
| $80-87$ | 4 | 83.5 | +2 | 8 | 16 |
| $73-79$ | 7 | 76 | +1 | 7 | 7 |
| $67-72$ | 5 | 69.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $61-66$ | 2 | 63.5 | -1 | -2 | 2 |
| $55-60$ | 1 | 57.5 | -2 | -2 | 4 |
|  | $\sum \mathrm{~F}=28$ |  |  | $\sum \mathrm{Fx}^{\prime}=38$ | $\sum \mathrm{Fx}^{\mathbf{\prime 2}}=110$ |

a. Standard Deviation
$S D_{1}=i \sqrt{\frac{\sum F x^{\prime 2}}{N}-\frac{\left(F x^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{N}}$
$S D_{1}=6 \sqrt{\frac{110}{28}-\left(\frac{38}{28}\right)^{2}}$
$S D_{1}=6 \sqrt{3.928-(1.357)^{2}}$
$S D_{1}=6 \sqrt{3.928-1.8414}$
$S D_{1}=6 \sqrt{2.0866}$
$S D_{1}=6 \times 1.4445$
$S D_{1}=8.667$

## b. Standard Error

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S E M_{1}=\frac{S D_{1}}{\sqrt{N_{1}-1}} \\
& S E M_{1}=\frac{8.667}{\sqrt{28-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S E M_{1}=\frac{8.667}{\sqrt{27}} \\
& S E M_{1}=\frac{8.667}{5.1961524227} \\
& S E M_{1}=1.6679649277 \\
& S E M_{1}=2
\end{aligned}
$$

The result of calculation shows standard deviation of post test score of experimental group was 8.667 and the standard error of post test score of experimental group was 2 .

The writer also calculated the data calculation of post-test score of experimental group using SPSS 17.0 program. The result of statistic table is as follows:

Table 4.9 The Frequency Distribution of the Post Test Scores of the Experimental Group Using SPSS 17.0 Program

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 55.00 | 1 | 3,6 | 3,6 | 3,6 |
|  | 64.00 | 2 | 7,1 | 7,1 | 10,7 |
|  | 67.00 | 2 | 7,1 | 7,1 | 17,9 |
|  | 70.00 | 3 | 10,7 | 10,7 | 28,6 |
|  | 76.00 | 3 | 10,7 | 10,7 | 39,3 |
|  | 79.00 | 4 | 14,3 | 14,3 | 53,6 |
|  | 82.00 | 3 | 10,7 | 10,7 | 64,3 |
|  | 85.00 | 1 | 3,6 | 3,6 | 67,9 |
|  | 88.00 | 1 | 3,6 | 3,6 | 71,4 |
|  | 91.00 | 5 | 10,7 | 10,7 | 82,1 |
|  | 94.00 | 17,9 | 17,9 | 100,0 |  |
|  | Total | 28 | 100,0 | 100,0 |  |

Figure 4.3. The Frequency Distribution of the Post test Score of the Experimental Group Using SPSS 17.0 Program

The Frequency Of Post Test in Experiment Group


The Limitation Of Each Group
The table and figure above shows the result of post-test scorer achieved by the experiment group using SPSS Program. It could be seen that there was a student who got 55 (3.6\%). Two student got 64 (7.1\%). Two students got 67 (7.1\%). Three students got 70 (10.7\%). Three students got 76 (10.7\%). Four students got 79 (14.3\%). Three students got 82 (10.7\%). One student got 85 (3.6\%). One student got 88 (3.6\%). Three students got 91 ( $10.7 \%$ ). Five students got 94 (17.9\%).

The next step, the writer calculated the score of mean, median, mode, standard deviation, and standard error of mean of post-test score in experiment group as follows:

Table 4.10 The Table of Calculation of Mean, Median, Mode, Standard Deviation, and Standard Error of Mean of Post-test Score in Experiment Group Using SPSS 17.0 Program

| N | Valid <br> Missing |
| :--- | ---: |
| Mean | 08 |
| Std. Error of Mean | 79,7500 |
| Median | 2,07952 |
| Mode | 79,0000 |
| Std. Deviation | 94,00 |
| Variance | 11,00379 |
| Range | 121,083 |
| Minimum | 39,00 |
| Maximum | 55,00 |
| Sum | 94,00 |

The table shows the result of mean calculation was 79,7500 , the result of median calculation was 79,0000 , and the result of mode calculation was 94,00 . The result of standard deviation calculation was 11,00379 and the result of standard error of mean calculation was 2,07952.

## 2. Distribution of the Control Group Scores

## a. Distribution of Pre Test Scores of the Control Group

The pre test scores of the control group were presented in the following table.

Table 4.11 The Description of Pre Test Scores of The Data Achieved by The Students in Control Group

| Students' Code | Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{C 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ |


| C03 | 31 |
| :--- | :--- |
| C04 | 43 |
| C05 | 34 |
| C06 | 55 |
| C07 | 49 |
| C08 | 58 |
| C09 | 34 |
| C10 | 43 |
| C11 | 55 |
| C12 | 31 |
| C13 | 64 |
| C14 | 49 |
| C15 | 55 |
| C16 | 43 |
| C17 | 55 |
| C18 | 67 |
| C19 | 34 |
| C20 | 43 |
| C21 | 34 |
| C22 | 40 |
| C23 | 43 |
| C24 | $\mathbf{6 1}$ |
| C25 | 43 |
| C26 | 46 |
| C27 | $\mathbf{6 4}$ |
| C28 | 61 |
| C29 | 55 |

Based on the data above, it was known the highest score was 67 and the
lowest score was 31 . To determine the range of score, the class interval, and interval of temporary, the writer calculated using formula as follows:

The Highest Score $(\mathrm{H}) \quad=67$
The Lowest Score (L)
The Range of Score (R)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =31 \\
& =\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}+1 \\
& =67-31+1 \\
& =40
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { The Class Interval (K) } & =1+(3.3) \times \log \mathrm{n} \\
& =1+(3.3) \times \log 29 \\
& =1+(3.3) \times 1.4623979979 \\
& =1+4.8259133931 \\
& =5.8259133931 \\
& =6 \\
\text { Interval of Temporary }(\mathrm{I}) & =\frac{R}{K}=\frac{40}{6} \\
& =6,66666667 \\
& =6
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the range of score was 40 , the class interval was 6 , and interval of temporary was 6 . Then, it was presented using frequency distribution in the following table:

Table 4.12 The Frequency Distribution of the Pre Test Score of the Control Group

| Class <br> (k) | Interval <br> $(\mathbf{I})$ | Frequency <br> $(\mathbf{F})$ | Midpoint <br> $(\mathbf{X})$ | The <br> Limitation <br> of Each <br> Group | Relative <br> Frequency <br> $(\%)$ | Cumulative <br> Frequency <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $61-67$ | 5 | 64 | $60.5-67.5$ | 17,241 | 100 |
| 2 | $55-60$ | 6 | 57.5 | $54.5-60.5$ | 20,690 | 82,759 |
| 3 | $49-54$ | 3 | 51.5 | $48.5-54.5$ | 10,345 | 62,069 |
| 4 | $43-48$ | 7 | 45.5 | $42.5-48.5$ | 24,138 | 51,724 |
| 5 | $37-42$ | 2 | 39.5 | $36.5-42.5$ | 6,897 | 27,586 |
| 6 | $31-36$ | 6 | 33.5 | $30.5-36.5$ | 20,690 | 20,690 |
|  |  | $\sum \mathrm{~F}=29$ |  |  | $\sum \mathrm{P}=100$ |  |

Figure 4.4. The Frequency Distribution of the Pre Test Scores of the Control Group


The table and figure above shows the pre test score of students in experimental group. It could be seen that there were 6 students who got score 31 36. There were 2 students who got score $37-42$. There were 7 students who got score $43-48$. There were 3 students who got $49-54$. There were 6 students who got $55-60$ and there were 5 students who got $61-67$. In this case, so many students got point $43-48$ and some students got point 61-67 in the pretest. The next step, the writer tabulated the score into the table for the calculation of mean, median, and modus as follows:

Table 4.13 The Calculation of Mean, Median, and Modus of the Pre Test

## Scores of the Control Group

| $\mathbf{( I )}$ | $\mathbf{( F )}$ | $\mathbf{( X )}$ | $\mathbf{F X}$ | $\mathbf{f k}(\mathbf{b})$ | $\mathbf{f k}(\mathbf{a})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $61-67$ | 5 | 64 | 320 | 29 | 5 |
| $55-60$ | 6 | 57.5 | 345 | 24 | 11 |
| $49-54$ | 3 | 51.5 | 154,5 | 18 | 9 |
| $43-48$ | 7 | 45.5 | 318,5 | 15 | 10 |
| $37-42$ | 2 | 39.5 | 79 | 8 | 9 |
| $31-36$ | 6 | 33.5 | 201 | 6 | 8 |
|  | $\sum \mathrm{~F}=29$ |  | $\sum \mathrm{FX}=1418$ |  |  |

a. Mean

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Mx} & =\frac{\sum f X}{N} \\
& =\frac{1418}{29} \\
& =48.8965517 \\
& =48.896
\end{aligned}
$$

## b. Median

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Mdn} & =\ell+\frac{\frac{1}{2} N-f k_{b}}{f_{i}} x i \\
& =42.5+\frac{14.5-8}{5} \times 6 \\
& =42.5+\frac{6.5}{5} \times 6
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =42.5+7.8 \\
& =50.3
\end{aligned}
$$

## c. Modus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Mo } & =\ell+\left(\frac{f a}{f a+f b}\right) \times i \\
& =42.5+\left(\frac{3}{3+2}\right) \times 6 \\
& =42.5+\left(\frac{3}{5}\right) \times 6 \\
& =42.5+3.6 \\
& =46.1
\end{aligned}
$$

The calculation above shows of mean value was 48.896 , median value was 50.3, and modus value was 46.1 of the post test of the control group.

The last step, the writer tabulated the scores of pre test of control group into the table for the calculation of standard deviation and the standard error as follows:

Table 4.14 The Calculation of the Standard Deviation and the Standard Error of the Post Test Scores of Control Group

| $\mathbf{( I )}$ | $\mathbf{( F )}$ | $\mathbf{( X )}$ | $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{F x} \mathbf{}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{F x}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $61-67$ | 5 | 64 | +3 | 15 | 45 |
| $55-60$ | 6 | 57.5 | +2 | 12 | 24 |
| $49-54$ | 3 | 51.5 | +1 | 3 | 3 |
| $43-48$ | 7 | 45.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $37-42$ | 2 | 39.5 | -1 | -2 | 2 |
| $31-36$ | 6 | 33.5 | -2 | -12 | 14 |
|  | $\sum \mathrm{~F}=29$ |  |  | $\sum \mathrm{Fx}^{\prime}=16$ | $\sum \mathrm{Fx}^{, 2}=98$ |

## a. Standard Deviation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S D_{1}=i \sqrt{\frac{\sum F x^{\prime 2}}{N}-\frac{\left(F x^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{N}} \\
& S D_{1}=6 \sqrt{\frac{98}{29}-\left(\frac{16}{29}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$S D_{1}=6 \sqrt{3.379-(0.5517)^{2}}$
$S D_{1}=6 \sqrt{3.379-0.30437}$
$S D_{1}=6 \sqrt{3.07463}$
$S D_{1}=6 \times 1.753$
$S D_{1}=10.518$
b. Standard Error

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SEM }_{1}=\frac{S D_{1}}{\sqrt{N_{1}-1}} \\
& \text { SEM }_{1}=\frac{10.518}{\sqrt{29-1}} \\
& \text { SEM }_{1}=\frac{10.518}{\sqrt{28}} \\
& \text { SEM }_{1}=\frac{10.518}{5.2915} \\
& \text { SEM }_{1}=1.98771 \\
& \text { SEM }_{1}=1.987
\end{aligned}
$$

The result of calculation shows the standard deviation of pre test score of control group was 10.518 and the standard error of post test score of experimental group was 1.987 .

## b. Distribution of Post Test Scores of the Control Group

The post test scores of the control group were presented in the following table.

Table 4.15 The Description of Post Test Scores of The Data Achieved by The Students in Control Group

| Students' Code | Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{C 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 0 2}$ | 55 |
| $\mathbf{C 0 3}$ | 37 |
| $\mathbf{C 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ |


| $\mathbf{C 0 5}$ | 40 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{C 0 6}$ | 49 |
| $\mathbf{C 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 3}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 8}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ |
| $\mathbf{C 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ |

Based on the data above, it was known the highest score was 94 and the
lowest score was 31 . To determine the range of score, the class interval, and interval of temporary, the writer calculated using formula as follows:

```
The Highest Score \((\mathrm{H}) \quad=94\)
The Lowest Score (L) =31
The Range of Score (R) \(\quad=\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}+1\)
    \(=94-31+1\)
    \(=64\)
The Class Interval (K) \(\quad=1+(3.3) \times \log n\)
    \(=1+(3.3) \times \log 29\)
    \(=1+(3.3) \times 1.4623979979\)
    \(=1+4.8259133931\)
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =5.8259133931 \\
& =6 \\
\text { Interval of Temporary (I) } & =\frac{R}{K}=\frac{64}{6} \\
& =10.666666667 \\
& =10 \text { or } 11
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the range of score was 70 , the class interval was 6 , and interval of temporary was 10 . Then, it was presented using frequency distribution in the following table:

Table 4.16 The Frequency Distribution of the Pos Test Scores of the Control Group

| Class <br> (k) | Interval <br> (I) | Frequency <br> $(\mathbf{F})$ | Midpoint <br> $\mathbf{( X )}$ | The <br> Limitation <br> of Each <br> Group | Relative <br> Frequency <br> $(\%)$ | Cumulative <br> Frequency <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $83-94$ | 3 | 88.5 | $62.5-94.5$ | 10,345 | 100 |
| 2 | $72-82$ | 3 | 77 | $71.5-82.5$ | 10,345 | 6,897 |
| 3 | $61-71$ | 8 | 66 | $60.5-71.5$ | 27,586 | $-3,448$ |
| 4 | $51-60$ | 5 | 55.5 | $50.5-60.5$ | 17,241 | 0,000 |
| 5 | $41-50$ | 2 | 45.5 | $40.5-50.5$ | 6,897 | 82,759 |
| 6 | $31-40$ | 8 | 35.5 | $30.5-40.5$ | 27,586 | $-6,897$ |
|  |  | $\sum \mathrm{~F}=29$ |  |  | $\sum \mathrm{P}=100$ |  |

Figure 4.5. The Frequency Distribution of the Post test Score of the Control Group


The table and figure above shows the post test score of students in control group. It could be seen that there were 8 students who got score $31-40$. There ware 2 students who got score 41 - 50 . There were 5 students who got score $51-$ 60. There were 8 students who got $61-71$. There ware 3 students who got $72-$ 82 and there were 3 students who got 83-94. From the chart can see the scores of post test many students got less scores 31-40, that is same with the average scores61-71. That give proof with English song media, students' vocabulary will increase. The next step, the writer tabulated the scores into the table for the calculation of mean, median, and modus as follows:

Table 4.17 The Calculation of Mean, Median, and Modus of the Post Test Scores of the Control Group

| $\mathbf{( I )}$ | $\mathbf{( F )}$ | $\mathbf{( X )}$ | $\mathbf{F X}$ | $\mathbf{f k}(\mathbf{b})$ | $\mathbf{f k}(\mathbf{a})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $83-94$ | 3 | 88.5 | 265.5 | 29 | 3 |
| $72-82$ | 3 | 77 | 231 | 26 | 6 |
| $61-71$ | 8 | 66 | 528 | 23 | 11 |
| $51-60$ | 5 | 55.5 | 277.5 | 15 | 13 |
| $41-50$ | 2 | 45.5 | 91 | 10 | 7 |
| $31-40$ | 8 | 35.5 | 284 | 8 | 10 |
|  | $\sum \mathrm{~F}=29$ |  | $\sum \mathrm{FX}=1767$ |  |  |

a. Mean

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Mx } & =\frac{\sum f X}{N} \\
& =\frac{1767}{29} \\
& =60.9310344828 \\
& =60.931
\end{aligned}
$$

b. Median

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Mdn} & =\ell+\frac{\frac{1}{2} N-f k_{b}}{f_{i}} \times i \\
& =50.5+\frac{14.5-10}{3} \times 10 \\
& =50.5+\frac{4.5}{3} \times 10 \\
& =50.5+15 \\
& =65.5
\end{aligned}
$$

c. Modus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Mo } & =\ell+\left(\frac{f a}{f a+f b}\right) x i \\
& =50.5+\left(\frac{8}{8+2}\right) \times 10 \\
& =50.5+\left(\frac{8}{10}\right) \times 10 \\
& =50.5+8 \\
& =58.5
\end{aligned}
$$

The calculation above shows of mean value was 60,931 , median value was 65.5 and modus value was 58.5 of the pre test of the control group. The last step, the writer tabulated the scores of pre test of control group into the table for the calculation of standard deviation and the standard error as follows:

Table 4.18 The Calculation of the Standard Deviation and the Standard Error of the Pre Test Scores of Control Group

| $\mathbf{( I )}$ | $\mathbf{( F )}$ | $\mathbf{( X )}$ | $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ | $\mathbf{F x}$ | $\mathbf{F x}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $83-94$ | 3 | 88.5 | +2 | 6 | 12 |
| $72-82$ | 3 | 77 | +1 | 3 | 3 |
| $61-71$ | 8 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $51-60$ | 5 | 55.5 | -1 | -5 | 5 |
| $41-50$ | 2 | 45.5 | -2 | -4 | 8 |
| $31-40$ | 8 | 35.5 | -3 | -24 | 72 |
|  | $\sum \mathrm{~F}=29$ |  |  | $\sum \mathrm{Fx}^{\prime}=-24$ | $\sum \mathrm{Fx}^{\prime 2}=100$ |

a. Standard Deviation
$S D_{1}=i \sqrt{\frac{\sum F x^{\prime 2}}{N}-\frac{\left(F x^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{N}}$
$S D_{1}=10 \sqrt{\frac{100}{29}-\left(\frac{-24}{29}\right)^{2}}$
$S D_{1}=10 \sqrt{3.448-(-0.82758)^{2}}$
$S D_{1}=10 \sqrt{3.448-0.684888}$
$S D_{1}=10 \sqrt{2.763112}$
$S D_{1}=10 \times 1.6622$
$S D_{1}=16.622$
b. Standard Error
$S E M_{1}=\frac{S D_{1}}{\sqrt{N_{1}-1}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S E M_{1}=\frac{16.622}{\sqrt{29-1}} \\
& S E M_{1}=\frac{16.622}{\sqrt{28}} \\
& S E M_{1}=\frac{16.622}{5.291} \\
& S E M_{1}=3.141
\end{aligned}
$$

The result of calculation shows the standard deviation of post test score of control group was 16,622 and the standard error of post test score of control group was 3,141 .

The writer also calculated the data calculation of post-test score of experimental group using SPSS 17.0 program. The result of statistic table is as follows:

The writer also calculated the data calculation of post-test score of experimental group using SPSS 17.0 program. The result of statistic table is as follows:

Table 4.19 The Frequency Distribution of the Post Test Scores of the Control Group Using SPSS 17.0 Program

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 31.00 | 3 | 12,5 | 12,5 | 12,5 |
|  | 37.00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 16,7 |
|  | 40.00 | 4 | 16,7 | 16,7 | 33,3 |
|  | 46.00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 37,5 |
|  | 49.00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 41,7 |
|  | 55.00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 45,8 |
|  | 58.00 | 2 | 8,3 | 8,3 | 54,2 |
|  | 64.00 | 3 | 12,5 | 12,5 | 66,7 |
|  | 67.00 | 3 | 12,5 | 12,5 | 79,2 |
|  | 70.00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 83,3 |
|  | 73.00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 87,5 |
|  | 76.00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 91,7 |
|  | 88.00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 95,8 |


| 94.00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 100,0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 24 | 100,0 | 100,0 |  |

Figure 4.6. The Frequency Distribution of the Post test Score of the Control Group Using SPSS 17.0 Program

The Frequency Of Post Test in Control Group


The Limitation of Each Group
The table and figure above shows the result of post-test scorer achieved by the control group using SPSS Program. It could be seen that there were 3 students who got 31 (12.5\%). One student got 37 (4.2\%). Four students got 40 (16.7\%). One student got $46(4.2 \%)$. One student got $49(4.2 \%)$. One student got $55(4.2 \%)$. Two students got 58 (8.3\%). Three students got 64 (12.5\%). Three students got 67 (12.5\%). One student got 70 (4.2\%). One student got 73 (4.2\%). One student got 76 (4.2\%). One student got 88 (4.2\%). And one student got 94 (4.2\%).

The next step, the writer calculated the score of mean, median, mode, standard deviation, and standard error of mean of post-test score in experiment group as follows:

Table 4.20 The Table of Calculation of Mean, Median, Mode, Standard Deviation, and Standard Error of Mean of Post-test Score in Experiment Group Using SPSS 17.0 Program

| NValid <br> Missing <br> Mean | 24 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Std. Error of Mean | 0 |
| Median | 56,2500 |
| Mode | 3,64267 |
| Std. Deviation | 58,0000 |
| Variance | 40,00 |
| Range | 17,84535 |
| Minimum | 318,457 |
| Maximum | 63,00 |
| Sum | 31,00 |

The table shows the result of mean calculation was 56,2500 , the result of median calculation was 58,0000 , and the result of mode calculation was 40,00 . The result of standard deviation calculation was 17,84535 and the result of standard error of mean calculation was 3,64267 .

## B. The Result of Data Analysis

## 1. Testing Hypothesis Using Manual Calculation

The writer chose the significance level on $5 \%$, it means the significant level of refusal of null hypothesis on $5 \%$. The writer decided the significance level at $5 \%$ due to the hypotheses type stated on non - directional ( two - tailed test ). It meant that the hypothesis can't direct the prediction of alternative hypothesis. Alternative hypothesis symbolized by "1". This symbol could not direct the
answer of hypothesis, " 1 " can be ( $>$ ) or ( $<$ ). The answer of hypothesis could not be predicted whether on more than or less than.

To test the hypothesis of the study, the writer used t-test statistical calculation. Firstly, the writer calculated the standard deviation and the standard error of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$. It was found the standard deviation and the standard error of post test of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ at the previous data presentation. It could be seen on this following table.

Table 4.21 The Standard Deviation and the Standard Error of $\mathbf{X}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{2}$

| Variable | The Standard Deviation | The Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 11,00379 | 2,07952 |
| $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 17,84535 | 3,64267 |

Where:
$\mathrm{X}_{1}=$ Pre test of experiment group
$\mathrm{X}_{2}=$ Post test of experiment group
The table shows the result of the standard deviation calculation of $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ was 11,00379 and the result of the standard error mean calculation was 2,07952 . The result of the standard deviation calculation of $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ was 17,84535 and the result of the standard error mean calculation was 3,64267.

The next step, the writer calculated the standard error of the differences mean between $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ as follows:

Standard Error of Mean of Score Difference between Variable I and Variable II

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S E_{M 1}-S E_{M 2}=\sqrt{S E_{M 1}^{2}-S E_{M 2}^{2}} \\
& S E_{M 1}-S E_{M 2}=\sqrt{2,07952^{2}-3,64267^{2}} \\
& S E_{M 1}-S E_{M 2}=\sqrt{4.3244-13.269}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S E_{M 1}-S E_{M 2}=\sqrt{8.9446} \\
& S E_{M 1}-S E_{M 2}=2.99705 \\
& S E_{M 1}-S E_{M 2}=2.997
\end{aligned}
$$

The calculation above shows the standard error of the differences mean between $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ was 2.997 . Then, it was inserted to the $t_{0}$ formula to get the value of $t$ observe as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{o}=\frac{M_{1}-M_{2}}{S E_{M 1}-S E_{M 2}} \\
& t_{o}=\frac{79,7500-56,2500}{2.997} \\
& t_{o}=\frac{23,5}{2.997} \\
& t_{o}=7.8411
\end{aligned}
$$

With the criteria:
If t -test $(\mathrm{t}$-observed $) \geq \mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}$, , means Ha is accepted and Ho is rejected.
If t -test ( t -observed) $<\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}$, it means Ha is rejected and Ho is accepted.
Then, the writer interpreted the result of t - test. Previously, the writer accounted the degree of freedom (df) with the formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { df } \quad \begin{aligned}
\quad & \left(N_{1}+N_{2}-2\right) \\
\quad= & (28+29-2) \\
& =55
\end{aligned} \\
& t_{\text {table }} \text { at }
\end{aligned}
$$

The writer chose the significance level on $5 \%$, it means the significant level of refusal of null hypothesis on $5 \%$. The writer decided the significance level at 5\% due to the hypothesis type stated on non - directional (two - tailed test). It
meant that the hypothesis can not direct the prediction of alternative hypothesis. Alternative hypothesis symbolized by "1". This symbol could not direct the answer of hypothesis, " 1 " can be ( $>$ ) or ( $<$ ). The answer of hypothesis could not be predicted whether on more than or less than

The calculation above shows the result of $t$-test calculation as in the table follows:

Table 4.22 The Result of T-test

| Variable | t observe | t table |  | $\mathbf{D f} / \mathbf{d b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{X}_{1}-\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8 8 3}$ | 2.000 | 2.660 | 55 |

Where:
$\mathrm{X}_{1} \quad=$ Pre Test
$\mathrm{X}_{2} \quad=$ Post Test
t observe = The calculated Value
t table $\quad=$ The distribution of t value
df/db $\quad=$ Degree of Freedom
Based on the result of hypothesis test calculation, it was found that the value of $t_{\text {observed }}$ was greater than the value of $t_{\text {able }}$ at $1 \%$ and $5 \%$ significance level or $2.000<10.883>2.660$. It meant $H_{a}$ was accepted and $H_{o}$ was rejected.

It could be interpreted based on the result of calculation that $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ stating that song gives effect on the students' vocabulary score was accepted and $H_{0}$ stating that song does not give effect on the students' vocabulary score was rejected. It meant that teaching vocabulary using song gave significant effect on the students'
vocabulary score of the seventh grade students at MTs Darul Amin of Palangka Raya.

## 2. Testing Hypothesis Using SPSS Calculation

The writer also applied SPSS 17.0 program to calculate t test in testing hypothesis of the study. The result of the $t$ test using SPSS 17.0 was used to support the manual calculation of the $t$ test. The result of the $t$ test using SPSS 17.0 program could be seen as follows:

Table 4.23 The Standard Deviation and the Standard Error of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ Group Statistics

|  | VAR00001 | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. Error <br> Mean |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| VAR00002 | 1.00 | 28 | 79,7500 | 11,00379 | 2,07952 |
|  | 2.00 | 29 | 58,7241 | 18,29500 | 3,39730 |

The table shows the result of the standard deviation calculation of $X_{1}$ was 11,00379 and the result of the standard error mean calculation was 2,07952 . The result of the standard deviation calculation of $X_{2}$ was 17,84535 and the standard error mean calculation was 3,64267 .

Table 4.24 The Calculation of T-test Using SPSS 17.0
Table 4.24 Independent Samples Test

|  |  | Levene's Test for Equality of Variances |  | t-test for Equality of Means |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | Sig. | T | Df |  |  | Std. Error Difference | 95\% <br> Confidence <br> Interval of the <br> Difference |  |
|  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 宫 |
| Ô | Equal variances assumed |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 6,69 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | ,012 | $5,2$ | 55 | ,000 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21,0 \\ 2586 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 4,0 \\ 16 \\ 70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12,9 \\ 7621 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29,0 \\ 7551 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{8}{6} \\ & \frac{y}{4} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | Equal variances not assumed |  |  | 5,2 | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \\ 18 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | ,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 21,0 \\ 2586 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{3 , 9} \\ \mathbf{8 3} \\ \mathbf{2 2} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{1 3 , 0} \\ \mathbf{0 8 9 4} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29,0 \\ 4278 \end{array}$ |

The table shows the result of t-test calculation using SPSS 17.0 program. Since the result of post-test between experiment and control group had difference score of variance, it meant the $t$-test calculation used at the equal variances not assumed. It found that the result of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }}$ was 5.279 , the result of mean difference between experiment and control group was 21,02586 , and the standard error difference between experiment and control group was 3,98322 .

To examine the truth or the false of null hypothesis stating that song does not give effect on the students' vocabulary score, the result of t-test was interpreted on the result of degree of freedom to get the $t_{\text {able }}$. The result of degree of freedom (df) was 55, it found from the total number of the students in both
group minus 2. The following table was the result of $t_{\text {observed }}$ and $t_{\text {able }}$ from 55 df at $5 \%$ and $1 \%$ significanc5e level.

Table 4.25 The Result of T-test Using SPSS 17.0

| Variable | t observe | t table |  | $\mathbf{D f} / \mathbf{d b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{X}_{1}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 5,279 | 2.000 | 2.660 | 55 |

The interpretation of the result of t-test using SPSS 17.0 program, it was found the t observe was greater than the t table at $1 \%$ and $5 \%$ significance level or $2.000<5,279>2.660$. It meant $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ was accepted and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ was rejected.

It could be interpreted based on the result of calculation that $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ stating that song gives effect on the students' vocabulary score was accepted and $H_{o}$ stating that English song does not give effect on the students' vocabulary score was rejected. It meant that teaching vocabulary with English song gave significant effect on the students' vocabulary score of the seventh grade students at MTs Darul Amin of Palangka Raya.

## 3. Normality and Homogeneity test

Best on post test, the writer make a normality test and homogeneity test to analyzed whether both group with SPSS 17.0.

## a. Normality Test

4.26 Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |  |
|  | N | Percent | N |  | Percent | N |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 28 | $93,3 \%$ | 2 | $6,7 \%$ | 30 | $100,0 \%$ |  |
| Experiment | 28 | $93,3 \%$ | 2 | $6,7 \%$ | 30 | $100,0 \%$ |  |

The table show the result of processing in the test is $93 \%$ is valid and for missing just $6,7 \%$.
4.27 The Calculation of Tests of Normality Using SPSS 17.0

|  | Kolmogorov-Smirnov $^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  | Shapiro-Wilk |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Statistic | df | Sig. | Statistic | df | Sig. |
| Control | , 125 | 28 | $.200^{*}$ | , 954 | 28 | , 247 |
| Experiment | , 132 | 28 | $.200^{*}$ | , 938 | 28 | , 099 |

The table shows the result of calculating of test of normality test, the significant of control group 0.247 and experiment group 0,099 . So the test is normal because value of normality is $\mathrm{p}>0,005$ the test is normality.

## b. Homogeneity Test

4.28 The Result of Test of Homogeneity of Variances Using SPSS 17.0

Experiment

| Levene Statistic | df1 | df2 | Sig. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1.025 |  | 5 |  |

The table shows the result of calculating of test of homogeny test significant of experiment group 0,0446 . The test is homogeny because value of homogeny is $\mathrm{p}>0,005$ the test is homogeny.

## C. Discussion

The result of the data analysis shows that English song gave significant effect on the students' English vocabulary at the seventh graders of MTs Darul Amin Palangka Raya. The result of post test be higher than the result of pre test after were taught using English song. It was also proved by the result of hypothesis test calculation; it was found that the value of $t_{\text {observed }}$ was greater than
the value of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {able }}$ at $1 \%$ and $5 \%$ significance level or $2.000<5.279>2.660$. It meant $H_{a}$ was accepted and $H_{o}$ was rejected.

Furthermore, the result of t-test calculation using SPSS 17.0 also shows that using English song in taught English vocabulary gave significant effect on the students' English vocabulary score. It was proved by the mean score pre test of the students before were taught using English song got 45.17 and post test score of the students after were taught using English song got 73.833. It was also proved by the value of $t_{\text {observe }}$ was greater than the value of $t_{\text {table }}$ both at $1 \%$ and $5 \%$ significance level or $2.021<5.279>2.704$. It meant $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ was accepted and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ was rejected.

The findings of the study based on the result of manual and SPSS 17.0 program calculation could be interpreted that the alternative hypothesis stating that teaching English vocabulary by using English song gives effect on the students’ vocabulary score of the seventh graders of MTs Darul Amin Palangka Raya was.

The findings of the study verified the theories of English song as a beneficial and a good strategy for English vocabulary (Chapter II, pg.27). First of all, English song can increase the students' vocabulary score. It can be seen from the difference between students' score in the pretest and post test.

In this case, English song is one of suitable strategy for the students to help them in the process of English vocabulary with the goal of improving some aspects of skill, because the use of English song can motivating and challenging students to get involved and participate actively in the learning activities (Chapter

II, pg.26). It can be seen from the difference between students' number of producing English song in the pre-test and post-test.

